

OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED JULY 15, 2015

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, based on existing statutes and court decisions and assuming continuing compliance with certain covenants and procedures relating to requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and is not treated as an item of tax preference for purposes of computing the federal alternative minimum tax. Interest on the Notes is, however, includable in adjusted current earnings for purposes of computing the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on certain corporations. In the opinion of Bond Counsel, based on existing statutes, interest on the Notes is excluded from Connecticut taxable income for purposes of the Connecticut income tax on individuals, trusts and estates, and is excluded from amounts on which the net Connecticut minimum tax is based in the case of individuals, trusts and estates required to pay the federal alternative minimum tax. See "Tax Matters" herein.



**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
HARTFORD COUNTY, CONNECTICUT**

**\$25,000,000 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES, SERIES C
BOOK-ENTRY ONLY**

DATED
July 28, 2015

DUE
March 18, 2016

<u>Amount</u>	<u>Coupon</u>	<u>Yield</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>
\$25,000,000	1.00%	0.18%	416489RJ3

Underwriter

TD SECURITIES (USA) LLC

The Notes are not subject to redemption prior to maturity as more fully described herein.

The Notes will be issued by means of a book-entry-only system and registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee for The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, New York. DTC will act as securities depository for the Notes. Purchasers of the Notes will not receive certificates representing their ownership interest in the Notes. Principal of, and interest on the Notes will be payable by the District or its agent to DTC or its nominee as registered owner of the Notes. Ownership of the Notes may be in principal amounts of \$1,000 or integral multiples thereof. See "Book-Entry-Only Transfer System" herein.

The Notes will be general obligations of the District and the District will pledge its full faith and credit to pay the principal of and interest on the Notes when due. Unless paid from other sources, the Notes are payable from general tax revenues from member municipalities. The District is authorized to levy unlimited taxes upon the City of Hartford and the Towns of Bloomfield, East Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford, Wethersfield and Windsor (the "Member Municipalities"). See "Security and Remedies" herein.

U.S. Bank National Association, Corporate Trust Services, 225 Asylum Street, 23rd Floor, Hartford, Connecticut will act as Certifying Agent, Registrar, Transfer Agent and Paying Agent for the Notes.

The Notes are offered for delivery when, as and if issued, subject to the approving opinion of Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP, Bond Counsel, of Hartford, Connecticut, and Finn Dixon & Herling LLP, Bond Counsel, of Stamford, Connecticut. It is expected that delivery of the Notes in book-entry-only form will be made to DTC in New York, New York on or about July 28, 2015.

This cover page contains certain information for quick reference only. It is NOT a summary of this issue. Investors must read the entire Official Statement to obtain information essential to the making of an informed investment decision.

¹ Copyright, American Bankers Association. CUSIP® is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP numbers have been assigned by an independent company not affiliated with the District and are included solely for the convenience of the holders of the Notes. The District is not responsible for the selection or use of these CUSIP numbers, does not undertake any responsibility for their accuracy, and makes no representation as to their correctness on the Notes or as indicated above. The CUSIP number for a specific maturity is subject to being changed after the issuance of the Notes as a result of various subsequent actions including, but not limited to, a refunding in whole or in part of such maturity or as a result of the procurement of secondary market portfolio insurance or other similar enhancement by investors that is applicable to all or a portion of certain maturities of the Notes.

The Financial Advisor to the District has provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement. The Financial Advisor has reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with, and as part of, its responsibilities to the District and, as applicable, to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Financial Advisor does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I – Information Concerning the Notes

Note Issue Summary	
I. Note Information	
Introduction	1
Description of the Notes	2
Book-Entry-Only Transfer System	2
DTC Practices	4
Security and Remedies	4
Taxes – Levy, Apportionment, Collection	4
Qualification for Financial Institutions	5
Availability of Continuing Disclosure	5
Authorization and Purpose	6
Use of Note Proceeds	7
Ratings	9
Tax Matters	9
Considerations for Noteholders	11
II. Legal and Other Information	
Litigation	12
Closing Documents	12
Concluding Statement	13

Part II – Information Concerning The Metropolitan District

I. The Issuer	
Description of the District	15
Organizational Chart	16
The District Board	17
Distribution of Commission Membership	17
Powers and Responsibilities of the District Board	17
Administration	17
District Chairs and District Officials	18
District Employees	18
District Employees Bargaining Units	18
District Functions	19
II. Water Pollution Control	
Facilities for Sewer Service	21
Sewer User Charge	22
Special Sewer Service Surcharge	22
Clean Water Project	22
III. Water Operations	
Facilities for Water Service	24
Number of Water Customers	24
Average Daily Consumption	24
Water Utility Unit Charge	25
IV. Hydroelectric Development Program	26
V. Economic and Demographic Information	
Population Trends	27
Age Distribution of the Population	27
Income Distribution	28
Income Levels	29
Educational Attainment	30
Major Employers within the District	31
Employment by Industry	32
Employment Data	33
Age Distribution of Housing	34
Housing Inventory	34
Owner-Occupied Housing Values	35
VI. Tax Base Data	
Tax Collection Procedure	37
Comparative Assessed Valuations	37

Property Tax Levies and Collections	38
Ten Largest Taxpayers	41
Equalized Net Grand List	44
VII. Financial Information	
Fiscal Year	46
Basis of Accounting and Accounting Policies	46
Budgetary Procedures	46
Debt Administration Policy	47
Annual Audit	47
Pension Plan	47
Other Post Employment Benefits	49
Investment Policies and Practices	49
District Cash Management Investment Policy	50
Risk Management	50
Compensated Absences	50
Revenues and Appropriations	51
Sources of Funds	52
General Fund Revenues and Expenditures-District	53
Analysis of General Fund Equity - District	53
History of Member Municipality’s Taxation	54
Water Utility Fund Revenues and Expenditures	55
Hydroelectric Fund Revenues and Expenditures	55
Mid-Connecticut Project Fund Revenues and Expenditures	56
General Fund Revenues and Expenditures – Member Municipalities	57
Analysis of General Equity – Member Municipalities	57
VIII. Debt Summary	
Principal Amount of Indebtedness	65
Clean Water Fund Program	66
Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Program	66
Annual Bonded Debt Maturity Schedule	67
Overlapping and Underlying Net Debt	69
Debt Statement	70
Current Debt Ratios	70
Bond Authorization	76
Temporary Financing	76
Limitation on Indebtedness	77
Statement of Statutory Debt Limitation	78
Authorized But Unissued Debt	79
Principal Amount of Outstanding Debt	79
Ratio of Direct Debt to Valuation and Population -The District	81
Ratio of Direct Debt to Valuation, Population, and Income – Member Municipalities	81
Ratio of Annual Debt Service Expenditures to Total General Fund Expenditures	84
Capital Improvement Programs and Future Borrowings	86
Five-Year Capital Improvement Program	87

Appendix A – Basic Financial Statements
Appendix B – Forms of Opinion of Bond Counsel
Appendix C – Continuing Disclosure Agreement
Appendix D – Notice of Sale

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

PART I
INFORMATION CONCERNING THE NOTES
THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT, HARTFORD COUNTY, CONNECTICUT
July 15, 2015

This Official Statement including the cover, inside cover page, Part I, Part II and the Appendices thereto, of The Metropolitan District, Hartford County, Connecticut (the "District") is provided for the purpose of presenting certain information relating to the District in connection with the original issuance and sale of \$25,000,000 General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series C (the "Series C Notes") of the District.

Part I of this Official Statement, including the cover, inside cover page and Appendices thereto, contains information relating to the Notes. Part II of this Official Statement contains information about the District. The cover page, inside cover page, Part I, Part II and the Appendices thereto should be read collectively and in their entirety.

NOTE ISSUE SUMMARY

The information in this Note Issue Summary and the front cover page is qualified in its entirety by the detailed information and financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Official Statement. This Official Statement speaks only as of its date and the information herein is subject to change

Date of Sale:	<u>Wednesday, July 15, 2015, 11:30 A.M. (EDT).</u>
Location of Sale:	Sealed proposals will be accepted at the offices of Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP, 20 Church Street, Crandall Room, Connecticut 06103 or by electronic bid via Parity® as described in the Notice of Sale attached as Appendix D to this Official Statement.
Issuer:	The Metropolitan District, Hartford County, Connecticut (the "District").
Issue:	\$25,000,000 General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series C (the "Series C Notes").
Dated Date:	July 28, 2015.
Interest Due:	At maturity, March 18, 2016
Principal Due:	At maturity, March 18, 2016.
Authorization and Purpose:	The proceeds of the Series C Notes will be used to finance various sewer, water and public improvement projects of the District. See "Authorization and Purpose" herein.
Redemption:	The Series C Notes are not subject to redemption prior to maturity.
Security:	The Series C Notes will be general obligations of the District payable, unless paid from other sources, from general property tax revenues from member municipalities. The District is authorized to levy unlimited taxes upon the City of Hartford and the Towns of Bloomfield, East Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford, Wethersfield and Windsor, Connecticut comprising the District, proportionately as provided in the District's Charter, to pay the principal of and interest on the Series C Notes when due. See "Security and Remedies" herein.
Credit Ratings:	The District has not applied for credit ratings on the Notes. Currently the District has credit ratings of "Aa1" with a stable outlook from Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") and "AA+" with a stable outlook from Standard & Poor's, a division of McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. ("S&P") on its outstanding general obligation bonds. See "Ratings" herein.
Basis of Award:	Lowest Net Interest Cost (NIC), as of dated date.
Tax Exemption:	See "Tax Matters" herein.
Bank Qualification:	The Series C Notes shall not be designated by the District as qualified tax-exempt obligations under the provisions of Section 265(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, for purposes of the deduction by financial institutions for interest expense allocable to the Series C Notes.
Continuing Disclosure:	In accordance with the requirements of Rule 15c2-12 promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, the District will agree to provide, or cause to be provided, notices of certain events, within 10 business days of the occurrence of such events, with respect to the Series C Notes pursuant to a Continuing Disclosure Agreement to be executed by the District substantially in the form of Appendix C to this Official Statement.
Registrar, Transfer Agent, Certifying Agent and Paying Agent:	U.S. Bank National Association, Corporate Trust Services, 225 Asylum Street, 23 rd Floor, Hartford, Connecticut.
Legal Opinion:	Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP Hartford, Connecticut, and Finn Dixon & Herling LLP, Stamford, Connecticut, will serve as Bond Counsel.
Delivery and Payment:	It is expected that delivery of the Series C Notes in book-entry-only form will be made to The Depository Trust Company on or about July 28, 2015 against payment in Federal Funds .
Issuer Official:	Questions concerning the District and the Series C Notes should be addressed to: Mr. John M. Zinzarella, Deputy Chief Executive Officer of Business Services/Chief Financial Officer/Treasurer, Telephone: 860-278-7850 Ext. 3345, The Metropolitan District, Hartford County, 555 Main Street, First Floor, Hartford, Connecticut 06103.
Financial Advisor:	FirstSouthwest, 628 Hebron Avenue, Suite 306, Glastonbury, Connecticut 06033, attention: Janette J. Marcoux, Senior Vice President, Telephone: 860-290-3003; or Maureen Gurghigian, Managing Director, Telephone: 401-334-4267.

I. NOTE INFORMATION

INTRODUCTION

This Official Statement, including the cover page and appendices, is provided for the purpose of presenting certain information relating to The Metropolitan District, Hartford County, Connecticut (the “District”) in connection with the original issuance of \$25,000,000 General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series C (the “Series C Notes”) of the District.

The Notes are being offered for sale at public bidding. A Notice of Sale dated July 2, 2015 has been furnished to prospective bidders. Reference is made to this Notice of Sale for the terms and conditions of the bidding on the Notes.

This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the District and the purchasers or holders of any of the Notes. Any statement made in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion or estimates is not intended to be a representation of fact, and no representation is made that any such opinion or estimate will be realized. Neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District since the date hereof. All quotations from and summaries and explanations of provisions of statutes, charters, or other laws and acts and proceedings of the District contained herein do not purport to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the original official documents, and all references to the Notes and the proceedings of the District relating thereto are qualified in their entirety by reference to the definitive form of the Notes and such proceedings.

The presentation of information is intended to show recent historical trends and is not intended to indicate future or continuing trends in the financial or other positions of the District.

First Southwest Company, LLC is engaged as Financial Advisor to the District in connection with the issuance of the Notes. The Financial Advisor’s fee for services rendered with respect to the sale of the Notes is contingent upon the issuance and delivery of the Notes. First Southwest Company, LLC cannot submit a bid for the Notes, either independently or as a member of a syndicate organized to submit a bid for the Notes. First Southwest Company, LLC, in its capacity as Financial Advisor, does not assume any responsibility for the information, covenants and representations contained in any of the legal documents with respect to the federal income tax status of the Notes, or the possible impact of any present, pending or future actions taken by any legislative or judicial bodies.

Set forth in Appendix A “Basic Financial Statements” hereto is a copy of the report of the independent auditors for the District with respect to the financial statements of the District included in that appendix. The report speaks only as of its date, and only to the matters expressly set forth therein. The auditors have not been engaged to review this Official Statement or to perform audit procedures regarding the post-audit period, nor have the auditors been requested to give their consent to the inclusion of their report in Appendix A. Except as stated in their report, the auditors have not been engaged to verify the financial information set out in Appendix A and are not passing upon and do not assume responsibility for the sufficiency, accuracy or completeness of the financial information presented therein.

Bond Counsel are not passing upon and do not assume responsibility for the accuracy or adequacy of the statements made in this Official Statement (other than matters expressly set forth as their opinion in Appendix B “Forms of Opinion of Bond Counsel” herein), and they make no representation that they have independently verified the same.

Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding, any tax consequences related to the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of interest on, the Notes other than as set forth in “Tax Matters” herein.

The District considers this Official Statement to be “final” for purposes of Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12(b)(1), but it is subject to revision or amendment.

DESCRIPTION OF THE NOTES

The Notes will be dated July 28, 2015. The Notes will be due and payable as to both principal and interest at maturity, March 18, 2016. The Notes will be issued in denominations of \$1,000 or any integral multiples thereof. Interest will be calculated on the basis of a 360-day year, consisting of twelve 30-day months. A book-entry-only transfer system will be employed evidencing ownership of the Notes with transfers of ownership on the records of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York (“DTC”), and its participants pursuant to rules and procedures established by DTC and its participants. See “Book-Entry-Only Transfer System” herein. The Certifying Agent, Paying Agent, Registrar and Transfer Agent will be U.S. Bank National Association, Corporate Trust Services, 225 Asylum Street, 23rd Floor, Hartford, Connecticut (email: bhcorporatetrust@usbank.com). The legal opinions on the Notes will be rendered by Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP and Finn Dixon & Herling LLP, in substantially the forms set forth in Appendix B to this Official Statement.

The Notes are not subject to redemption prior to maturity.

BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY TRANSFER SYSTEM

This section describes how ownership of the Notes is to be transferred and how the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Notes are to be paid to and accredited by DTC while the Notes are registered in its nominee name. The information in this section concerning DTC and the Book-Entry-Only System has been provided by DTC for use in disclosure documents such as this Official Statement. The District believes the source of such information to be reliable, but takes no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness thereof.

The District cannot and does not give any assurance that (1) DTC will distribute payments of debt service on the Notes, or redemption or other notices, to DTC Participants, (2) DTC Participants or others will distribute debt service payments paid to DTC or its nominee (as the registered owner of the Notes), or redemption or other notices, to the Beneficial Owners, or that they will do so on a timely basis, or (3) DTC will serve and act in the manner described in this Official Statement. The current rules applicable to DTC are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and the current procedures of DTC to be followed in dealing with DTC Participants are on file with DTC.

The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), New York, New York, will act as securities depository for the Notes. The Notes will be issued as fully-registered Notes registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC’s partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered Note certificate will be issued for each interest rate of the Notes, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world’s largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a “banking organization” within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a “clearing corporation” within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a “clearing agency” registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC’s participants (“Direct Participants”) deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants’ accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (“DTCC”). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly (“Indirect Participants”). DTC has a Standard & Poor’s rating of AA+. The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com.

Purchases of Notes under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Notes on DTC’s records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Note (“Beneficial Owner”) is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants’ records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive

written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Notes are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in Notes, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Notes is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Notes deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Notes with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not affect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Notes; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Notes are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Beneficial Owners of Notes may wish to take certain steps to augment the transmission to them of notices of significant events with respect to the Notes, such as redemptions, tenders, defaults, and proposed amendments to the Note documents. For example, Beneficial Owners of Notes may wish to ascertain that the nominee holding the Notes for their benefit has agreed to obtain and transmit notices to Beneficial Owners. In the alternative, Beneficial Owners may wish to provide their names and addresses to the registrar and request that copies of notices be provided directly to them.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to Notes unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the District as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts Notes are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

All payments on the Notes will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with Notes held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC, the Paying Agent/Registrar, or the District, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment on the Notes to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Notes at any time by giving reasonable notice to the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, Note certificates are required to be printed and delivered.

The District may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, Note certificates will be printed and delivered to DTC.

The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that the District believes to be reliable, but neither the District nor the Underwriters take any responsibility for the accuracy thereof.

DTC PRACTICES

The District can make no assurances that DTC, Direct Participants, Indirect Participants or other nominees of the Beneficial Owners of the Notes will act in a manner described in this Official Statement. DTC is required to act according to rules and procedures established by DTC and its participants which are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

SECURITY AND REMEDIES

The Notes will be general obligations of the District, and the District will pledge its full faith and credit to pay the principal of and interest on the Notes when due.

Unless paid from other sources, the Notes are payable from general property tax revenues from member municipalities. The District is authorized to levy unlimited taxes upon the City of Hartford and the Towns of Bloomfield, East Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford, Wethersfield and Windsor (the "Member Municipalities"), comprising the District, proportionately as provided in the District's Charter, to pay the principal of and interest on the Notes, and each Member Municipality is authorized to levy ad valorem taxes on all taxable property within its respective limits to pay such District taxes without limitation as to rate or amount, except as to certain classified property such as certified forest land taxable at a limited rate and dwelling houses of qualified elderly persons of low income or of qualified disabled persons taxable at limited amounts. Under existing statutes, the State of Connecticut is obligated to pay the Member Municipalities the amount of tax revenue which the Member Municipalities would have received except for the limitation on their power to tax such dwelling houses.

Payment of the Notes is not limited to property tax revenues of the District or any other revenue source, but certain revenues of the District are restricted as to use and therefore may not be available to pay debt service on the Notes.

There are no statutory provisions for priorities in the payment of general obligations of the District. There are no statutory provisions for a lien on any portion of the tax levy to secure the Notes, or judgments thereon, in priority to other claims. The District is authorized to issue revenue bonds for sewer or other projects, which may be secured by a pledge of certain revenues. The District has issued \$225,000,000 of Clean Water Project Revenue Bonds which are secured by a pledge of and payable solely from the District's special sewer service surcharge.

The District is subject to suit on its general obligation debt, and a court of competent jurisdiction has the power in appropriate proceedings to render a judgment against the District. Courts of competent jurisdiction also have the power in appropriate proceedings to order payment of a judgment on such debt from funds lawfully available therefor or, in the absence thereof, to order the District take all lawful action to obtain the same, including the raising of the required amount in the next annual tax levy. In exercising their discretion as to whether to enter such an order, the courts may take into account all relevant factors, including the current operating needs of the District, and the availability and adequacy of other remedies.

Enforcement of a claim for payment of principal or interest on the debt would also be subject to the applicable provisions of Federal bankruptcy laws as well as other bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium and other similar laws affecting creditors' rights heretofore or hereafter enacted and to the exercise of judicial discretion. Under the Federal bankruptcy code, the District may seek relief only, among other requirements, if it is specifically authorized to be a debtor under Chapter 9, Title 11 of the United States Code, or by State law or by a governmental officer or organization empowered by State law to authorize such entity to become a debtor under such Chapter. Section 7-566 of the Connecticut General Statutes, as amended, provides that no Connecticut municipality shall file a petition in bankruptcy under Chapter 9, aforesaid, without the express prior written consent of the Governor. This prohibition applies to any town, city, borough, metropolitan district and any other political subdivision of the State having the power to levy taxes and issue bonds or other obligations.

TAXES – LEVY, APPORTIONMENT, COLLECTION

Under the District's Charter established by special Connecticut legislation, the District is authorized to levy an annual tax on each of its Member Municipalities in the aggregate amount sufficient to meet its budgeted sewer expenses. The tax is apportioned among the Member Municipalities on the basis of their respective tax receipts averaged over the prior three fiscal years. If the District is not paid when due, the District is entitled to obtain the issuance of an execution against the goods and estate of the inhabitants of such municipalities, such execution to be

directed to a marshal for the seizure and sale of such goods sufficient to produce funds for payment of the District tax. Such collection procedure thus in effect grants the District a right to attach a first lien to secure payment of any tax not paid by a Member Municipality.

The District has never had to impose the first lien claim against its Member Municipalities due to the fact that all tax warrants have been paid when declared due to the District.

QUALIFICATION FOR FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

The Notes **shall not** be designated by the District as qualified tax-exempt obligations under the provisions of Section 265(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, for purposes of the deduction by financial institutions for interest expense allocable to the Notes.

AVAILABILITY OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE

The District prepares, in accordance with State law, annual audited financial statements and files such annual audits with the State Office of Policy and Management within six months of the end of its fiscal year. The District provides, and will continue to provide, to the rating agencies ongoing disclosure in the form of annual audited financial statements, adopted budgets and other materials relating to its management and financial condition as may be necessary or requested.

In accordance with the requirements of Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, the District will agree to provide, or cause to be provided, timely notice of the occurrence of certain events, within 10 days of the occurrence of such events, with respect to the Notes pursuant to a Continuing Disclosure Agreement to be executed by the District substantially in the form attached as Appendix C to this Official Statement.

The intent of such undertaking is to provide on a continuing basis the information described in the Rule. Accordingly, there is reserved the right to modify the disclosure thereunder or format thereof so long as any such modification is made in a manner consistent with the Rule. Furthermore, to the extent that the Rule no longer requires the issuers of municipal securities to provide all or any portion of such information to be provided under such undertaking, the obligation pursuant to the Rule to provide such information also shall cease immediately.

The purpose of such undertaking is to conform to the requirements of the Rule and not to create new contractual or other rights other than the remedy of specific performance in the event of any actual failure by the District to comply with its written undertaking.

The District has previously undertaken in continuing disclosure agreements entered into for the benefit of holders of certain of its general obligation bonds and notes to provide annual financial information and event notices pursuant to Rule 15c2-12. In the last five years, to the best of its knowledge, the District has not failed to comply with its obligations under its continuing disclosure agreements in all material respects.

