

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Hartford County Metropolitan District, Connecticut; General Obligation; Water/Sewer

Primary Credit Analyst:

Scott D Garrigan, New York + 1 (312) 233 7014; scott.garrigan@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Geoffrey E Buswick, Boston + 1 (617) 530 8311; geoffrey.buswick@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Credit Highlights

Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

Summary:

Hartford County Metropolitan District, Connecticut; General Obligation; Water/Sewer

Credit Profile

US\$86.2 mil GO bnds ser 2022 due 08/01/2042

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	New
Hartford Cnty Metro Dist GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Hartford Cnty Metro Dist clean wtr proj rev bnds		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Upgraded

Credit Highlights

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA' rating to Metropolitan District Hartford County (MDC), Conn.'s \$86.2 million general obligation (GO) bonds, issue of 2022, series A.
- At the same time, S&P Global Ratings raised its rating on MDC's outstanding clean water project (CWP) revenue and revenue refunding bonds to 'AA' from 'AA-' and affirmed its 'AA' rating on MDC's outstanding GO bonds.
- The raised rating on the CWP bonds reflects our view that all of MDC's debt benefits from management's ability to finance its operations from diverse revenue stream that includes ad valorem taxes, providing additional support in our analysis given the relative stability of those revenues.
- With these rating actions, none of our ratings are under criteria observation any longer.
- The outlook is stable.

Security

The district's full faith and credit pledge secures the GO bonds.

The clean water project charge (CWPC) revenues secure the revenue-supported bonds. The CWPC is available only to pay debt service on CWP-related debt and to pay capital expenditures for CWP capital expenses. The surcharge is not available to pay district operating expenses. Under the special obligation indenture that governs the CWP revenue bonds, the rate covenant requires the district to ensure pledged revenues available for debt service provide at least 1.2x annual debt service coverage (DSC). For calculating revenues available for debt service, bond provisions permit inclusion of transfers from the rate stabilization fund, although not exceeding 35% of annual debt service. An additional bonds test requires historical revenues available for debt service to be at least 1.2x annual DSC, for one of the two years prior to additional debt issuance.

As of the last audited fiscal year ended Dec. 31, 2021, MDC had outstanding debt totaling \$623 million of GO debt, \$325 million of CWPC debt, and \$492 million of state revolving fund loans.

Credit overview

The 'AA' ratings reflect our view that MDC benefits from its large and affluent service area, along with strong historical financial performance. Partially offsetting these strengths is the district's sizable capital improvement plan (CIP) largely reflecting regulatory compliance needs. When benchmarking existing debt to population and full property valuation, the ratios are high and we expect them to remain at those levels; overall net debt, including overlapping debt from member municipalities, is about \$5,500 per capita and 5% of market value.

While the CWP bonds benefit from a dedicated pledge of CWPC revenues, the interaction between MDC's primary business lines of water and sewer services provided to its member municipalities is sufficient to consider the credit quality of all of MDC's debt as the same. On the one hand, we believe GO bondholders benefit from the ability to fund a significant amount of regulatory-driven CWP projects using a dedicated surcharge, helping diversify MDC's revenue stream. On the other hand, we believe CWP bondholders benefit from MDC's ability to fund its operations from both an unlimited ad valorem tax and water/sewer usage rates because those revenue streams help keep the surcharge affordable.

MDC's diverse revenue stream helps distribute costs over a wide base of users and helps with affordability considerations:

- Water and sewer user fees (\$171 million, 2021 revenues)--designed to collect charges from large metered users with 4" or larger meters;
- Ad valorem property taxes (\$51 million, 2021 revenues)--collected directly from member municipalities, designed to support usage costs for residential and tax-exempt users; and
- Intergovernmental revenues (\$40 million, 2021 revenues)--collected to support MDC's sludge operations and CWP capital costs.

All three of these revenue sources represented 95% of total governmental and business-type revenues in 2021.

The ratings on the GO and CWPC-backed bonds could diverge again if the CWPC begins to be heavily leveraged due to consent order cost overruns or cost escalation, in a way that leads to significant surcharge increases beyond management's current expectation of eventually needing to increase it to \$5.30 per hundred cubic feet (ccf) by 2026 from the \$4.10 currently or meaningfully lower DSC on the CWP bonds. Management projects CWP capital project spending from 2022-2026 to be about \$375 million; this supplements general capital spending for water and sewer projects of an additional \$397 million over that same period.