During the District's recent internal compliance activities, the District determined that certain of its annual financial filings, which were timely filed, were not properly associated with CUSIP numbers of certain State of Connecticut Clean Water Fund bond issues for which the District may have been an obligated person. The District amended the affected filings to properly associate them with the proper CUSIP numbers. The District determined that certain of its annual financial information filings, which were timely filed, were not properly associated with all of the CUSIP numbers of its outstanding securities, including certain issues that were defeased (and subsequently retired). The District amended the affected filings to properly associate them with the proper CUSIP numbers, and put in place compliance procedures to assure future filings are properly associated. The District also determined that certain of its prior continuing disclosure agreements required its annual financial filings to be made within 240 days of the end of its fiscal year, and certain of its prior continuing disclosure agreements required its annual financial filings to be made within eight months of the end of its fiscal year. In the case of its annual information filing for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, the filing was made on August 31, 2011, which would have been three days after the due date under those agreements requiring the filing within 240 days. The District filed promptly a notice of late filing of this annual information filing. The District has put in place additional compliance procedures to assure all future filings are made in a timely fashion.

In making the foregoing disclosures, the District does not thereby admit that these matters are material.

AUTHORIZATION AND PURPOSE

The District has the power to incur indebtedness as provided by the Connecticut General Statutes and the District Charter. As of the date of this Official Statement, the District has authorized debt for various water, sewer and combined funding capital projects in the aggregate amount of \$2,613,481,261, of which \$1,027,221,207 has previously been funded, leaving a total of \$1,586,260,054 of authorized and unissued debt. See “Authorized But Unissued Debt – The District” herein. The Notes are being issued to finance various capital improvement projects of the District as set forth herein. See “Use of Note Proceeds” herein.

USE OF NOTE PROCEEDS

Project	Amount Authorized	Previously Bonded/Grants/ Contributions	Series A Notes Due 3/18/16	Series C Notes This Issue	Authorized But Unissued
<u>Water Projects</u>					
2008 Water Supply Facility Improvements.....	2,200,000	1,563,000	148,000	12,000	477,000
2009 Farmington Water Main Installation West Hartford.....	1,380,000	85,000	175,000	14,000	1,106,000
2009 Water Main - Farmington Avenue, Hartford.....	2,070,000	0	152,000	13,000	1,905,000
2010 CWP Water Main Replacement - Wethersfield Avenue # 3.....	2,692,000	582,000	10,000	4,000	2,096,000
2010 Dam Safety Improvements - Res # 2.....	1,315,000	149,000	445,000	4,000	717,000
2010 Dam Safety Improvements - Res # 3.....	1,315,000	34,000	81,000	42,000	1,158,000
2010 Hydraulic Computer Modeling.....	2,350,000	147,000	584,000	178,000	1,441,000
2010 Water Facilities Security & Improvement Program.....	4,492,000	2,288,000	278,000	58,000	1,868,000
2010 Water Main Replacement - Bloomfield.....	400,000	280,000	27,000	10,000	83,000
2010 Water Main Replacement - Hartford.....	1,000,000	100,000	109,000	83,000	708,000
2010 Water Main Replacement - Wethersfield.....	600,000	0	205,000	60,000	335,000
2011 CWP - Water Main Replacements.....	3,750,000	2,255,235	196,000	127,000	1,171,765
2011 Design of Water Main Replacements.....	1,000,000	0	253,000	25,000	722,000
2011 Water Main Replacement - Hamilton, Hartford, Phase II.....	3,250,000	8,000	132,000	11,000	3,099,000
2011 Water Main Replacement - Hartford.....	3,600,000	0	0	22,000	3,578,000
2011 Water Main Replacement - Longview Dr & Pheasant Ln.....	1,700,000	51,000	2,000	7,000	1,640,000
2011 Water Main Replacement - Simmons Road, East Hartford.....	450,000	0	0	22,000	428,000
2011 Water Pump Station Improvements.....	1,200,000	438,615	164,000	79,000	518,385
2012 Asset Management Construction Administration.....	904,000	0	8,000	11,000	885,000
2012 Paving Program.....	5,000,000	2,352,000	1,268,000	63,000	1,317,000
2012 Water Main Replacement - Farmington Avenue.....	3,500,000	337,000	135,000	88,000	2,940,000
2012 Water Main Replacement - Retreat Avenue.....	4,094,000	2,195,572	550,000	445,000	903,428
2012 Water Main Replacement - South Maple Ave.....	4,000,000	1,541,027	10,000	551,000	1,897,973
2012 Water Storage Basin Upgrades.....	3,515,000	0	60,000	16,000	3,439,000
2013 Asset Management Water Main Replacement.....	4,460,000	0	194,000	223,000	4,043,000
2013 Bloomfield Water Treatment Facility Filtered Water.....	3,050,000	12,000	148,000	538,000	2,352,000
2013 Buckingham Water Pump Station, Glastonbury.....	1,740,000	823,014	111,000	119,000	686,986
2013 CWP Water Main Replacement - Portions of Church Street.....	4,570,000	0	307,000	6,000	4,257,000
2013 General Purpose Water.....	3,860,000	1,754,000	325,000	136,000	1,645,000
2013 Newington PRV Service Area Upgrades.....	2,330,000	10,000	148,000	18,000	2,154,000
2013 Paving Program.....	4,400,000	2,257,000	22,000	10,000	1,911,000
2013 Radio Frequency Automated Meter Reading.....	5,000,000	0	710,000	470,000	3,820,000
2013 Water Main Replacement - Center Street, Hartford.....	330,000	0	0	42,000	288,000
2013 Water Main Replacement - Church Street, Hartford.....	370,000	0	0	31,000	339,000
2013 Water Main Replacement - Franklin 13.....	2,100,000	0	178,000	283,000	1,639,000
2013 Water Main Replacement - Jerome Avenue.....	1,400,000	8,000	5,000	324,000	1,063,000
2013 Water Main Replacement - Oakwood Ave, West Hartford.....	300,000	24,000	87,000	16,000	173,000
2013 Water Main Replacement - Pitkin Street.....	460,000	32,000	2,000	14,000	412,000
2013 Water Supply Generators.....	350,000	41,000	170,000	30,000	109,000
2013 Wickham Hill Basins, East Hartford.....	4,980,000	3,190,139	29,000	85,000	1,675,861
2014 Collinsville Road WTP Emergency Generator Replacement.....	500,000	0	31,000	4,000	465,000
2014 General Purpose Water.....	3,500,000	0	92,000	65,000	3,343,000
2014 Kilkenny Water.....	5,000,000	0	202,000	366,000	4,432,000
2014 Paving Program.....	3,000,000	0	1,525,000	1,218,000	257,000
2014 Phelps Brook Dam & East Dike Rehabilitation Project.....	3,000,000	0	87,000	19,000	2,894,000
2014 Radio Frequency Automated Meter Reading.....	5,000,000	0	0	150,000	4,850,000
2014 Renewable Energy Projects - Water Facilities.....	300,000	0	110,000	52,000	138,000
2014 Various Transmission Main Design & Construction.....	2,100,000	0	68,000	17,000	2,015,000
2014 Water Main Replacement - West Hartford.....	1,500,000	0	0	4,000	1,496,000
2014 Water Treatment Facilities Upgrades.....	2,300,000	0	538,000	97,000	1,665,000
2015 General Purpose Water Program.....	4,000,000	0	0	23,000	3,977,000
2015 Hydrant Replacement Program.....	1,600,000	0	0	103,000	1,497,000
2015 Paving Program.....	2,500,000	0	0	20,000	2,480,000
Total Water Projects.....	\$129,577,000	\$22,557,602	\$10,081,000	\$6,428,000	\$90,510,398

Project	Amount Authorized	Previously Bonded/Grants/Contributions	Series A Notes Due 3/18/16	Series C Notes This Issue	Authorized But Unissued
Sewer Projects					
2006 CSO Abatement Program.....	5,000,000	1,500,216	3,267,000	35,000	197,784
2006 Sanitary Sewer Overflow - Engineering Design/Study.....	5,000,000	4,864,880	16,000	117,000	2,120
2006 Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) - Rocky Hill.....	5,000,000	3,816,675	0	30,000	1,153,325
2006 Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) - West Hartford.....	5,000,000	4,902,223	0	4,000	93,777
2006 Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) - Wethersfield.....	5,000,000	4,674,805	117,000	6,000	202,195
2007 Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvements.....	4,600,000	2,961,000	763,000	56,000	820,000
2007 Wastewater Treatment Facility Security & Communication.....	3,200,000	366,000	1,000	4,000	2,829,000
2008 Capacity Management Operations & Maintenance Compliance.....	5,000,000	3,647,000	128,000	58,000	1,167,000
2008 General Purpose Sewer.....	4,410,000	1,799,000	458,000	23,000	2,130,000
2008 Improvements to SCADA.....	2,500,000	650,000	1,292,000	321,000	237,000
2008 Water Pollution Control Infrastructure.....	2,000,000	819,000	358,000	33,000	790,000
2009 CMOM Compliance Capital Equipment.....	5,000,000	1,671,000	125,000	32,000	3,172,000
2009 Hartford Odor Control Construction.....	4,888,000	2,024,000	0	21,000	2,843,000
2009 Water Pollution Control Infrastructure Replacements.....	4,455,000	1,796,000	1,889,000	55,000	715,000
2010 General Purpose Sewer.....	2,702,000	1,868,000	151,000	52,000	631,000
2010 Sewer Study - Dividend Brook, Rocky Hill.....	300,000	115,000	85,000	52,000	48,000
2010 WPC EH/PCF Screen & Grit Replacement Program.....	3,823,000	241,000	1,614,000	533,000	1,435,000
2010 WPC Renewal & Replacements Program.....	2,000,000	1,253,000	171,000	193,000	383,000
2010 WPS Electrical Systems Modernization Program.....	4,280,000	893,000	158,000	86,000	3,143,000
2011 Capacity Management Operation & Maintenance - Compliance.....	2,800,000	880,000	586,000	404,000	930,000
2011 General Purpose Sewer.....	2,000,000	604,000	1,050,000	130,000	216,000
2011 Sewer Pump Station Improvement Pro. Ph II.....	300,000	0	144,000	44,000	112,000
2011 Sewer Pump Station Rehabilitation.....	2,000,000	385,000	579,000	62,000	974,000
2011 WPC Electronic Development.....	1,750,000	107,000	5,000	247,000	1,391,000
2011 WPC Equipment & Facility Refurbishment.....	1,200,000	879,000	55,000	48,000	218,000
2011 WPC Renewal & Replacements.....	2,250,000	418,000	671,000	125,000	1,036,000
2012 Backwater Valve.....	540,000	2,000	14,000	41,000	483,000
2012 General Purpose Sewer.....	5,000,000	189,000	448,000	247,000	4,116,000
2012 Sanitary Sewer Replacements District - Wide.....	3,939,000	1,024,000	1,197,000	152,000	1,566,000
2012 Sewer Gate Replacement Program District - Wide.....	1,296,000	58,000	404,000	5,000	829,000
2012 Sewer Pump Station Upgrades - Fishfry Street, Hartford.....	122,000	0	15,000	42,000	65,000
2012 Sewer Pump Station Upgrades - Mohawk Drive East.....	654,000	5,000	148,000	107,000	394,000
2012 Sewer Pump Station Upgrades - Ridge Street, Windsor.....	585,000	0	32,000	44,000	509,000
2012 Sewer Replacement - Woodland Ave & Peters Road.....	1,310,000	18,000	179,000	6,000	1,107,000
2012 WPC Equipment & Facilities Refurbishment.....	1,200,000	453,000	10,000	93,000	644,000
2012 WPC Renewal & Replacement.....	3,000,000	24,000	1,361,000	639,000	976,000
2013 General Purpose Sewer.....	1,920,000	31,000	63,000	31,000	1,795,000
2013 HW/PCF Cake Storage & Gravity.....	740,000	0	15,000	24,000	701,000
2013 Pump Station Upgrades - Brookside Street, Newington.....	2,340,000	0	28,000	3,000	2,309,000
2013 Pump Station Upgrades - Chateau Woods/High Path Road.....	500,000	0	459,000	18,000	23,000
2013 Pump Station Upgrades - Harvest Lane, Windsor.....	500,000	0	286,000	18,000	196,000
2013 Pump Station Upgrades - Meadow Street, Newington.....	620,000	0	464,000	22,000	134,000
2013 Pump Station Upgrades - Mohawk Drive, East Hartford.....	2,640,000	0	137,000	25,000	2,478,000
2013 Pump Station Upgrades - Motts - Wethersfield.....	510,000	7,000	40,000	19,000	444,000
2013 Pump Station Upgrades - Ridge Street, Windsor.....	630,000	0	300,000	27,000	303,000
2013 Rocky Hill Interceptor - Relief Sewer/Capacity Improvements.....	770,000	12,000	253,000	130,000	375,000
2013 Sewer Replacement - Oakwood Ave, West Hartford.....	460,000	6,000	158,000	35,000	261,000
2013 Sewer Replacement - Pheasant Lane.....	550,000	0	4,000	20,000	526,000
2013 Sewer Replacement/Repairs - 388-390 Farmington Ave, Hartford.....	1,900,000	0	0	26,000	1,874,000
2013 WPC Equipment & Facilities Refurbishment.....	1,260,000	0	1,005,000	18,000	237,000
2014 General Purpose Sewer.....	5,000,000	0	27,000	42,000	4,931,000
2014 Hartford WPCF Sludge Mixing Tank, Sludge Screening, Upgrades.....	5,000,000	0	0	19,000	4,981,000
2014 Hartford WPCF West Primary Settling Tanks.....	3,100,000	0	1,244,000	1,051,000	805,000
2014 Levee Protection System Improvements, East Hartford & Hartford.....	3,100,000	0	36,000	4,000	3,060,000
2014 Renewable Energy Projects - Sewer Facilities.....	300,000	0	41,000	14,000	245,000
2014 Sewer Extension - Marsh Street, Wethersfield.....	400,000	0	28,000	14,000	358,000
2014 Sewer Rehabilitation Program.....	5,000,000	0	1,927,000	11,000	3,062,000
2014 Sewer Replacement - Madison Avenue, Hartford.....	1,000,000	0	29,000	62,000	909,000
2014 Various Sewer Pipe Replacement/Rehab.....	5,000,000	0	162,000	187,000	4,651,000
2014 Wastewater Pump Station Improvements.....	350,000	0	0	6,000	344,000
2014 WPC Equipment & Facilities Refurbishment.....	1,400,000	0	117,000	27,000	1,256,000
2014 WPC Renewal & Replacements.....	2,250,000	0	705,000	830,000	715,000
2015 East Hartford WPCF Compressor, DO Control & SCADA.....	5,000,000	0	0	40,000	4,960,000
2015 General Purpose Sewer.....	2,000,000	0	0	2,000	1,998,000
2015 Sanitary Sewer Easements Acquisitions & Improvements.....	3,600,000	0	0	338,000	3,262,000
2015 Sewer Rehabilitation Program.....	5,000,000	0	60,000	777,000	4,163,000
2015 WPC Equipment & Facilities Improvements.....	2,600,000	0	0	183,000	2,417,000
Total Sewer Projects.....	\$169,544,000	\$44,963,799	\$25,079,000	\$8,170,000	\$91,331,201

Project	Amount Authorized	Previously Bonded/Grants/Contributions	Series A Notes Due 3/18/16	Series C Notes This Issue	Authorized But Unissued
Combined Funding Projects					
2008 Facility & Building Improvements.....	1,500,000	1,120,000	29,000	7,000	344,000
2010 CMMS - Phase II.....	2,640,000	163,000	160,000	46,000	2,271,000
2010 Facility & Equipment Improvements Program.....	444,000	100,000	117,000	144,000	83,000
2010 Information System Improvements # 1.....	3,600,000	3,375,000	0	14,000	211,000
2010 Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Program.....	1,931,000	1,306,000	101,000	406,000	118,000
2011 Facility & Equipment Improvements.....	1,400,000	520,000	187,000	14,000	679,000
2012 Facility Improvement Program.....	2,500,000	1,535,000	112,000	42,000	811,000
2012 Fleet Replacement.....	1,800,000	805,838	354,000	278,000	362,162
2012 Headquarters Parking Garage Renovations.....	3,095,000	1,000	219,000	147,000	2,728,000
2012 Information Technology Security Improvements.....	5,000,000	460,000	200,000	148,000	4,192,000
2013 Communications System Upgrades.....	1,000,000	0	0	6,000	994,000
2013 Facilities Improvement Program.....	2,000,000	718,000	84,000	212,000	986,000
2013 Fleet Replacement.....	1,100,000	0	536,000	251,000	313,000
2013 Information Technology - Applications.....	5,000,000	155,000	12,000	202,000	4,631,000
2013 Information Technology - Hardware.....	5,000,000	1,000,000	2,012,000	1,072,000	916,000
2013 Information Technology - SAP/Oracle.....	5,000,000	2,122,000	1,099,000	755,000	1,024,000
2013 Land Improvements.....	1,000,000	0	142,000	94,000	764,000
2014 Facilities Improvement Program.....	3,000,000	0	855,000	69,000	2,076,000
2014 Information Technology.....	5,000,000	0	4,229,000	593,000	178,000
2014 Land Improvements.....	1,000,000	0	0	152,000	848,000
2015 Administrative Facilities Improvement Program.....	1,000,000	0	0	23,000	977,000
2015 Construction Services.....	3,500,000	0	73,000	1,270,000	2,157,000
2015 Engineering Services.....	2,500,000	0	41,000	878,000	1,581,000
2015 Information Systems - Business Transformation SAP Upgrade.....	5,000,000	0	0	75,000	4,925,000
2015 Survey & Construction.....	5,000,000	0	113,000	1,996,000	2,891,000
2015 Technical Services.....	4,000,000	0	81,000	1,508,000	2,411,000
Total Combined Funding Projects.....	\$74,010,000	\$13,380,838	\$10,756,000	\$10,402,000	\$39,471,162
Total.....	\$373,131,000	\$80,902,239	\$45,916,000	\$25,000,000	\$221,312,761

RATINGS

The District has not applied for credit ratings on the Notes. Currently the District has credit ratings of “Aa1” with a stable outlook from Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. (“Moody’s”) and “AA+” with a stable outlook from Standard & Poor’s, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. (“S&P”) on its outstanding general obligation bonds.

The ratings reflect only the views of the rating agencies and an explanation of the significance of such ratings may be obtained from Moody’s Investors Service, Inc., 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007 and Standard and Poor’s, 55 Water Street, 45th Floor, New York, New York 10041, respectively. There is no assurance that the ratings will continue for any given period of time or that it will not be lowered or withdrawn entirely by such rating agencies if in its judgment circumstances so warrant. Any such downward change in or withdrawal of ratings may have an adverse effect on the marketability or market price of the District’s bonds and notes.

TAX MATTERS

The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), imposes certain requirements which must be met at and subsequent to delivery of the Notes in order that interest on the Notes be and remain excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Noncompliance with such requirements could cause interest on the Notes to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes retroactive to the date of issuance of the Notes, irrespective of the date on which such noncompliance occurs. The Tax Regulatory Agreement, which will be executed and delivered by the District concurrently with the Notes, contains representations, covenants and procedures relating to the use, expenditure and investment of proceeds of the Notes in order to ensure compliance with such requirements of the Code. Pursuant to the Tax Regulatory Agreement, the District also covenants and agrees that it shall perform all things necessary or appropriate under any valid provision of law to ensure interest on the Notes shall be excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under the Code.

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, based on existing statutes and court decisions and assuming continuing compliance by the District with its covenants and the procedures contained in the Tax Regulatory Agreement, interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and is not treated as an item of tax preference for purposes of computing the federal alternative minimum tax. Interest on the Notes is, however, includable in

adjusted current earnings for purposes of computing the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on certain corporations.

Ownership of the Notes may also result in certain collateral federal income tax consequences to certain taxpayers, including, without limitation, financial institutions, property and casualty insurance companies, certain foreign corporations doing business in the United States, certain S corporations with excess passive income, individual recipients of Social Security and Railroad Retirement benefits, taxpayers utilizing the earned income credit and taxpayers who have or are deemed to have incurred indebtedness to purchase or carry tax exempt obligations, such as the Notes. Prospective purchasers of the Notes, particularly those who may be subject to special rules, are advised to consult their own tax advisors regarding the federal tax consequences of ownership and disposition of, or receipt of interest on, the Notes.

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, based on existing statutes, interest on the Notes is excluded from Connecticut taxable income for purposes of the Connecticut income tax on individuals, trusts and estates, and is excluded from amounts on which the net Connecticut minimum tax is based in the case of individuals, trusts and estates required to pay the federal alternative minimum tax.

Prospective purchasers of the Notes are advised to consult their own tax advisors regarding other State and local tax consequences of ownership and disposition of and receipt of interest on the Notes. Bond Counsel has not opined on any tax consequence not specifically stated in the opinions set forth in Appendix B.

Note Premium

Notice 94-84, 1994-2 C.B. 559, states that the Internal Revenue Service (the "Service") is studying whether the stated interest portion of the payment at maturity on a short-term debt obligation (such as the Notes), that matures not more than one year from the date of issue, bears a stated fixed rate of interest and is described in Section 103(a) of the Code, is (i) qualified stated interest that is excluded from the stated redemption price at maturity of the obligation (within the meaning of Section 1273 of the Code) but is excluded from gross income pursuant to Section 103(a) of the Code, or (ii) is not qualified stated interest and, therefore, is included by the taxpayer in the stated redemption price at maturity of the obligation, creating or increasing (as to that taxpayer) original issue discount on the obligation that is excluded from gross income pursuant to Section 103(a) of the Code. Notice 94-84 states that until the Service provides further guidance with respect to tax-exempt short-term debt obligations, a taxpayer holding such obligations may treat the stated interest payable at maturity either as qualified stated interest or as included in the stated redemption price at maturity of the obligation. However, the taxpayer must treat the amounts to be paid at maturity on all tax-exempt short-term debt obligations in a consistent manner. Notice 94-84 does not address various aspects necessary to the application of the latter method (including, for example, the treatment of a holder acquiring its Note other than in the original public offering or at a price other than the original offering price). Each prospective purchaser of the Notes should consult its own tax advisor with respect to the tax consequences of ownership of and of the election between the choices of treatment of the stated interest payable at maturity on the Notes.

To the extent that a purchaser of a Note who treats the stated interest payable at maturity as qualified stated interest (as described above) acquires the Note at a price greater than the aggregate amount (other than such qualified stated interest) payable on such Note, such excess will constitute "bond premium" under the Code. Section 171 of the Code, and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder, provide generally that bond premium on a non-callable tax-exempt obligation must be amortized over the remaining term of the obligation; the amount of premium so amortized will reduce the owner's basis in such Note for federal income tax purposes, but such amortized premium will not be deductible for federal income tax purposes. Consequently, an owner of a Note who purchased the Note with bond premium and held the Note until paid at maturity generally will not realize tax gain or loss on such Note. The rate and timing of the amortization of the bond premium and the corresponding basis reduction may result in an owner realizing a taxable gain when a Note owned by such owner is sold or disposed of for an amount equal to or in some circumstances even less than the original cost of the Note to the owner. Each prospective purchaser should consult its own tax advisors as to the computation and treatment of such amortizable bond premium, including, but not limited to, the calculation of gain or loss upon the sale, maturity or other disposition of a Note.

General

The opinion of Bond Counsel is rendered as of its date and is based on existing law, which is subject to change. Bond Counsel assumes no obligation to update or supplement its opinion to reflect any facts or circumstances that may come to their attention, or to reflect any changes in law that may thereafter occur or become effective.

Federal, state or local legislation, administrative pronouncements or court decisions may affect the tax-exempt status of interest on the Notes, gain from the sale or other disposition of the Notes, the market value of the Notes, or the marketability of the Notes, or otherwise prevent the owners of the Notes from realizing the full current benefit of the exclusion from gross income of the interest thereon. For example, federal legislative proposals have been made in recent years that would, among other things, limit the exclusion from gross income of interest on obligations such as the Notes for higher-income taxpayers. If enacted into law, such proposals could affect the tax exemption of interest on the Notes or the market price for, or marketability of, the Notes. Prospective purchasers of the Notes should consult their own tax and financial advisers regarding such matters.

CONSIDERATIONS FOR NOTEHOLDERS

In making an investment decision with respect to the Notes, investors should consider carefully the information in this Official Statement and, in addition to those investment characteristics of short-term, fixed-rate municipal debt obligations, consider the following factors.

Current Federal budget proposals would limit the value of the tax exempt nature of interest on the Notes. The President's budget proposal contemplates limiting the value of tax exempt interest, among other things, to a 28% rate, so taxpayers with a higher marginal rate will not have the equivalent benefit of full tax exemption. Any federal budget that is passed may contain similar or different limitations on the tax exempt nature of the Notes.

If the District fails to comply with the terms of certain federal and state environmental orders, it could be subject to penalties or restrictions on its operations that would impair its financial performance. If the District were unable to comply with the terms of the federal and state orders referred to under "Clean Water Project" on page 22, regulators could take action to force the District to comply. This could include monetary penalties, injunctive proceedings, and amendments to these orders. These amendments could impose a requirement to proceed more swiftly in the District's efforts, and this may increase the cost of compliance. In addition, regulators could impose additional and more burdensome conditions in the District's permits, require redesign of certain aspects of the Project or seek to prevent new connections until compliance was achieved. These steps could increase the costs of compliance and therefore increase the District's rates, adversely affect economic development, and otherwise materially adversely affect the District and its customers.

A significant portion of the District's Clean Water Project is expected to be funded through federal and state loans and grants. The District currently expects grants and low interest loans from the State Clean Water Fund will fund 45-50% of the costs of the Clean Water Project. If the Clean Water Fund has insufficient resources to fund the Clean Water Project at this level, the District may be required to issue more debt than it expects, or seek other financing, which will put the District's finances under greater pressure. The Clean Water Fund receives significant funding from the Federal government, and a failure of the Federal government to continue necessary support could lead to these consequences.

The District could seek protection from its creditors under the Federal Bankruptcy Act. Under current state law, the District is prohibited from filing for bankruptcy without the consent of the Governor of the State of Connecticut. The operations of the District as a whole could force it to seek such protection, as have other municipal bodies in other states.

II. LEGAL AND OTHER INFORMATION

LITIGATION

The District

The Metropolitan District (the “District”) is the defendant in a number of lawsuits. It is the opinion of the District Counsel that none of the lawsuits will have a material adverse effect on the financial position of the District. In addition, please see the discussion regarding the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority, now known as the Materials Innovation and Recycling Authority (the “CRRA”) under “District Functions” on page 19 herein.

The Town of Glastonbury has filed a lawsuit challenging the imposition of a non-member town capital infrastructure surcharge. Through this surcharge the MDC recaptures the proportional cost of the infrastructure utilized to provide Glastonbury and other non-member town customers with water. The District believes it possessed the authority to collect the surcharge. In 2014 the General Assembly passed Special Act 14-21 which, among other things, expressly provided for the surcharge but limited any surcharge to the amount of a customer service charge, effective January 1, 2015. The District is vigorously defending its position. Discovery is ongoing. The District does not believe the financial impact of this lawsuit will be material. Since January 1, 2015 the District has applied a surcharge consistent with Special Act 14-21 and expects the surcharge to collect \$1.7 million in fiscal 2015, compared to \$2.0 million in fiscal 2014. The District believes the impact of the statutory limitation on the surcharge will not be material.

CLOSING DOCUMENTS

Upon the delivery of the Notes, the winning purchaser(s) will be furnished with the following:

1. A Signature and No Litigation Certificate stating that at the time of delivery no litigation is pending or threatened affecting the validity of the Notes or the levy or collection of taxes to pay them.
2. A Certificate on behalf of the District signed by the Deputy Chief Executive Officer of Business Services/Chief Financial Officer/Treasurer, which will be dated the date of delivery and attached to a signed copy of the Official Statement, and which will certify, to the best of said official’s knowledge and belief, that at the time bids on the Notes were accepted, the descriptions and statements in the Official Statement relating to the District and its finances were true and correct in all material respects and did not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements therein, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, and that there has been no material adverse change in the financial condition of the District from that set forth in or contemplated by the Official Statement.
3. Receipt for the purchase price of the Notes.
4. The approving opinions of Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP, Bond Counsel, of Hartford, Connecticut and Finn Dixon & Herling LLP, Bond Counsel, of Stamford, Connecticut substantially in the form of Appendix B attached hereto.
5. An executed Continuing Disclosure Agreement for the Notes substantially in the form of Appendix C attached hereto.

The District has prepared an Official Statement for the Notes which is dated July 15, 2015. The District deems such Official Statement final as of its date for purposes of SEC Rule 15c2-12(b)(1), but it is subject to revision or amendment. The District will make available to the winning purchaser(s) of the Notes 25 copies of the Official Statement at the District’s expense within seven business days of the bid opening. Additional copies may be obtained by the winning purchaser at its own expense by arrangement with the printer.

CONCLUDING STATEMENT

This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the District and the purchaser or holders of any of the Notes. Any statements made in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion or estimates are not intended to be representations of fact, and no representation is made that any of such opinion or estimate will be realized.

No representation is made that past experience, as might be shown by financial or other information herein, will necessarily continue or be repeated in the future. Neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District since the date hereof. References to statutes, charters, or other laws herein may not be complete and such provisions of law are subject to repeal or amendment.

Certain information herein has been derived by the District from various officials, departments and other sources and is believed by the District to be reliable, but such information, other than that obtained from official records of the District, has not been independently confirmed or verified by the District and its accuracy is not guaranteed.

This Official Statement has been duly prepared and delivered by the District, and executed for and on behalf of the District by the following official:

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
OF HARTFORD COUNTY, CONNECTICUT**

By: /s/ John M. Zinzarella


*John M. Zinzarella, Deputy Chief Executive Officer of
Business Services/Chief Financial Officer/Treasurer*

July 15, 2015

PART II
INFORMATION CONCERNING
THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT, HARTFORD COUNTY, CONNECTICUT
July 15, 2015

This Part II contains information through July 15, 2015, concerning the Metropolitan District, Hartford County, Connecticut (the "District") and includes the December 31, 2014 audited financial statements of the District prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") as Appendix A. This Part II and any appendices attached thereto, should be read collectively and in their entirety.


I. THE ISSUER



DESCRIPTION OF THE DISTRICT

The Metropolitan District was created by the Connecticut General Assembly in 1929 and operates as a specially chartered municipal corporation of the State of Connecticut under Act No. 511 of the 1929 Special Acts of the State of Connecticut, as amended. The District's purpose is to provide, as authorized, a complete, adequate and modern system of water supply, sewage collection and disposal facilities for its member municipalities. Additionally, as a result of a Charter amendment approved by the Connecticut General Assembly in 1979, the District is also empowered to construct, maintain, and operate hydroelectric dams. The member municipalities incorporated in the District are the City of Hartford and the Towns of Bloomfield, East Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford, Wethersfield and Windsor (the "Member Municipalities"). The District also provides sewage disposal facilities and supplies water, under special agreements, to all or portions of non-member towns as well as various state facilities. The towns currently include Berlin, East Granby, Farmington, Glastonbury, Manchester, New Britain, Portland, South Windsor, Unionville and Windsor Locks.

ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



THE DISTRICT BOARD

A 33-member Board of Commissioners, referred to as the District Board, governs the District. The Member Municipalities appoint seventeen of the commissioners, eight are appointed by the Governor, and four are appointed by the leadership of the Connecticut State Legislature. Four nonvoting commissioners are appointed from nonmember municipalities, one each from the towns of Glastonbury, South Windsor, East Granby and Farmington. Appointments made by municipalities having three or more members are subject to the minority representation provisions of Section 9-167a of the Connecticut General Statutes. All commissioners serve without remuneration for terms of six years.

DISTRIBUTION OF COMMISSION MEMBERSHIP

	Appointed By:				
	Commissioners	Member		Governor	Connecticut State Legislature
		Municipality	Non-Member Municipality		
Bloomfield.....	1	1	0	0	0
East Hartford.....	4	3	0	1	0
Hartford.....	9	6	0	3	0
Newington.....	2	1	0	1	0
Rocky Hill.....	1	1	0	0	0
West Hartford.....	4	3	0	1	0
Wethersfield.....	2	1	0	1	0
Windsor.....	2	1	0	1	0
District at Large.....	4	0	0	0	4
Farmington.....	1	*	0	1	0
Glastonbury.....	1	*	0	1	0
South Windsor.....	1	*	0	1	0
East Granby.....	1	*	0	1	0
Total.....	33	17	4	8	4

*Non-voting.

POWERS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE DISTRICT BOARD

The District Board is authorized to establish ordinances or bylaws; organize committees and bureaus; define the powers and duties of such bodies; fix salaries and define the duties of all officers and employees; appoint deputies to any officers or agents of the District; and issue negotiable bonds, notes or other certificates of debt to meet the cost of public improvements or to raise funds in anticipation of taxes or water revenue, which debt shall be an obligation of the District and its inhabitants. The District Board has the power to levy a tax upon the Member Municipalities to finance the operational and capital budget of the General Fund.

The District Board refers a proposed budget of revenues and expenditures to the Board of Finance annually. The Board of Finance reviews the proposed budget, makes adjustments, if desired, and refers it back to the District Board for final enactment.

Capital project appropriations to be financed by the issuance of bonds, notes and other obligations of the District are subject to approval of the District Board upon recommendation of the Board of Finance.

ADMINISTRATION

Responsibility for the overall administration and management of District policy, operations and services rests with the Chief Executive Officer. The Chief Executive Officer has direct responsibility for the Human Resources and Information Technology functions and manages the remaining functions through the Deputy CEO of Engineering and Operations and Deputy CEO of Business Services. The Deputy CEO of Engineering and Operations has responsibility for design and construction of the District's Clean Water Project, Asset Management and capital planning programs, engineering, maintenance operations, water pollution control, water treatment and supply, customer service and environment, health and safety functions of the District. The Deputy CEO of Business

Services has responsibility for the District’s accounting, budgeting, treasury, procurement and risk management functions.

DISTRICT CHAIRS AND DISTRICT OFFICIALS

<u>Function</u>	<u>Chair</u>	<u>Date Term Ends</u>
District Board.....	William A. DiBella	2016
Water Bureau.....	Timothy Curtis	2016
Bureau of Public Works.....	Richard V. Vicino	2015
Personnel, Pension & Insurance.....	Alvin E. Taylor	2015
Board of Finance.....	Pasquale J. Salemi	2016

<u>Position</u>	<u>District Officials</u>
Chief Executive Officer.....	Scott W. Jellison
District Clerk.....	John S. Mirtle
District Counsel.....	R. Bartley Halloran
Deputy CEO of Engineering & Operations..	Open
Deputy CEO of Business Services.....	John M. Zinzarella
Director of Human Resources.....	Erin M. Ryan
Director of Engineering.....	Susan Negrelli
Director of Operations.....	Gerald J. Lukowski
Director of Finance.....	Robert M. Constable
Director of Procurement.....	Kelly J. Shane

Source: District Officials.

DISTRICT EMPLOYEES

The following table illustrates the full-time District employees for the last five fiscal years:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>2015¹</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Total Employees.....	526	549	521	539	544

¹ As of May, 2015.

DISTRICT EMPLOYEES BARGAINING UNITS

<u>Bargaining Groups</u>	<u>Positions Covered</u>	<u>Contract Expiration Date</u>
Clerks, Technicians and Non-Supervisory Engineers - Local 3713.....	115	December 31, 2014 ¹
Supervisors - Local 1026.....	60	December 31, 2014 ¹
Operational - Local 184.....	253	December 31, 2014 ¹
Total Union Employees.....	428	

¹ In Negotiation.

Source: District Officials.

Connecticut General Statutes Sections 7-473c, 7-474, and 10-153a to 10-153n provide a procedure for binding arbitration of collective bargaining agreements between municipal employers and organizations representing municipal employees, including certificated teachers and certain other employees. The legislative body of an affected municipality may reject an arbitration panel's decision by a two-thirds majority vote. The State and the employee organization must be advised in writing of the reasons for rejection. The State then appoints a new panel of either one or three arbitrators to review the decisions on each of the rejected issues. The panel must accept the last best offer of either party. In reaching its determination, the arbitration panel gives priority to the public interest and the financial capability of the municipal employer, including consideration of other demands on the financial capability of the municipal employer.

DISTRICT FUNCTIONS

Principal functions of the District are the development and maintenance of sewer and water systems within the boundaries of its Member Municipalities. Additionally, as a result of Charter amendments approved by the Connecticut General Assembly, the District is also empowered to construct, maintain and operate hydroelectric dams.

The District's Bureau of Public Works is responsible for the sanitary sewer system, which includes collection, transmission and treatment of sewage from within boundaries of the Member Municipalities and treatment of sewage received from non-member municipalities per special agreement. The Bureau of Public Works is empowered to authorize the layout and construction of additions and improvements to the sewer system, assess the betterments to property abutting the sanitary sewer line, defer assessments as authorized by ordinance and act on such other matters that by MDC Charter, Ordinances or By-Laws, must first be voted upon by the Bureau and then referred to the District Board for final authorization. Public hearings are held as needed. The Bureau of Public Works acts as a court for the assessment of betterments and appraisal of damages. Any party claiming to be aggrieved may take an appeal to the Superior Court of the Judicial District of Hartford.

The District's Water Bureau is responsible for the water system that includes storage, transmission, treatment and distribution of water to customers. In addition, the Water Bureau is responsible for acquisition, construction and operation of hydroelectric plants. This bureau is empowered to make such bylaws or regulations for the preservation, protection and management of the water operations as may be deemed advisable. These include the power to establish rates for the use of water, and adopt rates for the assessment of benefits upon lands and buildings resulting from installation of water mains and service pipes.

Several other committees are created by MDC Charter or established by the District Board to carry out various other functions.

Additionally, the General Assembly of the State of Connecticut passed special legislation enabling the District to maintain a series of parks (developed by Riverfront Recapture) along the Connecticut River. The cost of maintaining Riverfront Recapture's parks is incorporated into the District's water budget and recovered through water rates.

The District also engages in surveying and mapping as a service to its Member Municipalities and its own operations.

CRRA

The Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority (the "CRRA") and the District entered into a contract dated December 31, 1984, which defined the responsibilities of both parties with respect to the Mid-Connecticut Resource Recovery Facility. The agreement detailed contractual obligations of the District with respect to the operation of the waste-processing facility, the transfer stations, the Hartford landfill and the transportation systems between the transfer stations, the Hartford landfill and the waste processing facilities, as well as the contractual obligations of the CRRA to reimburse the MDC for direct and indirect costs incurred and indemnify the District for the services performed.

The term of the initial contract was for twenty seven (27) years and the CRRA had the option to extend the contract for an additional twenty (20) years under the same terms and conditions. The contract terminated on December 31, 2011. The Metropolitan District Commission has been displaced from the Mid-Connecticut Project and the CRRA has contracted with a private contractor.

As of December 30, 2011, there were 82 District employees directly assigned to manage, repair, maintain and/or operate the facilities and processes under the Mid-Connecticut Project. Through agreements with two of its three affiliated bargaining units, the District agreed to relocate vested employees (those over ten years of continuous service) into capital improvement projects. Between the two bargaining units, there were 37 employees with ten years or more of continuous service. Of the 37 employees, three chose to retire with the remainder still actively employed.

As of December 30, 2011, there were 28 employees assigned to the Mid-Connecticut Project with less than ten years of service. These employees were either re-assigned to existing operational budgeted positions or were placed on lay-off status.

The District and CRRA have given the requisite notices to enter binding arbitration to resolve liability for a dispute related to costs concerning the termination of the CRRA contract and other disputed issues. Arbitration proceedings commenced on March 31, 2014 and are continuing. The District does not expect a decision on liability before the third quarter of 2015, but no assurances can be given as to the timing or result of any such decision.

II. WATER POLLUTION CONTROL

FACILITIES FOR SEWER SERVICE As of December 31

<u>Facilities for Sewer Service</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Total General Fixed Assets ¹	\$1,187,968,518	\$1,047,263,219	\$890,623,899	\$748,542,631	\$630,175,664
Miles of Sewers:					
Sanitary.....	1,084	1,081	1,078	1,076	1,076
Combined.....	160	160	160	160	160
Storm.....	76	76	73	72	72
Estimated Sewer Connections.....	89,705	114,911	114,736	114,352	114,299
Estimated Sewer					
Population Units:					
Estimated Population.....	366,266	366,035	366,273	363,622	356,845
Estimated Family Units Sewered.....	144,199	149,218	148,710	149,146	150,891
Present Sewage Plant Capacity:					
Design Population.....	513,900	513,900	513,900	513,900	513,900
Design Flow (million gallons daily).....	105	105	105	105	105
Average Daily Flow (million gallons)....	65	66	59	60	64

¹ Includes all physical facilities and capital projects.

Source: District Officials.

Treatment: Water pollution control operations include the primary and secondary treatment of wastewater that flows into the facilities, septic tank loads received at the Hartford facility, and sludge delivered from regional towns. All treatment processes are in compliance with the District's National Pollution Discharge Elimination permits issued by the State's Department of Energy and Environmental Protection ("DEEP").

Regulatory Compliance: The District entered into a consent order and a consent decree with the State Department of Environmental Protection, the U.S. Department of Justice, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to address sanitary sewer overflow, nitrogen reduction, and combined sewer overflow issues. On November 7, 2006, the voters of the District approved an \$800,000,000 referendum, "Clean Water Project", to implement components of the previously mentioned consent order and decree. On November 6, 2012 the voters of the District approved a second \$800,000,000 referendum for the "Clean Water Project".

Maintenance/Replacement: The District's maintenance of its sewer system is part of the annual sewer operational budget. The District's replacement program is funded through appropriations under the District's Capital Improvement Budget.

Revenue: Effective January 1, 1982, the District formally adopted the Adjusted Ad Valorem sewer user charge method of funding its sewer operations. This method of funding allocates the estimated cost of providing sewer services to customers based on actual use of the sewer system. More specifically, the Adjusted Ad Valorem sewer user charge method recovers sewer system costs from three separate user classifications: (1) low flow users (less than 25,000 gallons of discharge per day); (2) high flow users (more than 25,000 gallons per day); and (3) non-municipal tax-exempt users.

Revenue from low flow users is derived from the tax levied on the MDC's member municipalities and is shown under the revenue item "Tax on Member Municipalities".

Revenue from high flow users is based on actual sewer flow discharges from those users. A surcharge is levied on high flow users whose share of costs, based on flow, exceeds the portion of their annual property tax payments rendered in support of the District's sewer system. Conversely, high flow users are eligible for year-end rebates if their user charge, based on flow, is less than the portion of the property tax they pay in support of sewer services.

Revenue from non-municipal tax-exempt properties is based on sewer flows from those properties. In addition, sewer user charge revenues from non-member municipalities, per written agreement, are based on actual sewer flows.

Cost Recovery: The District’s ability to recover costs associated with the operations of the sewer system is defined in its Charter and Ordinances. Authority to levy a tax on the member municipalities and to bill a Sewer User Charge is defined in Chapters 3 and 10, respectively, of the District Charter. Specific ordinances relating to the District’s Adjusted Ad Valorem Sewer User Charge are found in Section 12 of the District’s General Sewer Ordinances.

**SEWER USER CHARGE
As of January 1
(Per Hundred Cubic Feet)**

2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
\$2.75	\$2.62	\$2.52	\$2.43	\$2.35

Source: District Officials.

Section 12 of the District’s Sewer Ordinances was amended on October 1, 2007 by the District Board to allow the implementation of a special sewer service surcharge to fund the debt issued for the Clean Water Project. The District currently has outstanding general debt commitments that can be paid by the District from this Special Sewer Service Surcharge. The District’s outstanding Clean Water Project Revenue Bonds are being repaid from a portion of the special sewer service surcharge. These Bonds are not a general obligation of the District.

**SPECIAL SEWER SERVICE SURCHARGE
As of January 1
(Per Hundred Cubic Feet)**

2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
\$2.90	\$2.90	\$2.40	\$1.90	\$1.40

Source: District Officials.

CLEAN WATER PROJECT*

The Clean Water Project will address approximately one billion gallons of combined wastewater and storm water currently released each year to area waterways. The Project is in response to an EPA SSO federal consent decree and a Connecticut DEP CSO consent order to achieve the Federal Clean Water Act goals. The District’s goal is to fund 15-20% of the entire project with State and Federal grants; an additional 30% with State and Federal low-cost loans, and the remainder with open market debt. Project financing is expected to be repaid with a Special Sewer Service Surcharge to customers’ water bills. The Special Sewer Service Surcharge is expected to increase annually up to a maximum, currently estimated at less than \$5.00 per hundred cubic feet of usage by Fiscal Year 2021, and then decline.

Cost Estimates

The total cost of the Clean Water Project was originally estimated to be approximately \$2.1 billion and, comprise three phases, and assumed to be completed in 2021, based on assumptions about, among other things, the design as originally conceived and the pace of design and construction and regulatory review and approval. Generally speaking, appropriations for the costs of the Clean Water Project must be approved by referendum vote of the voters of the Member Municipalities. Effective October 1, 2015, P.A. 15-114 will exclude from the referendum requirement appropriations funded by federal or state grants. An \$800 million appropriation was approved at referendum on November 7, 2006. An appropriation for an additional \$800 million was approved at referendum on November 6, 2012. Completion of the Clean Water Project will require submission of one or more further appropriations for approval by voters. The District had made no determination as to when an additional referendum will be held. The District expects Phase I and Phase II will be completed within authorized appropriations, and to be placed in service without regard to the outcome of any additional referendum.