Environmental, social and governance

Overall, we believe environmental risks are elevated because regulatory compliance is generating a large amount of capital spending and the required revenue requirements to fund those projects. However, management continues to rehab and expand its overflow treatment processes to help ensure continued compliance. The new storage tunnel being built as part of the consent order is designed to mitigate future flooding and overflow risks. Treatment capacity and water supply are adequate for current demand and for multiple years of growth. The water pollution control plant is along the Connecticut River, and the district has been improving nitrogen-removal capacity to assist with the nitrogen loading in the river and Long Island Sound. In addition, it has taken flood-protection measures, including

maintaining and improving dikes around all treatment facilities.

Management also expects to start including an Integrated Planning concept into its consent order compliance with the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection. We believe this should provide management with considerable flexibility to meet its consent order requirements while demonstrating compliance through rehab and relining of existing infrastructure, instead of solely through new construction.

Rate affordability is considered in MDC's annual rate-setting and capital planning process, and while affordable now, should they outpace the service area's income growth rate, it could become more of a risk, thereby increasing social risks.

We consider governance risks on balance credit neutral, but MDC's disclosure indicates some litigation regarding the CWP tunnel project, with potential claims totaling about \$80 million. Management has represented a significant amount of available contingency to mitigate the financial effects, but any negative outcome could have a material effect on CWP costs and our view on governance risk. However, strong relations with the state government and frequent outreach to the member and nonmember communities served help mitigate external political pressures on governance decisions. As with any district this size, technology is becoming more and more critical for most aspects of operations and the district has a business continuity plan; an emergency response plan, with annual vulnerability assessments; and a disaster recovery plan that includes cybersecurity controls.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that management will continue to address regulatory obligations and maintain regulatory compliance while still maintaining financial performance on par with or better than historical trends. Our analysis of MDC's financial performance combines all of its water and sewer operations to gauge traditional net revenue coverage of all its debt service costs, both GO and CWP debt, and available liquidity across the entire organization.

Downside scenario

Significant consent order cost escalation leading to liquidity draws or unexpected revenue pressures would likely lead to downward rating pressure. If the member municipalities' tax base starts to become stagnant, leading to higher tax rates to support MDC's operations, we could also lower the rating.

Upside scenario

We are unlikely to raise the ratings further in the near term, given the size, duration, and regulatory nature of the CIP, but could consider doing so in the long term as regulatory-related capital projects near substantial completion.

Credit Opinion

Large ad valorem tax base contributes to rating strength and stability

MDC benefits from service to a large tax base serving a population centered in and around Hartford. The eight member municipalities, which combined have a 2021 net taxable grand list of more than \$28 billion, are Bloomfield,

East Hartford, Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford, Wethersfield, and Windsor. The district's fiscal 2023 full valuation of \$40.8 billion is about \$113,000 per capita, which we consider extremely strong. While Hartford's median household effective buying income is only 60% of the national average, many of the member towns demonstrate median income levels well above the national average.

The creditworthiness of the city of Hartford (BBB/Stable) informs our view of MDC's rating. The city represents 26.5% of MDC's 2021 tax base but contributes just 16% of 2021 general fund revenues. To date, Hartford has made all payments on time.

Overall financial performance demonstrates strong liquidity and overall coverage of debt service

Across all of MDC's governmental and business type activities, which generally include water operations, sewer operations, and CWP, total cash and cash equivalents was \$224 million at Dec. 31, 2021. Historically, this figure has hovered generally around \$200 million, and we expect it to remain roughly in this range because management funds its operations and capital projects from ongoing revenues without reliance on permanent draws on its liquidity.

When considering all revenues collected for its water, sewer, and CWP operations, and netting out current expenditures for operations (excluding things like capital expenses, debt service, and depreciation), net overall revenues have covered total GO, state revolving fund, and CWPC-backed debt service by at least 1.2x since 2019, and was 1.4x in 2021. While most of the fluctuations in operating revenues and expenses have recently been due mostly to shifts in intergovernmental receipts and noncash other postemployment benefit costs, respectively, we expect overall operations to remain generally stable due to the large service base and management's continued willingness to adjust rates as needed.

Related Research

- Through The ESG Lens 3.0: The Intersection Of ESG Credit Factors And U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, March 2, 2022

Ratings Detail (As Of August 10, 2022)

Hartford Cnty Metro Dist GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Hartford Cnty Metro Dist GO (AGM)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2022 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.