*Note: The Clean Water Project, so called, should not be confused with references herein to the “Clean Water Fund”, a program of the State of Connecticut to provide loans and grants to municipal entities for funding sewerage projects generally.

As the Project has progressed, the District has revised the original design conception. The Long Term Control Plan, which sets out the Project, was resubmitted in 2012 and revised further in 2014. It incorporates longer underground storage tunnels with greater capacity, and less sewer separation work, than the original conception, and sets forth a completion of Phase II in 2024 and Phase III in 2026. The revised plan was approved in May 2015. The delays occasioned by the redesign and permitting process, in particular with respect to the South Tunnel, now lead the District to expect an increase in projected costs of Clean Water Project as a whole, but the District has not re-estimated the overall cost. As actual design and construction of the Clean Water Project have progressed, the District has been able to refine certain design elements to achieve costs savings, has altered some aspects of the original design, and continues to examine the Clean Water Project for efficiencies that can be achieved through value engineering. In addition, some elements of construction have been completed at lower than estimated costs. The District cannot give any assurances as to when the Clean Water Project will be completed or its total cost.

The District has issued to date \$225.0 million in Clean Water Project Revenue Bonds. The revenue bonds are being repaid from a portion of the Special Sewer Service Surcharge and are not a general obligation of the District.

III. WATER OPERATIONS

Shortly after the District was created in 1929, approval was obtained from the Connecticut General Assembly and the member municipalities' electorates to construct the Barkhamsted Reservoir located on the east branch of the Farmington River in the towns of Barkhamsted and Hartland. The Barkhamsted Reservoir is the largest single water supply reservoir in Connecticut and has a capacity of 30.3 billion gallons of water.

The District has sought and received legislative and voter approval for various water programs, all with the basic objective of providing a water supply and water distribution system sufficient in size to meet current and anticipated future needs. The District's average level of water production for 2014 was 47.74 million gallons per day.

FACILITIES FOR WATER SERVICE As of December 31

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Total Utility Plant.....	\$453,177,616	\$423,897,592	\$381,880,429	\$361,492,308	\$348,225,483
Net Addition to Plant.....	29,280,024	28,843,924	20,388,121	13,266,825	38,111,083
Miles of Water Mains.....	1,549	1,543	1,541	1,540	1,542
Gross Miles Added During Year.....	6	2	1	(2)	3
Number of Hydrants.....	11,484	11,238	11,178	11,146	11,223
Number of Services.....	101,217	102,669	102,449	102,324	102,034
Number of Meters.....	102,828	103,340	103,125	102,895	102,807
Estimated Population Served.....	444,989	445,036	405,449	405,610	411,228

Source: District Officials.

NUMBER OF WATER CUSTOMERS As of December 31

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Domestic.....	94,323	94,011	93,986	93,886	93,063	94,174
Commercial.....	5,135	4,977	4,999	4,986	5,896	5,799
Industrial.....	522	519	523	525	589	595
Public & Other...	1,732	1,585	1,556	1,543	1,726	1,731
Total.....	101,712	101,092	101,064	100,940	101,274	102,299

Source: District Officials.

AVERAGE DAILY CONSUMPTION As of December 31 (Million Gallons Per Day)

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Domestic.....	28.04	26.74	27.83	27.63	29.57	28.27
Commercial.....	9.01	8.70	9.40	9.31	9.33	8.67
Industrial.....	1.21	1.23	1.38	1.43	1.48	1.51
Municipal & Other.....	3.76	3.58	3.66	3.58	3.80	3.65
Total Million Gallons Per Day¹.....	42.02	40.25	42.27	41.95	44.18	42.10
Maximum Day.....	69.59	71.84	74.68	87.06	88.65	70.87
Minimum Day.....	38.46	35.85	35.19	42.69	40.10	41.97

¹ Represents net consumption billed.

Source: District Officials.

WATER UTILITY UNIT CHARGE
As of January 1
(Per Hundred Cubic Feet)

2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
\$2.53	\$2.53	\$2.50	\$2.43	\$2.35

Source: District Officials.

Treatment: Standards for the quality of drinking water supplied to District customers are maintained in conformity with the public health code of the Connecticut Department of Public Health and as promulgated under Federal water quality standards, under the Safe Drinking Water Act.

The District is in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act, also known as Public Health Code Regulation 19-13-B102, “Standards for Quality of Public Drinking Water”, and all subsequent amendments. The District has consistently pursued a policy to provide its consumers a safe, potable water supply.

Maintenance/Replacement: The District’s maintenance of its water system is part of the annual water operational budget. Its replacement program is funded through appropriations under the District’s Capital Improvement Budget.

Revenue: The Public Utilities Regulatory Authority does not have jurisdiction to establish rates for the use of water. Setting of rates for the use of water is vested in the Water Bureau, and as required by Charter, rates must be uniform throughout the District.

Billing Cycles: The District currently has approximately 101,712 quarterly and monthly customers; approximately 99,295 of these accounts are billed quarterly, and the remaining 2,417 accounts are billed monthly.

Cost Recovery: The District’s ability to recover costs associated with the operation of the water system is defined in its Charter and Ordinances. Authority to establish rates is defined in Chapter 5 of the Charter. Specific ordinances relating to the above are found in Section W-I of the District’s Water Supply Ordinances.

IV. HYDROELECTRIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

The District's current hydroelectric program consisting of generating facilities at the Goodwin Dam in Hartland, Connecticut and at the Colebrook River Dam in Colebrook, Connecticut, was approved by the District Board on July 20, 1982. The Goodwin station began producing power on February 5, 1986, with commercial operations commencing on April 2, 1986. The Colebrook power station began producing power in May 1988, with full commercial operation commencing later that summer.

The District has agreements with the Connecticut Light & Power Company ("CL&P") for the purchase of electricity generated by the Colebrook and Goodwin generating facilities.

Deregulation: The Connecticut State Legislature mandated that CL&P divest its generating facilities and renegotiate all of its private power producer contracts. The District and CL&P negotiated a buydown agreement, effective March 1, 2001, for the original electrical power production contracts for the Colebrook and Goodwin generating facilities. The Metropolitan District received \$13,000,000 from the original buydown agreement.

The negotiated buydown agreement requires CL&P to purchase electricity from the District's Colebrook and Goodwin power generating facilities over the remaining life of the original contract. The Goodwin contract expires February 5, 2016, and the Colebrook contract expires March 31, 2017.

Revenues from power sales and from the buydown agreement are estimated by the District to be adequate to finance budget commitments applicable to the hydroelectric program.

Operations and Maintenance: The maintenance of the District's hydroelectric facilities is part of the annual hydroelectric budget. Appropriations for operating and maintenance expenses are established annually as part of the overall budget process, and these expenses are funded primarily from power sales and proceeds from the CL&P and District buydown agreement.

V. ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

POPULATION TRENDS

Town of Bloomfield				Town of East Hartford			
Year	Population ¹	% Increase	Density ²	Year	Population ¹	% Increase	Density ²
2013	20,562	0.4	785	2013	51,241	(0.0)	2,728
2010	20,486	4.6	783	2010	51,252	3.4	2,729
2000	19,587	0.5	748	2000	49,575	(1.7)	2,640
1990	19,483	4.7	744	1990	50,452	(4.0)	2,686
1980	18,608	1.7	711	1980	52,563	(8.7)	2,799
1970	18,301	34.4	699	1970	57,583	30.9	3,066

City of Hartford				Town of Newington			
Year	Population ¹	% Increase	Density ²	Year	Population ¹	% Increase	Density ²
2013	125,130	0.3	6,963	2013	30,606	0.1	2,322
2010	124,775	0.2	6,944	2010	30,562	4.3	2,319
2000	124,578	(10.8)	6,933	2000	29,306	0.3	2,224
1990	139,739	2.5	7,776	1990	29,208	1.3	2,216
1980	136,392	(13.7)	7,590	1980	28,841	10.8	2,188
1970	158,017	(2.6)	8,793	1970	26,037	47.4	1,975

Town of Rocky Hill				Town of West Hartford			
Year	Population ¹	% Increase	Density ²	Year	Population ¹	% Increase	Density ²
2013	19,743	0.2	1,431	2013	63,340	0.1	2,833
2010	19,709	9.7	1,428	2010	63,268	(0.5)	2,830
2000	17,966	8.5	1,302	2000	63,589	5.8	2,844
1990	16,554	13.7	1,200	1990	60,110	(1.9)	2,688
1980	14,559	31.1	1,055	1980	61,301	(9.9)	2,742
1970	11,103	50.0	805	1970	68,031	9.1	3,043

Town of Wethersfield				Town of Windsor			
Year	Population ¹	% Increase	Density ²	Year	Population ¹	% Increase	Density ²
2013	26,590	(0.3)	2,028	2013	29,100	0.2	983
2010	26,668	1.5	2,034	2010	29,044	2.9	981
2000	26,271	2.4	2,004	2000	28,237	1.5	954
1990	25,651	(1.4)	1,957	1990	27,817	10.4	940
1980	26,013	(2.4)	1,984	1980	25,204	12.0	851
1970	26,662	29.7	2,034	1970	22,502	15.6	760

¹ 1970-2010 – U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census; U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey FY 2013.
² Per square mile: Bloomfield: 26.2 square miles; East Hartford: 18.8 square miles; Hartford: 18.0 square miles; Newington: 13.2 square miles; Rocky Hill: 13.8 square miles; West Hartford: 22.4 square miles; Wethersfield: 13.1 square miles; Windsor: 29.6 square miles.

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF THE POPULATION

	Town of Bloomfield		Town of East Hartford		City of Hartford	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Under 5.....	780	3.8%	2,830	5.5%	8,487	6.8%
5 - 19.....	2,773	13.5%	9,767	19.1%	30,629	24.5%
20 - 44.....	5,712	27.8%	17,402	34.0%	47,258	37.8%
45 - 64.....	6,481	31.5%	14,500	28.3%	26,629	21.3%
65 - 84.....	3,736	18.2%	5,778	11.3%	10,482	8.4%
85 and over.....	1,080	5.3%	964	1.9%	1,645	1.3%
Totals.....	20,562	100.0%	51,241	100.0%	125,130	100.0%
Median Age (years)	48.2		39.0		30.1	

	Town of Newington		Town of Rocky Hill		Town of West Hartford	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Under 5.....	1,499	4.9%	1,144	5.8%	3,307	5.2%
5 - 19.....	4,729	15.5%	3,179	16.1%	12,559	19.8%
20 - 44.....	9,743	31.8%	6,186	31.3%	18,708	29.5%
45 - 64.....	8,997	29.4%	6,188	31.3%	17,767	28.1%
65 - 84.....	4,731	15.5%	2,274	11.5%	8,218	13.0%
85 and over.....	907	3.0%	772	3.9%	2,781	4.4%
Totals.....	30,606	100.0%	19,743	100.0%	63,340	100.0%
Median Age (years)		43.8		43.4		41.6

	Town of Wethersfield		Town of Windsor		State of Connecticut	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Under 5.....	1,496	5.6%	1,709	5.9%	197,395	5.5%
5 - 19.....	4,534	17.1%	5,368	18.4%	712,697	19.9%
20 - 44.....	7,520	28.3%	8,491	29.2%	1,127,712	31.5%
45 - 64.....	7,526	28.3%	9,040	31.1%	1,025,473	28.6%
65 - 84.....	4,493	16.9%	3,601	12.4%	433,682	12.1%
85 and over.....	1,021	3.8%	891	3.1%	86,602	2.4%
Totals.....	26,590	100.0%	29,100	100.0%	3,583,561	100.0%
Median Age (years)		44.4		42.6		40.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

INCOME DISTRIBUTION

	Town of Bloomfield		Town of East Hartford		City of Hartford	
	Families	Percent	Families	Percent	Families	Percent
\$ 0 - \$ 9,999.....	165	3.2%	781	6.0%	3,875	14.5%
10,000 - 14,999.....	48	0.9%	415	3.2%	2,373	8.9%
15,000 - 24,999.....	183	3.6%	991	7.7%	4,220	15.8%
25,000 - 34,999.....	226	4.4%	1,372	10.6%	3,322	12.4%
35,000 - 49,999.....	464	9.0%	1,592	12.3%	4,016	15.0%
50,000 - 74,999.....	1,109	21.6%	2,752	21.3%	4,248	15.9%
75,000 - 99,999.....	821	16.0%	2,430	18.8%	2,240	8.4%
100,000 - 149,999.....	1,102	21.4%	1,880	14.5%	1,705	6.4%
150,000 - 199,999.....	532	10.3%	437	3.4%	368	1.4%
200,000 and over.....	492	9.6%	296	2.3%	407	1.5%
Totals.....	5,142	100.0%	12,946	100.0%	26,774	100.0%

	Town of Newington		Town of Rocky Hill		Town of West Hartford	
	Families	Percent	Families	Percent	Families	Percent
\$ 0 - \$ 9,999.....	104	1.3%	12	0.2%	441	2.7%
10,000 - 14,999.....	51	0.6%	60	1.2%	278	1.7%
15,000 - 24,999.....	169	2.1%	201	4.2%	487	3.0%
25,000 - 34,999.....	436	5.3%	236	4.9%	761	4.6%
35,000 - 49,999.....	985	12.0%	324	6.7%	1,142	7.0%
50,000 - 74,999.....	1,266	15.5%	703	14.5%	2,576	15.7%
75,000 - 99,999.....	1,710	20.9%	819	16.9%	2,145	13.1%
100,000 - 149,999.....	2,241	27.4%	1,169	24.1%	3,243	19.8%
150,000 - 199,999.....	845	10.3%	694	14.3%	2,114	12.9%
200,000 and over.....	382	4.7%	625	12.9%	3,223	19.6%
Totals.....	8,189	100.0%	4,843	100.0%	16,410	100.0%

	Town of Wethersfield		Town of Windsor		State of Connecticut	
	Families	Percent	Families	Percent	Families	Percent
\$ 0 - \$ 9,999.....	64	0.9%	144	1.9%	29,895	3.3%
10,000 - 14,999.....	98	1.4%	34	0.4%	19,176	2.1%
15,000 - 24,999.....	230	3.2%	249	3.2%	47,319	5.2%
25,000 - 34,999.....	309	4.4%	279	3.6%	56,997	6.3%
35,000 - 49,999.....	533	7.5%	730	9.4%	86,025	9.5%
50,000 - 74,999.....	1,218	17.2%	1,271	16.4%	143,989	15.9%
75,000 - 99,999.....	1,052	14.8%	1,473	19.0%	131,874	14.6%
100,000 - 149,999.....	1,772	25.0%	2,037	26.2%	187,718	20.8%
150,000 - 199,999.....	879	12.4%	1,027	13.2%	90,602	10.0%
200,000 and over.....	942	13.3%	521	6.7%	109,982	12.2%
Totals.....	7,097	100.0%	7,765	100.0%	903,577	100.0%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

INCOME LEVELS

	Town of Bloomfield	Town of East Hartford	City of Hartford	Town of Newington	Town of Rocky Hill
Per Capita Income, 2013.....	\$41,702	\$25,578	\$16,619	\$36,462	\$42,229
Per Capita Income, 2010.....	\$39,738	\$24,373	\$16,798	\$32,561	\$36,021
Per Capita Income, 1999.....	\$28,843	\$21,763	\$13,428	\$26,881	\$29,701
Per Capita Income, 1989.....	\$22,478	\$16,575	\$11,081	\$19,668	\$21,918
Median Family Income, 2013.....	\$83,333	\$60,440	\$33,756	\$90,979	\$101,446
Median Family Income, 2010.....	\$84,583	\$57,848	\$32,820	\$80,597	\$88,750
Median Family Income, 1999.....	\$64,892	\$50,540	\$27,051	\$67,085	\$72,726
Median Family Income, 1989.....	\$56,541	\$36,584	\$24,774	\$50,916	\$56,396
Percent Below Poverty Level 2013.....	5.0%	11.1%	30.7%	2.1%	3.7%

	Town of West Hartford	Town of Wethersfield	Town of Windsor	State of Connecticut
Per Capita Income, 2013.....	\$47,485	\$39,587	\$35,857	\$37,892
Per Capita Income, 2010.....	\$43,534	\$37,329	\$35,780	\$36,775
Per Capita Income, 1999.....	\$33,468	\$28,930	\$27,633	\$28,766
Per Capita Income, 1989.....	\$26,943	\$22,246	\$19,592	\$20,189
Median Family Income, 2013.....	\$106,634	\$100,722	\$93,688	\$87,245
Median Family Income, 2010.....	\$102,547	\$91,563	\$90,865	\$84,170
Median Family Income, 1999.....	\$77,865	\$68,154	\$73,064	\$65,521
Median Family Income, 1989.....	\$60,518	\$53,111	\$55,400	\$49,199
Percent Below Poverty Level 2013.....	4.9%	3.6%	3.4%	7.3%

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census, 2010, 2000, and 1990; Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT
Years of School Completed Age 25 and Over

	Town of Bloomfield		Town of East Hartford		City of Hartford	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Less than 9th grade.....	550	3.5%	2,362	6.6%	10,610	14.4%
9th to 12th grade.....	774	5.0%	3,496	9.8%	11,761	16.0%
High School graduate.....	4,318	27.6%	12,287	34.5%	21,915	29.8%
Some college, no degree.....	3,051	19.5%	7,852	22.0%	13,921	19.0%
Associate's degree	1,093	7.0%	2,916	8.2%	4,051	5.5%
Bachelor's degree.....	3,315	21.2%	4,409	12.4%	6,451	8.8%
Graduate or professional degree...	2,520	16.1%	2,296	6.4%	4,734	6.4%
Totals.....	15,621	100.0%	35,618	100.0%	73,443	100.0%
Total high school graduate or higher (%).....		91.5%		83.6%		69.5%
Total bachelor's degree or higher (%).....		37.4%		18.8%		15.2%

	Town of Newington		Town of Rocky Hill		Town of West Hartford	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Less than 9th grade.....	882	3.9%	341	2.4%	1,290	2.9%
9th to 12th grade.....	1,127	5.0%	647	4.5%	1,414	3.2%
High School graduate.....	6,240	27.6%	3,771	26.2%	7,746	17.5%
Some college, no degree.....	4,361	19.3%	2,251	15.6%	5,394	12.2%
Associate's degree	2,270	10.0%	1,030	7.1%	2,440	5.5%
Bachelor's degree.....	4,764	21.1%	3,933	27.3%	11,782	26.6%
Graduate or professional degree...	2,971	13.1%	2,443	16.9%	14,289	32.2%
Totals.....	22,615	100.0%	14,416	100.0%	44,355	100.0%
Total high school graduate or higher (%).....		91.1%		93.1%		93.9%
Total bachelor's degree or higher (%).....		34.2%		44.2%		58.8%

	Town of Wethersfield		Town of Windsor		State of Connecticut	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Less than 9th grade.....	936	4.9%	620	3.1%	109,133	4.5%
9th to 12th grade.....	948	4.9%	985	4.9%	155,272	6.4%
High School graduate.....	4,721	24.6%	5,342	26.4%	678,370	27.8%
Some college, no degree.....	3,274	17.0%	3,830	19.0%	431,469	17.7%
Associate's degree	1,361	7.1%	2,157	10.7%	178,597	7.3%
Bachelor's degree.....	4,608	24.0%	3,961	19.6%	498,124	20.4%
Graduate or professional degree...	3,366	17.5%	3,315	16.4%	392,796	16.1%
Totals.....	19,214	100.0%	20,210	100.0%	2,443,761	100.0%
Total high school graduate or higher (%).....		90.2%		92.1%		89.2%
Total bachelor's degree or higher (%).....		41.5%		36.0%		36.5%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

MAJOR EMPLOYERS WITHIN THE DISTRICT

Employer	Product	Location	Estimated Number of Employees
United Technologies.....	Manufacturer	Hartford	26,400
The Hartford Financial Group.....	Insurance	Hartford	12,600
Pratt and Whitney Aircraft.....	Manufacturer	East Hartford	9,000
Aetna Inc.....	Insurance	Hartford	7,366
St. Paul Travelers Co.....	Insurance	Hartford	6,200
Hartford Hospital.....	Hospital	Hartford	5,100
Northeast Utilities.....	Utility	Hartford	4,148
Saint Francis Hospital.....	Hospital	Hartford	3,466
CIGNA Corp.....	Insurance	Bloomfield	3,460
United Health Care.....	Insurance	Hartford	2,300
Hartford Life.....	Insurance	Windsor	2,200
University of Hartford.....	University	West Hartford	2,015
MetLife.....	Insurance	Bloomfield	2000 ¹
Town of West Hartford.....	Municipality	West Hartford	1,964
VOYA.....	Financial Services	Windsor	1,800
Town of East Hartford.....	Municipality	East Hartford	1,780
City of Hartford.....	Municipality	Hartford	1,774
CT Dept of Labor.....	State of CT	Wethersfield	1,400
Alstom Power Equipment.....	Power Generation Equipment	Windsor	1,350
CT Dept. of Transportation.....	State of CT	Newington	1,025
Uniprise.....	Insurance, Financial Services	Hartford	1,018
CIGNA Corp.....	Insurance	Windsor	1,000
Kaman Corporation.....	Manufacturer	Bloomfield	925
Town of Windsor.....	Municipality	Windsor	879
Hartford Hospital - Newington.....	Health Services	Newington	750
Goodwin College.....	University	East Hartford	745
Town of Wethersfield.....	Municipality	Wethersfield	714
Hebrew Home.....	Health Care	West Hartford	704
Westinghouse Electric Company.....	Nuclear Power Engineering	Windsor	700
Town of Newington.....	Municipality	Newington	664
Town of Bloomfield.....	Municipality	Bloomfield	643
Eversource.....	Utility	Windsor	600
Coca Cola.....	Bottler	East Hartford	600
Homegoods Distribution.....	Wholesale Distribution	Bloomfield	575
Bank of America Headquarters.....	Financial Services	East Hartford	550
The Metropolitan District.....	Water & Sewer Authority	Hartford	526
United Technologies Research.....	Research Lab	East Hartford	500
Wiremold Product, Inc.....	Manufacturer	West Hartford	500
Walgreens.....	Drug Store Distribution Center	Windsor	500
Henkel Corporation.....	Adhesives & Sealants	Rocky Hill	500
CSC Financial.....	Software Services	East Hartford	490
Jacobs Vehicle Systems.....	Manufacturer	Bloomfield	475
UTC Aerospace.....	Manufacturing	West Hartford	465
Veteran's Administration Hospital.....	Health Services	Newington	451
Konica Minolta.....	Imaging & Print Processor	Windsor	450
Town of Rocky Hill.....	Municipality	Rocky Hill	441
Data-Mail.....	Mail Services	Newington	421
Permasteelisa.....	Manufacturer	Windsor	400
Seabury.....	Health Care	Bloomfield	400

¹ MetLife has recently announced the consolidation of offices throughout the country to two campuses to be built in North Carolina. The multiyear plan is expected to be completed in 2015, and will result in the loss of approximately 650 jobs at its Bloomfield facility.

Source: 2014 Audited Financial Statements of Member Municipalities; Official Statements: December 2014 Wethersfield, January 2015 West Hartford, June 2015 Rocky Hill, and June 2015 Windsor.

Note: The information shown above was derived from information obtained from various sources believed to be reliable as of the date provided. It should be noted the data may not reflect all consolidation or workforce reduction plans.

EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY

Sector	Town of Bloomfield		Town of East Hartford		City of Hartford	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining.....	20	0.2%	29	0.1%	106	0.2%
Construction.....	458	4.4%	793	3.2%	2,138	4.5%
Manufacturing.....	774	7.4%	2,951	11.8%	3,372	7.1%
Wholesale Trade.....	86	0.8%	959	3.8%	1,082	2.3%
Retail Trade.....	1,059	10.2%	3,346	13.3%	6,360	13.3%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities....	568	5.5%	1,639	6.5%	2,242	4.7%
Information.....	283	2.7%	602	2.4%	678	1.4%
Finance, insurance, real estate, and rental and leasing.....	1,323	12.7%	2,449	9.8%	3,014	6.3%
Professional, scientific, management, administrative, and waste management svcs....	876	8.4%	2,268	9.0%	5,369	11.3%
Educational, health and social services.....	3,401	32.7%	5,814	23.2%	12,768	26.8%
Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services.....	416	4.0%	2,065	8.2%	5,870	12.3%
Other services (except public administration).....	440	4.2%	1,182	4.7%	2,768	5.8%
Public Administration.....	691	6.6%	973	3.9%	1,946	4.1%
Total Labor Force, Employed.....	10,395	100.0%	25,070	100.0%	47,713	100.0%

Sector	Town of Newington		Town of Rocky Hill		Town of West Hartford	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining.....	27	0.2%	9	0.1%	19	0.1%
Construction.....	686	4.3%	454	4.3%	894	2.8%
Manufacturing.....	1,716	10.7%	964	9.2%	2,745	8.6%
Wholesale Trade.....	383	2.4%	268	2.5%	668	2.1%
Retail Trade.....	1,799	11.2%	827	7.9%	2,234	7.0%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities....	671	4.2%	252	2.4%	811	2.5%
Information.....	366	2.3%	119	1.1%	868	2.7%
Finance, insurance, real estate, and rental and leasing.....	1,888	11.8%	1,804	17.1%	4,303	13.4%
Professional, scientific, management, administrative, and waste management svcs....	1,199	7.5%	1,643	15.6%	4,182	13.0%
Educational, health and social services.....	4,807	29.9%	2,615	24.8%	10,652	33.2%
Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services.....	896	5.6%	638	6.1%	2,157	6.7%
Other services (except public administration).....	661	4.1%	460	4.4%	1,295	4.0%
Public Administration.....	957	6.0%	479	4.5%	1,253	3.9%
Total Labor Force, Employed.....	16,056	100.0%	10,532	100.0%	32,081	100.0%

Sector	Town of Wethersfield		Town of Windsor		State of Connecticut	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining.....	0	0.0%	23	0.2%	6,945	0.4%
Construction.....	556	4.2%	816	5.3%	99,444	5.7%
Manufacturing.....	1,013	7.7%	1,114	7.3%	193,945	11.0%
Wholesale Trade.....	382	2.9%	404	2.6%	43,550	2.5%
Retail Trade.....	1,288	9.8%	1,280	8.4%	191,841	10.9%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities....	522	4.0%	809	5.3%	65,630	3.7%
Information.....	232	1.8%	419	2.7%	41,588	2.4%
Finance, insurance, real estate, and rental and leasing.....	2,035	15.5%	2,236	14.6%	160,976	9.1%
Professional, scientific, management, administrative, and waste management svcs....	1,313	10.0%	1,747	11.4%	194,959	11.1%
Educational, health and social services.....	3,592	27.4%	3,974	25.9%	464,177	26.4%
Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services.....	928	7.1%	1,081	7.1%	148,097	8.4%
Other services (except public administration).....	474	3.6%	535	3.5%	81,443	4.6%
Public Administration.....	781	6.0%	880	5.7%	66,817	3.8%
Total Labor Force, Employed.....	13,116	100.0%	15,318	100.0%	1,759,412	100.0%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

EMPLOYMENT DATA

Period ¹	Percentage Unemployed				
	Town of Bloomfield	Town of East Hartford	City of Hartford	Town of Newington	Town of Rocky Hill
April 2015.....	6.6%	7.6%	11.0%	4.8%	4.2%
March 2015.....	7.1	8.1	11.5	5.4	4.8
February 2015.....	7.3	8.6	12.1	5.8	5.0
January 2015.....	7.3	8.4	12.0	5.6	4.9
Annual Average					
2014.....	7.3%	8.5%	12.2%	5.4%	5.0%
2013.....	8.5	10.0	14.3	6.4	5.7
2012.....	9.1	10.7	15.1	7.0	6.2
2011.....	9.3	11.1	15.7	7.6	6.7
2010.....	9.5	11.3	15.6	8.1	7.0
2009.....	9.0	10.6	14.3	7.3	6.7
2008.....	6.4	7.1	10.7	4.8	4.7
2007.....	5.3	6.1	9.0	4.1	3.9
2006.....	5.4	5.9	9.0	3.8	3.6
2005.....	5.9	6.4	9.7	4.3	4.1
2004.....	6.1	6.6	10.0	4.3	4.2

Period ¹	Percentage Unemployed				
	Town of West Hartford	Town of Wethersfield	Town of Windsor	Hartford Labor Market	State of Connecticut
April 2015.....	4.0%	4.9%	5.4%	5.6%	5.8%
March 2015.....	4.4	5.4	6.0	6.3	6.4
February 2015.....	4.7	5.7	6.3	6.7	6.8
January 2015.....	4.7	5.7	6.3	6.4	6.7
Annual Average					
2014.....	4.7%	5.7%	6.4%	6.6%	6.6%
2013.....	5.5	6.5	7.6	7.7	7.7
2012.....	6.1	7.1	8.1	8.4	8.3
2011.....	6.5	7.8	8.2	8.8	8.8
2010.....	6.8	8.3	8.6	9.2	9.1
2009.....	7.3	7.8	8.0	8.3	8.3
2008.....	5.0	5.1	5.2	5.7	5.6
2007.....	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.7	4.6
2006.....	3.9	4.3	4.2	4.6	4.4
2005.....	4.3	4.6	4.6	5.1	4.9
2004.....	4.4	4.6	4.9	5.2	4.9

¹ Not seasonally adjusted.

Source: Department of Labor, State of Connecticut.

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSING

Year Built	Town of Bloomfield		Town of East Hartford		City of Hartford	
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent
1939 or earlier.....	668	7.5%	3,479	16.0%	19,666	36.4%
1940 to 1969.....	4,287	48.3%	12,419	57.2%	22,351	41.4%
1970 to 1979.....	1,153	13.0%	2,558	11.8%	4,256	7.9%
1980 to 1989.....	1,377	15.5%	2,244	10.3%	3,428	6.4%
1990 to 2000.....	565	6.4%	619	2.9%	1,954	3.6%
Later than 2000.....	827	9.3%	385	1.8%	2,308	4.3%
Total housing units, 2013....	8,877	100.0%	21,704	100.0%	53,963	100.0%
Percent Owner Occupied, 2013		73.2%		58.0%		24.1%

Year Built	Town of Newington		Town of Rocky Hill		Town of West Hartford	
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent
1939 or earlier.....	1,190	9.1%	582	6.8%	7,147	27.4%
1940 to 1969.....	6,032	46.3%	1,941	22.7%	13,898	53.3%
1970 to 1979.....	2,698	20.7%	2,477	29.0%	2,073	7.9%
1980 to 1989.....	1,428	11.0%	1,803	21.1%	1,507	5.8%
1990 to 2000.....	928	7.1%	960	11.2%	577	2.2%
Later than 2000.....	765	5.9%	776	9.1%	892	3.4%
Total housing units, 2013....	13,041	100.0%	8,539	100.0%	26,094	100.0%
Percent Owner Occupied, 2013		82.7%		66.8%		72.6%

Year Built	Town of Wethersfield		Town of Windsor		State of Connecticut	
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent
1939 or earlier.....	2,195	19.2%	1,684	14.7%	336,587	22.6%
1940 to 1969.....	5,525	48.3%	4,359	38.0%	538,727	36.2%
1970 to 1979.....	1,322	11.6%	2,101	18.3%	200,576	13.5%
1980 to 1989.....	1,335	11.7%	1,878	16.4%	192,185	12.9%
1990 to 2000.....	676	5.9%	665	5.8%	111,295	7.5%
Later than 2000.....	375	3.3%	790	6.9%	107,625	7.2%
Total housing units, 2013....	11,428	100.0%	11,477	100.0%	1,486,995	100.0%
Percent Owner Occupied, 2013		78.8%		82.2%		67.8%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

HOUSING INVENTORY

Type	Town of Bloomfield		Town of East Hartford		City of Hartford	
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent
1 unit detached.....	5,847	65.9%	11,283	52.0%	8,152	15.1%
1 unit attached.....	411	4.6%	1,093	5.0%	2,243	4.2%
2 to 4 units.....	771	8.7%	3,858	17.8%	19,671	36.5%
5 to 9 units.....	679	7.6%	841	3.9%	8,232	15.3%
10 or more units.....	1,169	13.2%	4,067	18.7%	15,599	28.9%
Mobile home, trailer, other.	0	0.0%	562	2.6%	66	0.1%
Total Inventory.....	8,877	100.0%	21,704	100.0%	53,963	100.0%

Type	Town of Newington		Town of Rocky Hill		Town of West Hartford	
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent
1 unit detached.....	8,224	63.1%	4,181	49.0%	17,376	66.6%
1 unit attached.....	1,508	11.6%	926	10.8%	758	2.9%
2 to 4 units.....	1,128	8.6%	793	9.3%	2,991	11.5%
5 to 9 units.....	1,052	8.1%	430	5.0%	785	3.0%
10 or more units.....	1,101	8.4%	2,164	25.3%	4,111	15.8%
Mobile home, trailer, other.	28	0.2%	45	0.5%	73	0.3%
Total Inventory.....	13,041	100.0%	8,539	100.0%	26,094	100.0%

Type	Town of Wethersfield		Town of Windsor		State of Connecticut	
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent
1 unit detached.....	8,319	74.0%	8,891	76.5%	882,026	59.3%
1 unit attached.....	493	4.4%	645	5.5%	80,070	5.4%
2 to 4 units.....	976	8.7%	1,033	8.9%	252,085	17.0%
5 to 9 units.....	67	0.6%	301	2.6%	80,615	5.4%
10 or more units.....	1,385	12.3%	749	6.4%	179,348	12.1%
Mobile home, trailer, other.	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	12,851	0.9%
Total Inventory.....	11,240	100.0%	11,629	100.0%	1,486,995	100.0%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING VALUES

Specified Owner-Occupied Units	Town of Bloomfield		Town of East Hartford		City of Hartford	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Less than \$50,000.....	134	2.2%	584	4.9%	550	5.0%
\$50,000 to \$99,999.....	201	3.2%	739	6.3%	1,476	13.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,999.....	918	14.7%	2,182	18.5%	1,964	17.8%
\$150,000 to \$199,999.....	1,516	24.3%	4,174	35.3%	3,314	30.1%
\$200,000 to \$299,999.....	2,076	33.3%	3,587	30.4%	2,278	20.7%
\$300,000 to \$499,999.....	1,168	18.8%	383	3.2%	1,024	9.3%
\$500,000 to \$999,999.....	193	3.1%	155	1.3%	307	2.8%
\$1,000,000 or more.....	21	0.3%	12	0.1%	107	1.0%
Totals.....	6,227	100.0%	11,816	100.0%	11,020	100.0%

Median Sales Price ¹	\$134,000	\$112,800	\$93,900
Median Sales Price ²	\$214,800	\$174,700	\$168,700

Specified Owner-Occupied Units	Town of Newington		Town of Rocky Hill		Town of West Hartford	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Less than \$50,000.....	172	1.6%	108	2.0%	311	1.7%
\$50,000 to \$99,999.....	157	1.5%	135	2.5%	143	0.8%
\$100,000 to \$149,999.....	1,134	10.8%	322	5.9%	475	2.6%
\$150,000 to \$199,999.....	1,951	18.6%	710	13.1%	1,304	7.2%
\$200,000 to \$299,999.....	5,222	49.9%	1,910	35.2%	6,644	36.7%
\$300,000 to \$499,999.....	1,696	16.2%	1,881	34.7%	6,710	37.1%
\$500,000 to \$999,999.....	65	0.6%	353	6.5%	2,194	12.1%
\$1,000,000 or more.....	67	0.6%	0	0.0%	308	1.7%
Totals.....	10,464	100.0%	5,419	100.0%	18,089	100.0%

Median Sales Price ¹	\$144,800	\$165,400	\$176,400
Median Sales Price ²	\$231,200	\$269,500	\$303,700

Specified Owner-Occupied Units	Town of Wethersfield		Town of Windsor		State of Connecticut	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Less than \$50,000.....	197	2.3%	177	2.0%	20,800	2.3%
\$50,000 to \$99,999.....	137	1.6%	126	1.5%	24,638	2.7%
\$100,000 to \$149,999.....	314	3.6%	725	8.4%	66,934	7.3%
\$150,000 to \$199,999.....	1,157	13.4%	1,648	19.0%	135,714	14.8%
\$200,000 to \$299,999.....	4,093	47.3%	4,262	49.2%	264,832	28.8%
\$300,000 to \$499,999.....	2,427	28.0%	1,557	18.0%	250,076	27.2%
\$500,000 to \$999,999.....	307	3.5%	97	1.1%	114,622	12.5%
\$1,000,000 or more.....	26	0.3%	69	0.8%	41,872	4.6%
Totals.....	8,658	100.0%	8,661	100.0%	919,488	100.0%
Median Sales Price ¹		\$159,300		\$142,200		\$166,900
Median Sales Price ²		\$262,000		\$236,000		\$278,900

¹ Median Sales Price, U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census, 2000.

² U. S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey

Source: U. S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

VI. TAX BASE DATA

TAX COLLECTION PROCEDURE

The method for taxing Member Municipalities is set forth in Section 3-12 of the District Charter which grants the District Board, acting on the recommendation of the Board of Finance, the power to levy tax upon the Member Municipalities sufficient to finance the District's budgeted expenses. The tax is divided among the Member Municipalities in proportion to the total revenue received yearly from direct taxation in each Member Municipality, as averaged over the prior three years.

COMPARATIVE ASSESSED VALUATIONS

Town of Bloomfield			Town of East Hartford		
Grand List of 10/1	Net Taxable Grand List	% Growth	Grand List of 10/1	Net Taxable Grand List	% Growth
2014 ¹	\$2,033,984,990	-1.6%	2014	\$2,689,464,641	0.1%
2013	2,067,157,242	1.7%	2013	2,687,876,591	-0.1%
2012	2,032,528,017	2.6%	2012	2,691,709,967	-0.1%
2011	1,981,916,344	1.7%	2011 ¹	2,695,242,754	-12.9%
2010	1,948,057,019	-2.1%	2010	3,095,300,382	0.1%
2009 ¹	1,990,439,045	13.4%	2009	3,092,179,605	-0.5%
2008	1,755,693,878	1.9%	2008	3,107,157,886	-2.1%
2007	1,723,152,319	1.6%	2007	3,172,514,025	16.4%
2006	1,695,764,929	-1.3%	2006	2,724,586,547	16.6%
2005	1,717,320,856	3.6%	2005	2,336,874,270	0.2%

¹ Revaluation Year.

¹ Revaluation Year.

City of Hartford			Town of Newington		
Grand List of 10/1	Net Taxable Grand List	% Growth	Grand List of 10/1	Net Taxable Grand List	% Growth
2014	\$3,619,341,714	2.5%	2014	\$2,550,822,204	0.1%
2013	3,531,344,777	1.2%	2013	2,548,042,597	0.5%
2012	3,487,781,236	2.0%	2012	2,536,619,686	-1.1%
2011 ¹	3,417,940,335	-8.6%	2011 ¹	2,564,276,354	-4.3%
2010	3,738,377,678	3.7%	2010	2,679,238,211	0.4%
2009	3,604,167,480	4.0%	2009	2,667,951,078	0.9%
2008	3,465,777,122	0.4%	2008	2,645,387,187	0.5%
2007	3,451,438,441	3.5%	2007	2,633,316,889	1.7%
2006 ²	3,334,666,569	-5.9%	2006	2,590,253,718	1.0%
2005	3,543,536,778	2.5%	2005 ¹	2,565,009,043	45.3%

¹ Revaluation Year.

² Revaluation Year. The City implemented a five-year phase-in of the revaluation.

¹ Revaluation Year.

Town of Rocky Hill

<u>Grand List of 10/1</u>	<u>Net Taxable Grand List</u>	<u>% Growth</u>
2014	\$2,018,435,060	1.5%
2013 ¹	1,988,502,360	-8.1%
2012	2,164,593,839	0.4%
2011	2,156,334,575	0.0%
2010	2,155,935,688	-2.0%
2009	2,200,202,480	-0.1%
2008 ¹	2,202,202,012	32.9%
2007	1,656,796,387	1.3%
2006	1,635,894,255	1.8%
2005	1,607,190,572	0.0%

¹ Revaluation Year

Town of West Hartford

<u>Grand List of 10/1</u>	<u>Net Taxable Grand List</u>	<u>% Growth</u>
2014	\$5,946,170,476	0.4%
2013	5,924,661,849	0.5%
2012	5,893,896,106	0.2%
2011 ¹	5,880,331,173	16.8%
2010	5,034,401,821	0.7%
2009	4,999,850,000	0.9%
2008 ²	4,953,979,658	1.3%
2007	4,889,430,313	8.7%
2006 ³	4,497,443,813	21.2%
2005	3,710,940,390	1.4%

¹ Revaluation Year.

² In June 2009, the Town Council elected to suspend the phase-in as allowed under newly enacted State legislation.

³ Revaluation Year. The Town implemented a phase-in for a period not to exceed five years.

Town of Wethersfield

<u>Grand List of 10/1</u>	<u>Net Taxable Grand List</u>	<u>% Growth</u>
2014	\$2,213,400,730	0.3%
2013 ¹	2,205,813,324	-5.7%
2012	2,338,758,240	0.4%
2011	2,329,648,250	0.6%
2010	2,314,769,170	0.0%
2009	2,315,493,100	0.4%
2008 ¹	2,307,397,010	15.2%
2007	2,003,032,473	0.6%
2006	1,991,317,830	0.0%
2005	1,991,573,140	0.9%

¹ Revaluation Year.

Town of Windsor

<u>Grand List of 10/1</u>	<u>Net Taxable Grand List</u>	<u>% Growth</u>
2014	\$2,849,933,303	0.7%
2013 ¹	2,831,162,216	-6.1%
2012	3,014,279,336	3.7%
2011	2,907,891,898	3.4%
2010	2,811,979,697	3.2%
2009	2,724,614,072	-7.2%
2008 ¹	2,937,296,936	13.4%
2007	2,590,737,631	7.9%
2006	2,401,738,783	4.3%
2005	2,303,733,056	0.9%

¹ Revaluation Year.

Source: Assessor's Office, Towns of Bloomfield, East Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford, Wethersfield, Windsor and the City of Hartford.

PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS – TOWN OF BLOOMFIELD

<u>Grand List of 10/1</u>	<u>Fiscal Year Year Ending 6/30</u>	<u>Net Taxable Grand List</u>	<u>Mill Rate</u>	<u>Tax Levy</u>	<u>Percent Annual Levy Collected End of Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Percent Annual Levy Uncollected End of Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Percent Annual Levy Uncollected 6/30/2014</u>
2014	2016	\$2,033,984,990	36.00	\$71,333,195	Collections 7/1/15 & 1/1/16		
2013	2015	2,067,157,242	34.84	70,343,011	Collections 7/1/14 & 1/1/15		
2012	2014	2,032,528,017	34.85	71,075,964	98.5%	1.5%	1.5%
2011	2013	1,981,916,344	34.55	68,561,240	98.4%	1.6%	0.6%
2010	2012	1,948,057,019	33.70	65,281,933	98.7%	1.3%	0.1%
2009	2011	1,990,439,045	32.72	63,290,257	98.4%	1.6%	0.1%
2008	2010	1,755,693,878	35.53	62,068,048	97.8%	2.2%	0.0%
2007	2009	1,723,152,319	35.29	60,370,599	98.3%	1.7%	0.0%
2006	2008	1,695,764,929	34.33	57,873,253	98.2%	1.8%	0.0%
2005	2007	1,717,320,856	32.50	53,838,811	97.9%	2.1%	0.0%

Source: Tax Collector's Office, Town of Bloomfield.

PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS – TOWN OF EAST HARTFORD

Grand List of 10/1	Fiscal Year Ending 6/30	Net Taxable Grand List	Mill Rate	Tax Levy	Percent Annual Levy Collected End of	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected
					Fiscal Year	End of Fiscal Year	6/30/2014
2014	2016	\$2,689,464,641	45.86	\$120,917,824	<i>Collections 7/1/15 & 1/1/16</i>		
2013	2015	2,687,876,591	45.40	119,555,929	<i>Collections 7/1/14 & 1/1/15</i>		
2012	2014	2,691,709,967	43.90	117,379,000	97.9%	2.1%	2.1%
2011	2013	2,695,242,754	42.79	115,155,000	97.1%	2.9%	0.7%
2010	2012	3,095,300,382	34.42	106,016,000	97.3%	2.7%	0.1%
2009	2011	3,092,179,605	33.82	104,001,000	97.2%	2.8%	0.1%
2008	2010	3,107,157,886	31.67	97,618,000	97.7%	2.3%	0.1%
2007	2009	3,172,514,025	31.67	99,128,000	97.6%	2.4%	0.0%
2006	2008	2,724,586,547	36.16	98,607,000	96.0%	4.0%	0.0%
2005	2007	2,336,874,270	43.62	102,173,000	97.5%	2.5%	0.0%

Source: Tax Collector's Office, Town of East Hartford.

PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS – CITY OF HARTFORD

Grand List of 10/1	Fiscal Year Ending 6/30	Net Taxable Grand List	Mill Rate	Tax Levy	Percent Annual Levy Collected End of	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected
					Fiscal Year	End of Fiscal Year	6/30/2014
2014	2016	\$3,619,341,714	74.29	\$249,236,672	<i>Collections 7/1/15 & 1/1/16</i>		
2013	2015	3,531,344,777	76.79	250,735,285	<i>Collections 7/1/14 & 1/1/15</i>		
2012	2014	3,487,781,236	74.29	248,851,000	95.0%	5.0%	5.0%
2011	2013	3,417,940,335	74.29	247,520,000	94.6%	5.4%	3.1%
2010	2012	3,738,377,678	71.79	278,481,000	93.8%	6.2%	2.1%
2009	2011	3,604,167,480	72.79	268,745,000	95.4%	4.6%	1.8%
2008	2010	3,465,777,122	72.79	260,038,000	95.8%	4.2%	1.5%
2007	2009	3,451,438,441	68.34	242,777,000	96.2%	3.8%	1.6%
2006	2008	3,334,666,569	63.39	221,445,000	96.3%	3.7%	1.0%
2005	2007	3,543,536,778	64.82	229,569,000	95.5%	4.5%	0.8%

Source: Tax Collector's Office, City of Hartford.

PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS – TOWN OF NEWINGTON

Grand List of 10/1	Fiscal Year Ending 6/30	Net Taxable Grand List	Mill Rate	Tax Levy	Percent Annual Levy Collected End of	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected
					Fiscal Year	End of Fiscal Year	6/30/2014
2014	2016	\$2,550,822,204	35.80	\$89,713,037	<i>Collections 7/1/15 & 1/1/16</i>		
2013	2015	2,548,042,597	34.77	87,006,952	<i>Collections 7/1/14 & 1/1/15</i>		
2012	2014	2,536,619,686	33.63	85,346,000	99.1%	0.9%	0.9%
2011	2013	2,564,276,354	32.64	82,937,000	99.3%	0.7%	0.2%
2010	2012	2,679,238,211	30.02	80,441,000	98.9%	1.1%	0.1%
2009	2011	2,667,951,078	29.18	77,679,000	98.8%	1.2%	0.1%
2008	2010	2,645,387,187	28.40	75,091,000	99.1%	0.9%	0.0%
2007	2009	2,633,316,889	27.68	72,940,000	98.9%	1.1%	0.0%
2006	2008	2,590,253,718	26.91	69,973,000	99.1%	0.9%	0.0%
2005	2007	2,565,009,043	25.76	66,006,000	99.2%	0.8%	0.0%

Source: Tax Collector's Office, Town of Newington.

PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS – TOWN OF ROCKY HILL

Grand List of 10/1	Fiscal Year Year Ending 6/30	Net Taxable Grand List	Mill Rate	Tax Levy	Percent Annual Levy Collected End of Fiscal Year	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected End of Fiscal Year	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected 6/30/2014
2014	2016	\$2,018,435,060	29.70	\$58,954,735	<i>Collections 7/1/15 & 1/1/16</i>		
2013	2015	1,988,502,360	31.00	60,897,294	<i>Collections 7/1/14 & 1/1/15</i>		
2012	2014	2,164,593,839	26.60	57,774,467	99.0%	1.0%	1.0%
2011	2013	2,156,334,575	25.90	56,093,759	99.1%	0.9%	0.4%
2010	2012	2,155,935,688	24.50	52,823,294	99.0%	1.0%	0.0%
2009	2011	2,200,202,480	23.80	52,249,228	99.0%	1.0%	0.0%
2008	2010	2,202,202,012	22.90	50,276,269	99.3%	0.7%	0.0%
2007	2009	1,656,796,387	29.20	48,792,629	99.3%	0.7%	0.0%
2006	2008	1,635,894,255	28.40	46,723,104	99.4%	0.6%	0.0%
2005	2007	1,607,190,572	28.00	45,313,396	99.4%	0.6%	0.0%

Source: Tax Collector's Office, Town of Rocky Hill.

PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS – TOWN OF WEST HARTFORD

Grand List of 10/1	Fiscal Year Year Ending 6/30	Net Taxable Grand List	Mill Rate	Tax Levy	Percent Annual Levy Collected End of Fiscal Year	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected End of Fiscal Year	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected 6/30/2014
2014	2016	\$5,946,170,476	38.31	\$223,959,992	<i>Collections 7/1/15 & 1/1/16</i>		
2013	2015	5,924,661,849	37.37	217,737,947	<i>Collections 7/1/14 & 1/1/15</i>		
2012	2014	5,893,896,106	36.30	214,310,000	99.2%	0.8%	0.8%
2011	2013	5,880,331,173	35.75	210,066,000	99.1%	0.9%	0.3%
2010	2012	5,034,401,821	39.44	199,192,000	99.1%	0.9%	0.1%
2009	2011	4,999,850,000	38.38	192,761,000	98.9%	1.1%	0.1%
2008	2010	4,953,979,658	37.54	186,542,000	99.1%	0.9%	0.0%
2007	2009	4,889,430,313	36.97	181,771,000	99.1%	0.9%	0.0%
2006	2008	4,497,443,813	38.63	174,302,000	99.0%	1.0%	0.0%
2005	2007	3,710,940,390	46.19	172,700,000	99.2%	0.8%	0.0%

Source: Tax Collector's Office, Town of West Hartford.

PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS – TOWN OF WETHERSFIELD

Grand List of 10/1	Fiscal Year Year Ending 6/30	Net Taxable Grand List	Mill Rate	Tax Levy	Percent Annual Levy Collected End of Fiscal Year	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected End of Fiscal Year	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected 6/30/2014
2014	2016	\$2,213,400,730	38.19	\$81,934,961	<i>Collections 7/1/15 & 1/1/16</i>		
2013	2015	2,205,813,324	36.74	79,372,113	<i>Collections 7/1/14 & 1/1/15</i>		
2012	2014	2,338,758,240	33.46	78,123,896	99.0%	1.0%	1.0%
2011	2013	2,329,648,250	32.58	75,725,740	99.0%	1.0%	0.3%
2010	2012	2,314,769,170	31.42	72,558,938	98.9%	1.1%	0.2%
2009	2011	2,315,493,100	30.66	70,662,766	98.8%	1.2%	0.2%
2008	2010	2,307,397,010	30.68	70,693,007	99.2%	0.8%	0.2%
2007	2009	2,003,032,473	34.71	69,579,789	99.2%	0.8%	0.2%
2006	2008	1,991,317,830	32.94	65,637,736	99.0%	1.0%	0.1%
2005	2007	1,991,573,140	32.43	64,626,417	99.0%	1.0%	0.1%

Source: Tax Collector's Office, Town of Wethersfield.

PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS – TOWN OF WINDSOR

Grand List of 10/1	Fiscal Year Ending 6/30	Net Taxable Grand List	Mill Rate	Tax Levy	Percent Annual Levy Collected End of	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected	Percent Annual Levy Uncollected
					Fiscal Year	End of Fiscal Year	6/30/2014
2014 ¹	2016	\$2,849,933,303	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	Collections 7/1/15 & 1/1/16		
2013	2015	2,831,162,216	30.47	85,006,350	Collections 7/1/14 & 1/1/15		
2012	2014	3,014,279,336	27.33	82,919,994	98.6%	1.4%	1.4%
2011	2013	2,907,891,898	27.95	81,403,784	98.7%	1.3%	0.7%
2010	2012	2,811,979,697	28.03	79,201,381	98.8%	1.2%	0.1%
2009	2011	2,724,614,072	28.38	77,747,083	98.6%	1.4%	0.0%
2008	2010	2,937,296,936	28.34	74,984,463	98.6%	1.4%	0.0%
2007	2009	2,590,737,631	29.30	76,374,720	98.8%	1.2%	0.0%
2006	2008	2,401,738,783	29.30	70,937,721	98.8%	1.2%	0.0%
2005	2007	2,303,733,056	29.30	68,003,214	98.7%	1.3%	0.0%

¹ Proposed budget for FY 2016 was defeated on June 23, 2015. A fourth budget referendum will be scheduled for some time in July.
Source: Tax Collector's Office, Town of Windsor.

TEN LARGEST TAXPAYERS – TOWN OF BLOOMFIELD¹

Name of Taxpayer	Nature of Business	Assessed Valuation	Percent of Net Taxable Grand List
Connecticut Light & Power Company.....	Utility	\$125,824,220	6.19%
Connecticut General Life Insurance Co....	Insurance	46,223,460	2.27%
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co.....	Insurance	44,457,360	2.19%
AMCAP Copaco LLC.....	Real Estate	41,331,110	2.03%
Duncaster Inc.....	Retirement Community	30,596,990	1.50%
HG Conn Realty Corp.....	Real Estate	29,175,320	1.43%
Bouwfonds Hawthorn LP.....	Real Estate	26,867,010	1.32%
CIGNA Health & Life Ins Co.....	Insurance	26,468,400	1.30%
Church Home of Hartford Inc.....	Retirement Community	18,783,130	0.92%
Pepperidge Farm Inc.....	Baked Goods	16,003,410	0.79%
Total.....		\$405,730,410	19.95%

¹ Based on a 10/1/14 Net Taxable Grand List of \$2,033,984,990.
Source: Town of Bloomfield.

TEN LARGEST TAXPAYERS – TOWN OF EAST HARTFORD¹

Name of Taxpayer	Nature of Business	Assessed Valuation	Percent of Net Taxable Grand List
United Technologies Corp.....	Manufacturing	\$367,801,430	13.68%
Goodwin College.....	College	114,399,445	4.25%
Fremont Riverview LLC.....	Offices	44,521,218	1.66%
Connecticut Light and Power Company...	Utility	33,159,880	1.23%
Coca-Cola Bottling Co.....	Beverage Mfg./Distributor	30,807,830	1.15%
Merchant Group.....	Offices	26,215,938	0.97%
Connecticut Natural Gas Corp.....	Utility	25,815,230	0.96%
Cabela's Inc.....	Retail	24,847,540	0.92%
Ansonia Acquisitions LLC.....	Apartments	22,019,360	0.82%
East Hartford Founders LLC.....	Offices	13,020,000	0.48%
Total.....		\$702,607,871	26.12%

¹ Based on a 10/1/14 Net Taxable Grand List of \$2,689,464,641.
Source: Town of East Hartford.

TEN LARGEST TAXPAYERS – CITY OF HARTFORD¹

<u>Name of Taxpayer</u>	<u>Nature of Business</u>	<u>Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>Percent of Net Taxable Grand List</u>
Connecticut Light and Power Company...	Utility	\$166,180,640	4.59%
Hartford Fire Insurance & Twin City Ins..	Insurance	124,999,910	3.45%
Travelers Indemnity Co. Affiliate.....	Insurance	119,990,700	3.32%
Aetna Life Insurance Co. & Annuity.....	Insurance	108,229,550	2.99%
HUB Properties Trust.....	Office Complex	48,977,640	1.35%
Talcott II Gold, LLC.....	Office Complex	45,397,700	1.25%
Mac-State Square LLC.....	Office Complex	44,450,000	1.23%
Hartford Hospital & HHMOB Corp.....	Hospital	34,852,735	0.96%
Connecticut Natural Gas Corp.....	Utility	33,439,480	0.92%
Hartford Steam Boiler Inspection & Ins....	Insurance	32,591,580	0.90%
Total.....		\$759,109,935	20.97%

¹ Based on a 10/1/14 Net Taxable Grand List of \$3,619,341,714.
Source: City of Hartford.

TEN LARGEST TAXPAYERS – TOWN OF NEWINGTON¹

<u>Name of Taxpayer</u>	<u>Nature of Business</u>	<u>Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>Percent of Net Taxable Grand List</u>
Connecticut Light and Power Company...	Utility	\$37,538,380	1.47%
GKN Aerospace.....	Manufacturing	24,315,170	0.95%
IREIT Newington Fair LLC.....	Shopping Center	20,376,250	0.80%
Newington VF LLC.....	Shopping Center	19,941,210	0.78%
TLG Newington LLC.....	Shopping Center	17,840,490	0.70%
Centro GA Turnpike Plaza LLC.....	Shopping Center	17,360,000	0.68%
Newington Gross LLC.....	Shopping Center	17,150,000	0.67%
Mandell Properties.....	Printing	15,718,530	0.62%
Saputo Dairy Foods USA.....	Dairy Products	14,587,360	0.57%
Hayes Kaufman Newington Assoc. LLC..	Real Estate	12,903,881	0.51%
Total.....		\$197,731,271	7.75%

¹ Based on a 10/1/14 Net Taxable Grand List of \$2,550,822,204.
Source: Town of Newington.

TEN LARGEST TAXPAYERS – TOWN OF ROCKY HILL¹

<u>Name of Taxpayer</u>	<u>Nature of Business</u>	<u>Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>Percent of Net Taxable Grand List</u>
Century Hills Property Owner LLC.....	Apartments	\$38,396,890	1.90%
RP Glenbrook LLC.....	Warehouse	28,149,350	1.39%
MKS - 500 Enterprise LLC.....	Real Estate	24,870,680	1.23%
Burris Logistics Inc.....	Real Estate	24,864,220	1.23%
Henkel Corporation.....	Manufacturer of Adhesives	20,734,580	1.03%
CT Light & Power.....	Utility	17,719,620	0.88%
Sysco Food Services of CT.....	Distribution Warehouse	14,817,780	0.73%
Auxi Horizon Commons LLC.....	Real Estate	13,111,280	0.65%
Rocky Hill Properties LLC.....	Apartments	11,875,080	0.59%
Connecticut Natural Gas Coproration.....	Utility	11,730,330	0.58%
Total.....		\$206,269,810	10.22%

¹ Based on a 10/1/14 Net Taxable Grand List of \$2,018,435,060.
Source: Town of Rocky Hill.

TEN LARGEST TAXPAYERS – TOWN OF WEST HARTFORD¹

<u>Name of Taxpayer</u>	<u>Nature of Business</u>	<u>Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>Percent of Net Taxable Grand List</u>
Blue Back Square, LLC.....	Real Estate	\$75,658,380	1.27%
West Farms Associates.....	Shopping Mall	38,204,390	0.64%
Connecticut Light and Power Company...	Utility	37,699,280	0.63%
Corbins Corner Shopping Center LLC.....	Retail, Office	33,839,820	0.57%
Town Center West Associates.....	Office	24,933,960	0.42%
Bishops Corner (E&A) LLC.....	Shopping Center	18,242,890	0.31%
Sisters of Mercy/McAuley Center.....	Assisted Living	17,740,870	0.30%
E&A Northeast Limited Partnership.....	Shopping Center	15,498,070	0.26%
Prospect Plaza Improvements, LLC.....	Retail	13,994,540	0.24%
Westgate Apartments LLC.....	Apartments	13,248,900	0.22%
Total.....		\$289,061,100	4.86%

¹ Based on a 10/1/14 Net Taxable Grand List of \$5,946,170,476.
Source: Town of West Hartford.

TEN LARGEST TAXPAYERS – TOWN OF WETHERSFIELD¹

<u>Name of Taxpayer</u>	<u>Nature of Business</u>	<u>Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>Percent of Net Taxable Grand List</u>
Wethersfield Apartments Assoc. LLC.....	Apartments	\$20,118,400	0.91%
Connecticut Light and Power Company...	Utility	14,565,230	0.66%
Wethersfield Shopping Center LLC.....	Shopping Center	13,650,000	0.62%
Executive Square LTD Partnership.....	Apartments	13,617,000	0.62%
Cedar-Jordan Lane LLC.....	Shopping Center	13,300,000	0.60%
100 Great Meadow Road.....	Real Estate	13,021,500	0.59%
Connecticut Natural Gas.....	Utility	8,585,590	0.39%
Phoenix Medical LLC.....	Real Estate	6,335,300	0.29%
Goodwin Gardens LLC.....	Apartments	6,101,690	0.28%
Goff Brook Shoppes of Wethersfield LLC.	Real Estate	4,724,700	0.21%
Total.....		\$114,019,410	5.15%

¹ Based on a 10/1/14 Net Taxable Grand List of \$2,213,400,730.
Source: Town of Wethersfield.

TEN LARGEST TAXPAYERS – TOWN OF WINDSOR¹

<u>Name of Taxpayer</u>	<u>Nature of Business</u>	<u>Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>Percent of Net Taxable Grand List</u>
Walgreens.....	Pharmacy	\$125,911,205	4.42%
Griffin Land & Affiliates.....	Real Estate	74,467,249	2.61%
CIGNA.....	Insurance	70,856,850	2.49%
Voya Retirement Insurance.....	Finance	60,367,098	2.12%
Hartford Financial Corporation.....	Finance	55,070,353	1.93%
Dollar Tree Distribution Inc.....	Real Estate	54,758,206	1.92%
Cellco/Verizon Wireless.....	Information Technology	44,307,016	1.55%
Northeast Utilities.....	Utility	42,121,713	1.48%
IBM & Affiliates.....	Information Technology	36,303,264	1.27%
KTR CT I LLC (Amazon).....	Real Estate	35,864,990	1.26%
Total.....		\$600,027,944	21.05%

¹ Based on a 10/1/14 Net Taxable Grand List of \$2,849,933,303.
Source: Town of Windsor.

EQUALIZED NET GRAND LIST

Town of Bloomfield			Town of East Hartford		
Grand List of 10/1	Equalized Net Grand List	% Growth	Grand List of 10/1	Equalized Net Grand List	% Growth
2012	\$2,802,966,115	8.00%	2012	\$3,936,906,558	2.28%
2011	2,595,430,274	-6.87%	2011	3,849,203,343	-2.96%
2010	2,786,819,016	-5.97%	2010	3,966,619,309	-7.51%
2009	2,963,847,920	-5.66%	2009	4,288,594,846	-2.31%
2008	3,141,560,761	-1.55%	2008	4,390,028,134	-10.49%
2007	3,190,940,420	0.51%	2007	4,904,766,424	21.05%
2006	3,174,623,445	5.19%	2006	4,051,722,914	-15.36%
2005	3,018,109,847	23.13%	2005	4,786,965,847	9.88%
2004	2,451,100,334	-3.37%	2004	4,356,509,975	3.65%
2003	2,536,505,711	12.62%	2003	4,202,990,710	7.68%

¹ Revaluation phased- in.

City of Hartford			Town of Newington		
Grand List of 10/1	Equalized Net Grand List	% Growth	Grand List of 10/1	Equalized Net Grand List	% Growth
2012	\$6,888,293,807	5.55%	2012	\$3,651,832,566	0.08%
2011	6,526,348,965	-8.69%	2011	3,648,904,984	-5.97%
2010	7,147,577,757	-7.34%	2010	3,880,511,002	-4.74%
2009	7,713,607,784	5.52%	2009	4,073,474,409	2.99%
2008	7,309,947,142	-8.96%	2008	3,955,308,064	-2.98%
2007	8,029,737,495	42.94%	2007	4,076,961,126	-3.38%
2006	5,617,517,672	-39.01%	2006	4,219,375,008	13.61%
2005	9,210,207,486	8.21%	2005	3,714,043,661	-8.34%
2004	8,511,588,775	13.23%	2004	4,051,823,902	15.60%
2003	7,516,844,095	15.37%	2003	3,505,059,414	6.65%

¹ Revaluation phased- in.

Town of Rocky Hill			Town of West Hartford		
Grand List of 10/1	Equalized Net Grand List	% Growth	Grand List of 10/1	Equalized Net Grand List	% Growth
2012	\$2,784,951,675	1.59%	2012	\$9,035,908,810	7.56%
2011	2,741,368,613	-0.81%	2011	8,400,921,331	15.96%
2010	2,763,696,337	-6.61%	2010	7,244,491,864	1.50%
2009	2,959,219,154	-6.24%	2009	7,137,413,505	-4.48%
2008	3,156,073,966	3.76%	2008	7,472,184,092	1.90%
2007	3,041,593,706	2.77%	2007	7,332,637,978	13.73%
2006	2,959,539,490	-0.98%	2006	6,447,326,690	-30.58%
2005	2,988,857,920	9.97%	2005	9,287,369,286	4.97%
2004	2,717,981,445	23.36%	2004	8,847,511,973	7.97%
2003	2,203,211,200	-6.28%	2003	8,194,628,368	9.28%

¹ Revaluation phased- in.

Town of Wethersfield			Town of Windsor		
Grand List of 10/1	Equalized Net Grand List	% Growth	Grand List of 10/1	Equalized Net Grand List	% Growth
2012	\$3,147,128,675	0.02%	2012	\$4,026,160,993	0.00%
2011	3,146,435,531	0.59%	2011	4,026,157,221	0.56%
2010	3,128,048,308	-4.47%	2010	4,003,835,033	-0.89%
2009	3,274,360,078	-0.76%	2009	4,039,645,772	-5.96%
2008	3,299,287,214	-9.29%	2008	4,295,760,010	-5.23%
2007	3,637,100,135	0.18%	2007	4,533,063,159	-0.46%
2006	3,630,413,611	-2.64%	2006	4,553,843,709	9.66%
2005	3,728,964,091	5.31%	2005	4,152,615,879	10.89%
2004	3,541,097,244	26.68%	2004	3,744,909,821	14.72%
2003	2,795,283,343	-4.73%	2003	3,264,253,914	-4.07%

¹ Revaluation phased- in.

Source: State of Connecticut, Office of Policy and Management.

VII. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

FISCAL YEAR

Financial information for the District for fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 through December 31, 2014 and for the Member Municipalities for fiscal years ended June 30, 2009 through June 30, 2014, was taken from audited financial statements. Budget and audited financial data for the Member Municipalities of the District was provided by the Member Municipalities.

The District's fiscal year begins January 1 and ends December 31. The fiscal year for the Member Municipalities begins July 1 and ends June 30.

BASIS OF ACCOUNTING AND ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The District's accounting system is organized and operated on a fund accounting basis, conforming to the Charter and Ordinances of the District, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB"), Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") for municipalities, and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants industry audit guide, "Audits of State and Local Governmental Units". The District's proprietary funds apply all GASB pronouncements as well as follow pronouncements issued before November 30, 1989, unless they contradict GASB pronouncements: Statements and Interpretations of the Financial Accounting Standards Board, Accounting Principles Board Opinions and Accounting Research Bulletins of the Committee on Accounting Procedures. Please refer to Appendix A "Notes to the Financial Statements" herein for compliance and implementation details.

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of the measurements made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

Actual data for the General Fund for the District and Member Municipalities is presented in this Official Statement on a modified accrual basis of accounting and a current financial resources measurement focus. Revenues are recorded when they become measurable and available to finance operations of the fiscal year and expenditures are recorded when the related liability has been incurred. Actual data for the Water Utility Fund, the Hydroelectric Fund, and the Mid-Connecticut Fund utilize the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they are earned and their expenses are recognized when they are incurred. Budget data for the District and all Member Municipalities are presented on a budgetary non-GAAP basis, whereby encumbrances are recognized as a valid and proper charge against a budget appropriation in the year in which the purchase order, contract or other commitment is issued; and accordingly, encumbrances outstanding at year-end are reflected in budgetary reports as expenditures in the current year. All unencumbered budget appropriations lapse at the end of each fiscal year. Actual expenditures include current encumbrances, which method of accounting for encumbrances is different from that utilized by the GAAP accounting method.

BUDGETARY PROCEDURES

The District Board refers annually a proposed budget of revenues and expenditures to the Board of Finance. The Board of Finance reviews the proposed budget, makes adjustments if desired, and refers it back to the District Board for final enactment.

Annual operating budgets are adopted for the General Fund and the Water Utility Enterprise Fund. Total fund budgets are adopted for the Hydroelectric Development Project Enterprise Fund. The unencumbered balance of appropriations in the General Fund lapses at year-end. Encumbered appropriations are closed out at year end.

Capital project appropriations to be financed by the issuance of bonds, notes and other obligations of the District are subject to approval of the District Board upon recommendation of the Board of Finance.

The level of budgetary control is at a functional level. Purchase amounts are encumbered prior to the release of purchase orders to vendors. Purchase orders that may result in an overrun of the budget line item within the sub-function level appropriation balances are not released until additional appropriations are made available. Any revisions that alter total appropriations at the level of control must have the prior approval of the Board of Finance and the District Board.

DEBT ADMINISTRATION POLICY

Capital appropriations require approval by a two-thirds vote of the entire District Board and by a majority of the electors of the District at a referendum with the following exceptions:

1. Capital appropriations not exceeding \$5,000,000*
2. Appropriations for any reason involving not more than \$10,000,000* in any one year for the purpose of meeting a public emergency threatening the lives, health or property of citizens of the District.
3. Construction of or leasing headquarters facilities.
4. Any public improvement all or a portion of which is to be paid for by assessments of benefits or from funds established to pay for waste or water facilities.

* Effective October 1, 2015, P.A. 15-114 increases these amounts to \$10 million and \$20 million, respectively, indexes them for inflation, and excludes those portions of an appropriation payable from federal or state grants for any single item within the capital section of the budgets.

With the exception of the two \$800,000,000 appropriations and bond authorizations for the District's Clean Water Project approved at referenda in November, 2006 and November, 2012, which are expected to be supported by, general obligation bonds, revenue bonds, clean water fund obligations payable from a Special Sewer Service Surcharge (see "*Clean Water Project*" and "*Authorized but Unissued Debt – The District*" herein), the District has followed a policy of financing capital expenditures by issuing general obligation bonds secured by unlimited taxes levied proportionately upon the Member Municipalities comprising the District (See "*Security and Remedies*" herein).

In addition to taxes, certain water charges, sewer user fees, and assessments are available to repay the general obligation bonds. Sewer bonds are payable from a municipal tax levy on each Member Municipality and from sewer user charges levied on tax-exempt and high-flow users. General obligation water bonds are paid from water sale revenues. Assessable sewer construction bonds are secured by liens against assessments on benefited properties. The receipts from assessments are deposited in a separate fund, and payments for debt service on assessable sewer construction bonds are made from such fund. Hydroelectric bonds are funded from power sales revenue deposited in a separate fund, and payments of the debt service on the Hydroelectric bonds are made from said fund.

ANNUAL AUDIT

Pursuant to its Charter and Connecticut law, the District is required to undergo an annual examination by an independent certified public accountant. The audit must be conducted under the guidelines issued by the State of Connecticut, Office of Policy and Management and a copy of the report must be filed with such Office within six months of the end of the fiscal year. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, the examination was conducted by the firm of Blum, Shapiro & Company, P.C., independent certified public accountants and business consultants, of West Hartford, Connecticut. The firm was appointed by the Board of Finance.

PENSION PLAN

The District has a defined benefit, single-employer plan that was adopted by the District Board on January 1, 1944 and amended April 1, 1989. Per Section 1-5 of the District Charter, the District Board shall have the power to adopt a pension plan for the employees of the District and shall have the power to provide the management and prudent investment of pension funds in accordance with Connecticut General Statutes. By ordinance, the Personnel, Pension and Insurance Committee is charged with the responsibility for administering the District's retirement plan.

The investment of the District's plan is defined by the Immediate Participating Guarantee contract and Pension Plan Investment Policy. The assets of the Pension Plan are invested under a group annuity contract with the Aetna Life Insurance Company and with an independent investment manager in two types of accounts:

1. General Account providing a stable rate of return for deposits that become part of the general asset pool of Aetna Life Insurance.

2. Discretionary pension assets comprising employer and employee deposits under the management of Wellington Management Company LLP. Written guidelines for this account are adopted by the Personnel, Pension and Insurance Committee and confirmed by the District Board.

Participation in the plan is immediate upon employment for anyone below the normal retirement age of 65. Employees are required to contribute 5% of their annual covered salary. The District is required to contribute an actuarially determined amount to the pension plan. Currently, the District's contribution is 15% of annual covered payroll. The District's recommended contributions for 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015 were \$15,050,472, \$5,347,556, \$5,804,428, \$5,857,601 and \$4,986,775, respectively.

Five-Year Trend Information			
Year Ended	Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	Actual Contribution	% of ARC Funded
MDC			
2011	\$4,948,298	\$4,633,200	94%
2012	\$5,347,556	\$5,822,098	109%
2013	\$5,804,428	\$5,881,000	101%
2014	\$5,857,601	\$5,918,000	101%
2015	\$4,986,775	\$6,000,000 *	120%
MidCT			
2011	\$10,102,174	\$0	0%

*Budgeted.

As noted elsewhere in this Official Statement under "Legal and Other Information", the MDC and CRRA are currently involved in arbitration with regard to the contract termination expenses. The contract agreement between the MDC and CRRA expired on December 31, 2011 and the 2011 MidCT annual required contribution amount, which was billed to CRRA, reflects a required contribution to bring the pension plan to 100% funding status with respect to MidCT employees at December 31, 2011.

The District implemented GASB Statement No. 67 effective in Fiscal Year 2014. The following net pension liability of the District at December 31, 2014, determined by an actuarial valuation as of January 1, 2014 and based on actuarial assumptions as of that date, were as follows.

GASB 67 Schedules	
Total pension liability at June 30, 2014	\$233,821,211
Plan fiduciary net position	198,900,535
District's net pension liability	\$34,920,676
Plan fiduciary net position as % of total pension liability	85.07%

The following presents the net pension liability, calculated using the discount rate of 7.5% as well as what the District's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.5%) or 1-percent-point higher (8.5%) than the current rate.

	1% Decrease (6.5%)	Current Discount (7.5%)	1% Increase (8.5%)
District Plan's net pension liability as of June 30, 2014	\$60,412,769	\$34,920,676	\$13,227,952

Please refer to Appendix A “Basic Financial Statements” under section “Notes to Financial Statements - Note 4” herein for information on the District’s Pension Plan.

OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

The District provides health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees in accordance with union contracts. The District’s personnel, pension and insurance committee established and empowered by the District’s general ordinances establishes the benefit provisions and the employer’s and employees’ obligations. Substantially all of the District’s employees qualify for retiree health care and life insurance benefits if they become eligible for retirement. Retiree health and life insurance benefits are provided through indemnity plans and health maintenance organizations and the District records the annual insurance premiums and claim costs in its expenditures or expenses as appropriate. The total District costs for health care and life insurance benefits for approximately 428 retirees for the year ended December 31, 2014 were \$5,142,000. In addition, \$229,373 of retiree drug subsidy monies was contributed to the plan. The unfunded liabilities as of January 1, 2014 were \$176,615,616.

In 2004, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board issued Statement No. 43, Financial Reporting for Post Employment Benefit Plans Other than Pension Plans, which is effective for the District beginning with its financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007. This pronouncement requires the recognition of post employment benefits as expenses as earned by employees, which requires recognition of a liability based upon actuarial factors similar to defined benefit pension plans.

The District has engaged its actuary to perform the required calculations and has determined that the unfunded actuarial accrued liability based upon a valuation date of January 1, 2012 was approximately \$221 million. Currently, the District follows a pay as you go methodology with respect to funding.

Fiscal Year Ending	Annual OPEB Cost (AOC)	Actual Contribution	Percentage of AOC Contributed
12/31/2010	\$15,691,061	\$5,155,361	32.9%
12/31/2011	\$19,247,038	\$26,995,985	140.3%
12/31/2012	\$14,346,459	\$7,932,085	55.3%
12/31/2013	\$15,162,000	\$6,512,592	43.0%
12/31/2014	\$15,682,151	\$5,588,854	35.6%
Net OPEB Obligation as of December 31, 2013.....			\$45,748,295
Net OPEB Obligation as of December 31, 2014.....			\$55,841,592

Please refer to Appendix A “Basic Financial Statements” under section “Notes to Financial Statements - Note 5” herein for information on the District’s Post Employment Healthcare Plan.

INVESTMENT POLICIES AND PRACTICES

Connecticut General Statutes define the legal investments available to municipalities and establish criteria for financial institutions to receive municipal deposits.

Sections 7-400 and 7-402 allow municipalities to invest in certificates of deposit, municipal bonds and notes, obligations of the United States of America, including joint and several obligations of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Association, the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation, obligations of the United States Postal Service, all the Federal Home Loan Banks, all Federal Land Banks, the Tennessee Valley Authority, or any other agency of the United States government and money market mutual funds.

Sections 3-27a through 3-27i allow for the purchases of participation certificates in the Short Term Investment Fund (“STIF”) managed by the State of Connecticut Treasurer. STIF’s primary investment vehicles are United States Government Obligations, United States agency obligations, United States Postal Service obligations, certificates of deposit, commercial paper, corporate bonds, savings accounts and bank acceptances.

Section 36a-330 defines the collateralization requirements and risk-based capital ratios for financial institutions to accept municipal deposits. A financial institution must collateralize varying levels of public deposits depending on its risk-based capital ratio. A qualified public depository (financial institution) must collateralize 10% of its deposits, if its risk-based capital ratio is above 10%. However, if the public depository's risk-based capital ratio is greater or equal to 8% but less than 10%, the public depository must collateralize 25% of its total public deposits. A financial institution must provide collateral equal to 100% of its public deposits, if its risk-based capital ratio is greater than or equal to 3% but less than 8%. If the financial institution's risk-based capital is less than 3%, the firm's public deposits must be collateralized at 120%.

DISTRICT CASH MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT POLICY

The District's Cash Management Investment Policy further defines the investment and deposit of District funds. This policy is the direct responsibility of the Board of Finance with oversight of the District Board. The District's funds are deposited and invested with qualified public depositories that have a risk-based capital ratio greater than or equal to 10%. In addition, the only investments allowed under this policy are obligations of the United States and certain of its agencies, fully collateralized repurchase agreements of such investment, certificates of deposit, the State of Connecticut Short Term Investment Fund, custodial pools, investment companies or investment trusts.

The District's Cash Management Investment Policy defines the primary objectives of investment activities as safety, liquidity and return on investment.

RISK MANAGEMENT

The District purchases commercial insurance for all risks of loss except as follows. The District is self insured for health care, workers' compensation claims up to \$500,000 for each accident, deductibles for property damage up to \$100,000 for each location and general and automobile liability up to \$250,000 for each incident. Additionally, the District has provided for \$1.0 million of excess coverage for liability coverage with no limits for workers' compensation excess coverage. The District established an internal service fund, the self-insurance fund, to account for and finance the retained risk of loss.

COMPENSATED ABSENCES

The District's liability for accumulated unpaid vacation, sick pay and other employee time off is accrued when incurred in governmental and proprietary fund financial statements. The liability for these amounts is reported in governmental funds only if they have matured, for example, as a result of employee resignations and retirements. The remaining is recorded in the general long-term obligations account group. Accrued compensated absences as of December 31, 2014 were \$5,891,677.

**REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS
BUDGET FOR 2015**

Water Revenues	Budget 2015
Sale of Water.....	\$72,482,500
Other Operating Revenue.....	3,609,700
Total Operating Revenue.....	\$76,092,200
Non-Operating Revenue.....	3,516,000
Contribution from (to) Working Funds.....	1,185,300
Total Water Revenues.....	\$80,793,500
Sewer Revenues	
Tax on Member Municipalities.....	\$37,446,400
Revenue From Other Governmental Agencies.....	3,063,400
Other Sewer Revenues.....	11,782,200
Sewer User Charge Revenue.....	6,002,100
Total Operating Revenue.....	58,294,100
Contributions/Transfers From Other Funds.....	3,882,100
Revenue Surplus Designated from Prior Year.....	0
Total Sewer Revenues.....	62,176,200
Total Water and Sewer Revenues.....	\$142,969,700
Hydroelectric Revenues.....	963,900
Total Revenues and Other Financing Sources.....	\$143,933,600

	2015		
	Water	Sewer	Total
Appropriations Water And Sewer Budgets			
District Board.....	\$ 122,700	\$ 117,800	\$ 240,500
Executive Office.....	359,200	345,100	704,300
Administrative Services.....	169,500	162,800	332,300
Legal.....	935,600	899,000	1,834,600
Human Resources.....	743,800	714,600	1,458,400
Information Technology.....	4,035,900	1,987,800	6,023,700
Finance.....	2,724,100	2,617,100	5,341,200
Environment, Health, Safety.....	535,200	514,400	1,049,600
Engineering and Planning.....	722,900	694,700	1,417,600
Customer Service.....	3,317,300	1,708,800	5,026,100
Operating Office.....	582,100	559,300	1,141,400
Laboratory Services.....	7,491,300	2,497,200	9,988,500
Operations.....	906,400	836,700	1,743,100
Water Pollution Control.....	-	16,524,600	16,524,600
Maintenance.....	5,711,300	5,487,200	11,198,500
Water Treatment & Supply.....	9,051,800	-	9,051,800
Patrol.....	1,767,900	-	1,767,900
Debt Service.....	17,221,500	19,118,700	36,340,200
Employee Benefits.....	13,166,500	4,872,300	18,038,800
General Insurance.....	2,858,600	1,225,100	4,083,700
Taxes and Fees.....	3,310,000	-	3,310,000
Special Agreements, Programs.....	3,155,800	671,000	3,826,800
Contingencies.....	831,200	622,000	1,453,200
Riverfront Park Systems.....	1,072,900	-	1,072,900
Total Water and Sewer Budgets.....	\$ 80,793,500	\$ 62,176,200	\$ 142,969,700
Hydroelectric Budget.....			963,900
Total Appropriations.....	\$ 80,793,500	\$ 62,176,200	\$ 143,933,600

**SOURCES OF FUNDS
BUDGET FOR 2015**

Sale of Water by User	Budget 2015
Domestic.....	36.17%
Commercial.....	9.42
Industrial.....	1.43
Public Authority.....	3.68
Total	50.70%
Sewer Revenues Paid By Member Municipality Tax	
Hartford.....	7.20
West Hartford.....	5.75
East Hartford.....	3.14
Newington.....	2.30
Windsor.....	2.25
Wethersfield.....	2.11
Bloomfield.....	1.93
Rocky Hill.....	1.51
Total	26.19%
Other Sources of Funds for Sewer & Water	
Other Sewer & Water Revenues.....	23.11%
Total Sources of Funds for Sewer & Water	100.00%
Sources of Funds for All Projects	
Water revenues.....	56.13
Sewer Revenues.....	43.20
Hydroelectric Revenues.....	0.67
Total	100.00%

GENERAL FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
The District
Summary of Audited Revenues and Expenditures (GAAP BASIS)

	Budget 2015¹	Actual 2014	Actual 2013	Actual 2012	Actual 2011	Actual 2010
REVENUES:						
Taxation - Member Towns.....	\$37,446,400	\$36,156,600	\$34,799,400	\$33,493,200	\$32,360,500	\$30,967,000
Sewer User Fees.....	6,002,100	5,733,380	5,641,519	5,459,338	5,648,811	5,387,089
Intergovernmental	3,063,400	3,547,471	3,247,905	3,689,993	6,126,080	6,316,756
Investment Income.....		52,350	33,637	16,592	29,710	55,844
Other Revenues.....	1,491,204	3,359,742	1,494,494	1,323,249	5,566,982	4,422,576
Designated for Surplus.....	0					
Transfers In.....	3,882,100		15,752,598	13,728,145	9,817,075	5,372,200
Total Revenues and Transfers In.....	\$51,885,204	\$48,849,543	\$60,969,553	\$57,710,517	\$59,549,158	\$52,521,465
EXPENDITURES:						
General Government.....	\$4,734,434	\$4,824,078	\$4,071,317	\$4,114,155	\$7,463,935	\$6,827,898
Engineering & Planning.....	653,765	247,304	36,396	118,517	1,124,966	1,781,538
Operations.....	1,339,855	1,432,213	1,802,090	1,679,661	3,281,022	3,307,062
Plants & Maintenance.....	21,240,212	16,780,068	19,078,105	21,555,352	20,001,916	21,805,352
Employee Benefits & Other.....	5,699,238	8,900,673	8,435,751	8,183,275	8,388,095	7,443,301
Miscellaneous Expenses.....						
Transfers Out.....	18,217,700	15,427,552	28,714,385	20,502,729	19,084,525	12,711,530
Total Expenditures and Transfers Out.....	\$51,885,204	\$47,611,888	\$62,138,044	\$56,153,689	\$59,344,459	\$53,876,681
Results from Operations.....		\$1,237,655	(\$1,168,491)	\$1,556,828	\$204,699	(\$1,355,216)
Fund Balance, January 1.....		\$15,457,243	\$16,625,734	\$15,068,906	\$14,864,207	\$16,219,423
Fund Balance, December 31...		\$16,694,898	\$15,457,243	\$16,625,734	\$15,068,906	\$14,864,207

¹ Budgetary, modified for GAAP.

ANALYSIS OF GENERAL FUND EQUITY
The District
(GAAP BASIS)

	Actual 2014	Actual 2013	Actual 2012	Actual 2011	Actual 2010
Nonspendable.....	\$2,654,631	\$1,980,172	\$1,387,243		
Reserved:					
Inventory.....				\$1,749,997	\$1,432,019
Encumbrances.....				2,423,443	1,921,258
Assigned.....		891,949	573,120		
Unreserved:					
Designated for Infrastructure Improvements.....					11,510,930
Unassigned.....	14,040,267	12,585,122	14,665,371	10,895,466	
Total Fund Balance	\$16,694,898	\$15,457,243	\$16,625,734	\$15,068,906	\$14,864,207

Source: Audit Reports 2010-2014.

HISTORY OF MEMBER MUNICIPALITY'S TAXATION ¹

<u>Member Municipality</u>	Budget		Actual		Actual	
	2015	%	2014	%	2013	%
Bloomfield	\$ 2,752,400	7.35	\$ 2,612,500	7.23	\$ 2,584,900	7.43
East Hartford	4,490,100	11.99	4,213,200	11.65	3,964,500	11.39
Hartford	10,298,600	27.50	10,374,400	28.69	9,955,500	28.61
Newington	3,287,300	8.78	3,132,300	8.66	3,014,900	8.66
Rocky Hill	2,153,700	5.75	2,089,100	5.78	2,011,100	5.78
West Hartford	8,219,700	21.95	7,798,800	21.57	7,485,100	21.51
Wethersfield	3,022,000	8.07	2,824,400	7.81	2,756,900	7.92
Windsor	3,222,600	8.61	3,111,900	8.61	3,026,500	8.70
Total	\$ 37,446,400	100.0%	\$ 36,156,600	100.0%	\$ 34,799,400	100.0%

<u>Member Municipality</u>	Actual		Actual		Actual	
	2012	%	2011	%	2010	%
Bloomfield	\$ 2,488,900	7.43	\$ 2,399,000	7.41	\$ 2,268,900	7.33
East Hartford	3,856,000	11.51	3,769,700	11.65	3,757,200	12.13
Hartford	9,472,000	28.28	9,046,600	27.96	8,614,800	27.82
Newington	2,888,200	8.62	2,794,700	8.64	2,628,400	8.49
Rocky Hill	1,941,700	5.80	1,869,100	5.78	1,769,500	5.71
West Hartford	7,207,700	21.52	6,968,100	21.53	6,650,900	21.48
Wethersfield	2,682,500	8.01	2,619,900	8.10	2,510,900	8.11
Windsor	2,956,200	8.83	2,893,400	8.93	2,766,400	8.93
Total	\$ 33,493,200	100.0%	\$ 32,360,500	100.0%	\$ 30,967,000	100.0%

¹ The District has the power to levy a tax upon the Member Municipalities sufficient to finance the District's budgeted expenses. The tax is divided among the Member Municipalities in proportion to the total revenue received yearly from direct taxation in each Member Municipality, as averaged over the prior three years.

Source: District Officials.

WATER UTILITY FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
The District
Summary of Audited Revenues and Expenditures
(GAAP BASIS)

	Budget 2015 ¹	Actual 2014	Actual 2013	Actual 2012	Actual 2011	Actual 2010
Operating Revenues:						
Water Sales.....	\$72,482,500	\$73,334,034	\$76,994,138	\$68,827,277	\$55,530,498	\$58,781,185
Other Operating Revenues.....	3,609,700		0	0	0	0
Total Operating Revenue.....	\$76,092,200	\$73,334,034	\$76,994,138	\$68,827,277	\$55,530,498	\$58,781,185
Total Operating Expenses.....	80,793,500	73,708,227	61,586,554	57,846,953	50,971,083	66,192,477
Operating Income (Loss).....	(4,701,300)	(374,193)	15,407,584	10,980,324	4,559,415	(7,411,292)
Non-operating Revenues.....	3,516,000	1,255,128	1,717,490	1,079,465	873,794	1,400,776
Income (Loss) Before Interest &						
Fiscal Charges & Operating Transfers....	(1,185,300)	880,935	17,125,074	12,059,789	5,433,209	(6,010,516)
Interest & Fiscal Charges.....	0	(3,562,568)	(3,759,238)	(3,343,497)	(3,528,359)	(3,213,148)
Income Before Operating Transfers..	(\$1,185,300)	(\$2,681,633)	\$13,365,836	\$8,716,292	\$1,904,850	(\$9,223,664)
Net Operating Transfers.....	1,185,300	3,671,010	4,001,232	1,728,440	588,642	11,397,670
Net Income (Loss).....	\$0	\$989,377	\$17,367,068	\$10,444,732	\$2,493,492	\$2,174,006
Net Assets, January 1.....		\$275,397,686	\$258,030,618	\$247,585,886	\$245,092,394	\$242,918,388
Net Assets, December 31.....		\$276,387,063	\$275,397,686	\$258,030,618	\$247,585,886	\$245,092,394

¹ Budgetary Basis.

Source: Audit Reports 2010-2014; Budget 2015.

HYDROELECTRIC FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
The District
Summary of Audited Revenues and Expenditures
(GAAP BASIS)

	Budget 2015 ¹	Actual 2014	Actual 2013	Actual 2012	Actual 2011	Actual 2010
Operating Revenues:						
Power Sales.....	\$959,900	\$1,063,425	\$868,056	\$696,703	\$1,115,675	\$869,162
Miscellaneous.....	4,000					
Total Operating Revenue.....	\$963,900	\$1,063,425	\$868,056	\$696,703	\$1,115,675	\$869,162
Total Operating Expenses.....	\$615,700	\$394,359	\$345,431	\$402,924	\$321,966	\$363,741
Operating Income (Loss).....	\$348,200	\$669,066	\$522,625	\$293,779	\$793,709	\$505,421
Non-operating Revenues (Expenses).....	(\$95,000)	\$4	\$0	\$608	\$2,944	\$4,122
Income Before Operating Transfers.....	\$253,200	\$669,070	\$522,625	\$294,387	\$796,653	\$509,543
Net Operating Transfers.....	(253,200)	0	(1,000,000)	(1,500,000)	(1,550,000)	(1,550,000)
Net Income (Loss).....	\$0	\$669,070	(\$477,375)	(\$1,205,613)	(\$753,347)	(\$1,040,457)
Net Assets, January 1.....		\$7,627,053	\$8,104,428	\$9,310,041	\$10,063,388	\$11,103,845
Net Assets, December 31.....		\$8,296,123	\$7,627,053	\$8,104,428	\$9,310,041	\$10,063,388

¹ Budgetary Basis.

Source: Audit Reports 2010-2014; Budget 2015.

MID-CONNECTICUT PROJECT FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES ¹
The District
Summary of Audited Revenues and Expenditures
(GAAP BASIS)

	<u>Actual 2014</u>	<u>Actual 2013</u>	<u>Actual 2012</u>	<u>Actual 2011</u>	<u>Actual 2010</u>
Total Operating Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$2,636,095	\$46,711,439	\$21,526,912
Operating Expenses:					
General Administration.....	1,855,831	169,394	252,637	2,206,429	4,409,666
Operations.....	310,726	1,001,773	95,841	837,469	1,672,871
Maintenance.....		238,850	356,227	3,112,756	6,217,822
Capital Outlay.....					
Personnel Services.....		4,681,827	10,696,039	21,539,808	9,226,553
Total Operating Expenses	\$2,166,557	\$6,091,844	\$11,400,744	\$27,696,462	\$21,526,912
Operating Income (Loss)	(\$2,166,557) ⁴	(\$6,091,844) ³	(\$8,764,649) ²	\$19,014,977	\$0

¹ The Metropolitan District Commission provided services to the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority (the "CRRA") for the operation of the waste-processing at the Mid-Connecticut Resource Recovery Facility, the transfer stations, the Hartford landfill and the transportation system between the transfer stations. The contract between the two parties terminated on December 31, 2011.

² The fund had net assets of \$10,276,228 at December 31, 2012.

³ The fund had net assets of (\$32,862,981) at December 31, 2013.

⁴ The fund had net assets of (\$30,485,009) at December 31, 2014.

Source: Audit Reports 2010-2014.

GENERAL FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
Town of Bloomfield
 Summary of Audited Revenues and Expenditures
 (GAAP BASIS)

	Adopted Budget 2015-16 ¹	Budget 2014-15 ¹	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
REVENUES:							
Taxes and Assessments.....	\$72,968,195	\$71,953,011	\$71,679,525	\$68,752,328	\$66,310,109	\$64,430,555	\$62,139,854
State and Federal Grants.....	6,800,296	6,792,816	11,640,999	14,348,379	12,018,377	9,952,846	10,277,496
Charges for Services.....	1,111,350	1,207,150	1,866,078	1,788,758	1,534,009	1,559,250	1,575,137
Investment Income.....	55,000	50,000	56,004	50,389	34,882	152,656	247,948
Licenses and Permits.....	826,250	320,660	0	0	0	0	0
Other.....	254,980	261,332	160,234	11,531,093 ⁵	228,226 ⁴	15,835,164 ²	86,940
Transfers In.....	1,930,000 ⁸	1,400,000 ⁷	50,000	0	197,911	75,000	0
Total Revenues and Transfers In.....	\$83,946,071	\$81,984,969	\$85,452,840	\$96,470,947	\$80,323,514	\$92,005,471	\$74,327,375
EXPENDITURES:							
General Government.....	\$4,340,333	\$4,177,930	\$3,631,187	\$3,648,117	\$3,360,349	\$3,579,222	\$3,559,984
Public Safety.....	7,707,212	7,535,331	7,092,673	6,715,624	6,528,267	7,025,588	6,750,622
Public Works.....	3,178,482	3,159,519	3,100,569	2,907,343	5,570,103	2,450,354	2,616,066
Leisure Services.....	749,714	749,244	690,299	666,670	664,004	663,276	637,660
Public Libraries.....	1,560,396	1,546,626	1,459,802	1,385,092	1,367,410	1,397,095	1,328,969
Human Services.....	1,490,540	1,442,205	1,340,671	1,292,322	1,280,713	1,382,686	1,331,051
Facilities.....	1,680,767	1,660,284	1,582,410	1,621,760	1,542,864	1,697,811	1,813,899
Fixed Charges.....	15,394,405	14,578,016	14,114,184	12,841,982	12,588,736	11,887,131	11,066,954
Miscellaneous.....	313,000	313,000	159,666	127,739	109,268	221,393	285,904
Education.....	39,268,082	38,555,104	42,708,097	40,980,883	41,407,469	40,609,912	40,445,920
Debt Service.....	6,198,140	6,022,710	5,955,928	6,509,608	5,486,120	3,142,441	3,275,364
Transfers Out.....	2,065,000	2,245,000	2,850,000	13,419,610 ⁶	733,186	14,576,807 ³	270,000
Total Expenditures and Transfers Out.....	\$83,946,071	\$81,984,969	\$84,685,486	\$92,116,750	\$80,638,489	\$88,633,716	\$73,382,393
Results from Operations.....			\$767,354	\$4,354,197	(\$314,975)	\$3,371,755	\$944,982
Fund Balance, July 1.....			\$17,438,606	\$13,084,409	\$13,399,384	\$10,027,629	\$9,082,647
Fund Balance, June 30.....			\$18,205,960	\$17,438,606	\$13,084,409	\$13,399,384	\$10,027,629

¹ Budgetary Basis.

² Includes \$12,400,000 refunding bonds issued, \$2,082,150 premium on bond issuance and \$1,250,737 premium on refunding bond issuance.

³ Includes \$13,701,807 payment to refunded bond escrow agent.

⁴ Includes \$76,365 premium on bond issuance.

⁵ Includes \$10,240,000 refunding bonds issued and \$1,145,841 premium on refunding bond issuance.

⁶ Includes \$11,336,373 payment to refunded bond escrow agent.

⁷ Represents \$1,400,000 appropriated from prior years' surplus.

⁸ Represents \$1,930,000 appropriated from prior years' surplus.

ANALYSIS OF GENERAL FUND EQUITY
Town of Bloomfield
 (GAAP BASIS)

	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
Restricted.....		\$712,937	\$1,382,150	\$2,082,150	
Assigned.....	\$2,315,312	2,122,990	672,501	358,070	\$720,057
Unassigned.....	15,890,648	14,602,679	11,029,758	10,959,164	9,307,572
Total Fund Balance	\$18,205,960	\$17,438,606	\$13,084,409	\$13,399,384	\$10,027,629
Unassigned Fund Balance As % of Total Expenditures	<u>18.76%</u>	<u>15.85%</u>	<u>13.68%</u>	<u>12.36%</u>	<u>12.68%</u>

Source: Audit Reports 2010-2014; Budgets 2015 and 2016.

GENERAL FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
Town of East Hartford
Summary of Audited Revenues and Expenditures
(GAAP BASIS)

	Adopted Budget 2015-16 ¹	Budget 2014-15 ¹	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
REVENUES:							
Property Taxes.....	\$122,792,824	\$121,330,929	\$118,022,000	\$115,890,000	\$107,495,000	\$103,943,000	\$98,458,000
Intergovernmental.....	51,119,868	50,105,081	63,834,000	59,947,000	60,641,000	51,565,000	51,585,000
Other local revenues.....	3,967,650	3,608,650	9,886,000	9,009,000	10,482,000	8,635,000	7,012,000
Transfers In.....	5,010	5,010	661,000	13,818,000 ⁵	5,979,000 ³	457,000	399,000
Total Revenues and Transfers In.....	\$177,885,352	\$175,049,670	\$192,403,000	\$198,664,000	\$184,597,000	\$164,600,000	\$157,454,000
EXPENDITURES:							
General Government.....	\$35,488,079	\$34,934,755	\$33,669,000	\$34,905,000	\$30,955,000	\$26,997,000	\$26,943,000
Public Safety.....	27,516,274	26,150,060	27,139,000	26,064,000	25,544,000	25,048,000	24,261,000
Inspection/Permits.....	703,847	671,584	644,000	656,000	639,000	645,000	682,000
Public Works.....	13,832,854	13,514,830	9,128,000	8,535,000	8,185,000	8,629,000	8,742,000
Parks and Recreation.....	3,138,810	2,963,765	2,797,000	2,520,000	2,558,000	2,600,000	2,427,000
Health and Social Services.....	1,548,042	1,480,178	1,457,000	1,430,000	1,361,000	1,326,000	1,257,000
Debt Service.....	7,391,027	8,068,079	9,215,000	9,357,000	9,758,000	9,160,000	9,539,000
Education.....	88,266,419	87,266,419	107,307,000	100,759,000	97,696,000	89,434,000	84,284,000 ²
Transfers Out.....	0	0	1,080,000	15,023,000 ⁶	6,208,000 ⁴	187,000	17,000
Total Expenditures and Transfers Out.....	\$177,885,352	\$175,049,670	\$192,436,000	\$199,249,000	\$182,904,000	\$164,026,000	\$158,152,000
Results from Operations.....			(\$33,000)	(\$585,000)	\$1,693,000	\$574,000	(\$698,000)
Fund Balance, July 1.....			\$14,626,000	\$15,211,000	\$13,518,000	\$12,944,000	\$13,642,000
Fund Balance, June 30.....			\$14,593,000	\$14,626,000	\$15,211,000	\$13,518,000	\$12,944,000

¹ Budgetary Basis.

² These expenditures are reflected on a budgetary basis and do not include State of Connecticut on-behalf payments to the Connecticut Teachers' Retirement System for Town teachers and certain other grants and expenditures of the Board of Education.

³ Includes \$5,280,000 of Bond Issuance and \$193,000 of refunding bond premium.

⁴ Includes \$5,411,000 in refunding bond escrow.

⁵ Includes \$12,230,000 of Refunding Bond Issuance and \$1,076,000 of refunding bond premium.

⁶ Includes \$13,188,000 in refunding bond escrow.

ANALYSIS OF GENERAL FUND EQUITY
Town of East Hartford
(GAAP BASIS)

	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
Restricted.....		\$712,937	\$1,382,150	\$2,082,150	
Assigned.....	\$2,315,312	2,122,990	672,501	358,070	\$720,057
Unassigned.....	15,890,648	14,602,679	11,029,758	10,959,164	9,307,572
Total Fund Balance	\$18,205,960	\$17,438,606	\$13,084,409	\$13,399,384	\$10,027,629
Unassigned Fund Balance As % of Total Expenditures	<u>18.76%</u>	<u>15.85%</u>	<u>13.68%</u>	<u>12.36%</u>	<u>12.68%</u>

Source: Audit Reports 2010-2014; Budgets 2015 and 2016.

GENERAL FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
City of Hartford
 Summary of Audited Revenues and Expenditures
 (GAAP BASIS)

	Adopted Budget 2015-16 ¹	Budget 2014-15 ¹	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
REVENUES:							
Property Taxes.....	\$265,681,672	\$259,084,000	\$256,765,000	\$255,546,000	\$277,245,000	\$274,013,000	\$266,990,000
Licenses and Permits.....	6,213,860	6,167,000	6,555,000	5,661,000	6,299,000	4,891,000	5,608,000
Investment Income.....	4,767,238	5,173,000	489,000	312,000	399,000	317,000	1,027,000
Intergovernmental.....	244,230,556	249,180,000	286,236,000	280,695,000	280,582,000	272,915,000	267,840,000
Charges for Services.....	2,949,105	2,802,000	3,589,000	2,829,000	2,258,000	2,807,000	2,175,000
Other Revenues.....	9,972,354	29,605,000	3,725,000	4,600,000	6,951,000	7,743,000	7,396,000
Transfers In.....	0	0	10,430,000	12,439,000 ⁴	2,840,000 ²	4,159,000	2,884,000
Total Revenues and Transfers In.....	\$533,814,785	\$552,011,000	\$567,789,000	\$562,082,000	\$576,574,000	\$566,845,000	\$553,920,000
EXPENDITURES:							
General Government.....	\$19,893,736	\$20,581,000	\$19,384,000	\$19,206,000	\$21,566,000	\$19,800,000	\$19,831,000
Public Safety.....	74,159,540	73,044,000	74,778,000	72,691,000	73,595,000	75,672,000	70,756,000
Public Works.....	13,187,907	12,959,000	13,761,000	12,866,000	13,162,000	13,083,000	12,522,000
Development and Community	4,163,453	4,368,000	4,739,000	4,592,000	4,612,000	4,228,000	4,342,000
Human Services.....	5,100,101	4,756,000	4,441,000	4,588,000	4,704,000	7,103,000	7,237,000
Library.....	8,215,000	8,215,000	0	0	0	0	0
Education.....	284,008,188	284,008,000	321,535,000	314,620,000	313,069,000	304,370,000	305,210,000
Recreation and Culture.....	0	0	7,863,000	8,215,000	7,972,000	0	0
Benefits and Insurance.....	65,693,371	87,929,000	86,898,000	66,941,000	64,501,000	65,160,000	55,791,000
Debt Service.....	23,365,289	20,144,000	0	0	0	0	0
Other.....	36,028,200	36,007,000	21,019,000	17,858,000	29,445,000	34,552,000	31,042,000
Transfers Out.....	0	0	26,868,000	40,240,000	38,921,000	37,427,000	44,854,000
Total Expenditures and Transfers Out.....	\$533,814,785	\$552,011,000	\$581,286,000	\$561,817,000	\$571,547,000	\$561,395,000	\$551,585,000
Results from Operations.....			(\$13,497,000)	\$265,000	\$5,027,000	\$5,450,000	\$2,335,000
Fund Balance, July 1.....			\$30,378,000	\$30,113,000	\$25,086,000	\$19,636,000³	\$16,313,000
Fund Balance, June 30.....			\$16,881,000	\$30,378,000	\$30,113,000	\$25,086,000	\$18,648,000

¹ Budgetary Basis.

² Includes \$555,000 of Bond Premium.

³ Restated.

⁴ Includes \$6,511,000 of Bond Premium.

ANALYSIS OF GENERAL FUND EQUITY
City of Hartford
 (GAAP BASIS)

	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
Assigned.....	\$3,859,000	\$2,850,000	\$4,332,000	\$2,525,000	\$148,000
Unassigned.....	13,022,000	27,528,000	25,781,000	22,561,000	18,500,000
Total Fund Balance	\$16,881,000	\$30,378,000	\$30,113,000	\$25,086,000	\$18,648,000
Unassigned Fund Balance As % of Total Expenditures	<u>2.24%</u>	<u>4.90%</u>	<u>4.51%</u>	<u>4.02%</u>	<u>3.35%</u>

Source: Audit Reports 2010-2014; Budgets 2015 and 2016.

GENERAL FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
Town of Newington
 Summary of Audited Revenues and Expenditures
 (GAAP BASIS)

	Adopted Budget 2015-16 ¹	Budget 2014-15 ¹	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
REVENUES:							
Property Taxes.....	\$91,029,387	\$88,271,952	\$85,646,000	\$83,913,000	\$80,895,000	\$77,704,000	\$75,594,000
Payment in Lieu of Taxes.....	3,246,774	2,906,314	2,764,000	2,339,000	2,343,000	3,096,000	3,050,000
Licenses, Fees and Permits.....	315,500	303,000	344,000	402,000	278,000	194,000	300,000
Intergovernmental.....	15,578,767	14,939,679	23,590,000	22,228,000	24,791,000	19,176,000	19,882,000
Rental.....	107,600	146,472	77,000	146,000	133,000	137,000	130,000
Income on Investments.....	68,500	46,350	62,000	39,000	67,000	123,000	172,000
Fines.....	36,500	40,000	89,000	122,000	123,000	93,000	64,000
Charges for Services.....	566,075	582,450	551,000	574,000	488,000	510,000	605,000
Refunds and Reimbursements.....	102,260	87,825	0	0	0	0	0
Other.....	75,179	28,092	128,000	96,000	832,000	136,000	51,000
Transfers In ²	2,467,912	2,731,158	433,000	10,436,000 ⁵	164,000	127,000	3,739,000 ³
Total Revenues and Transfers In.....	\$113,594,454	\$110,083,292	\$113,684,000	\$120,295,000	\$110,114,000	\$101,296,000	\$103,587,000
EXPENDITURES:							
General Government.....	\$4,771,190	\$4,666,890	\$4,483,000	\$4,245,000	\$4,016,000	\$3,874,000	\$3,823,000
Public Safety.....	8,242,384	7,996,199	7,608,000	7,474,000	7,533,000	7,173,000	7,054,000
Public Works.....	4,962,387	5,006,430	4,728,000	4,557,000	6,223,000	4,668,000	4,532,000
Community Planning & Develop	522,269	513,723	465,000	456,000	422,000	430,000	479,000
Health and Human Services.....	1,185,291	1,146,294	1,123,000	1,057,000	1,093,000	1,074,000	1,117,000
Library.....	1,735,113	1,728,923	1,655,000	1,633,000	1,668,000	1,566,000	1,646,000
Parks and Recreation.....	1,642,878	1,661,386	1,513,000	1,512,000	1,542,000	1,472,000	1,429,000
Education.....	69,840,928	68,039,471	74,223,000	69,551,000	68,303,000	64,499,000	63,212,000
Miscellaneous.....	13,739,114	12,822,817	11,031,000	11,748,000	10,424,000	10,026,000	8,928,000
Debt Service.....	1,227,831	1,676,931	1,476,000	2,353,000	2,312,000	2,158,000	2,523,000
Transfers Out.....	5,725,069	4,824,228	4,955,000	14,666,000 ⁶	4,958,000	5,026,000	7,892,000 ⁴
Total Expenditures and Transfers Out.....	\$113,594,454	\$110,083,292	\$113,260,000	\$119,252,000	\$108,494,000	\$101,966,000	\$102,635,000
Results from Operations.....			\$424,000	\$1,043,000	\$1,620,000	(\$670,000)	\$952,000
Fund Balance, July 1.....			\$19,636,000	\$18,593,000	\$16,973,000	\$17,643,000	\$16,691,000
Fund Balance, June 30.....			\$20,060,000	\$19,636,000	\$18,593,000	\$16,973,000	\$17,643,000

¹ Budgetary Basis.

² Includes \$2,400,000 and \$2,250,000 appropriated from prior years' surplus in Fiscal Years 2015 and 2016, respectively.

³ Includes \$3,640,000 refunding bonds issued.

⁴ Includes \$3,571,000 payment to refunded bond escrow agent.

⁵ Includes \$462,000 premium on refunding bonds and \$9,810,000 refunding bonds issued.

⁶ Includes \$10,144,000 payment to refunded bond escrow agent.

ANALYSIS OF GENERAL FUND EQUITY
Town of Newington
 (GAAP BASIS)

	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
Assigned.....	\$4,877,000	\$5,010,000	\$4,174,000	\$3,891,000	\$1,745,000
Designated for Sub. Year.....	0	0	0	0	2,000,000
Unassigned.....	15,183,000	14,626,000	14,419,000	13,082,000	13,898,000
Total Fund Balance	\$20,060,000	\$19,636,000	\$18,593,000	\$16,973,000	\$17,643,000
Unassigned Fund Balance As % of Total Expenditures	<u>13.41%</u>	<u>12.26%</u>	<u>13.29%</u>	<u>12.83%</u>	<u>13.54%</u>

Source: Audit Reports 2010-2014; Budgets 2015 and 2016.

GENERAL FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
Town of Rocky Hill
Summary of Audited Revenues and Expenditures
(GAAP BASIS)

	Adopted Budget 2015-16 ¹	Budget 2014-15 ¹	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
REVENUES:							
Taxes and Assessments.....	\$60,469,735	\$59,462,002	\$57,822,637	\$56,453,949	\$53,019,340	\$52,381,560	\$50,445,629
Intergovernmental.....	6,138,498	6,066,528	10,206,932	9,052,449	9,149,211	7,432,129	7,203,662
Charges for Services.....	34,000	376,000	1,378,617	1,544,079	896,449	844,632	792,346
Income on Investments.....	75,000	21,000	23,823	14,069	13,720	24,417	39,737
Miscellaneous.....	1,225,710	1,733,346	995,991	827,777	747,501	722,482	656,980
Transfers In.....	621,705 ⁷	914,733 ⁶	0	7,779,757 ⁴	0	0	7,420,000 ²
Total Revenues and Transfers In.....	\$68,566,648	\$68,573,609	\$70,428,000	\$75,672,080	\$63,826,221	\$61,405,220	\$66,558,354
EXPENDITURES:							
General Government.....	\$3,109,388	\$3,083,633	\$2,388,003	\$2,399,855	\$2,463,450	\$2,269,845	\$2,313,302
Public Safety.....	5,791,644	5,950,314	6,153,764	5,828,716	5,503,034	5,650,377	5,341,066
Public Works.....	6,228,964	6,214,992	5,952,262	5,573,494	5,352,691	5,256,628	4,973,582
Health and Human Services.....	564,498	548,810	676,010	567,584	522,184	522,896	501,487
Parks, Recreation and Facilities...	2,889,704	3,644,131	5,955,413	5,544,561	5,312,396	5,423,382	5,253,636
Library Services.....	954,243	934,446	953,855	912,754	862,248	882,446	875,847
Education.....	37,187,869	35,320,903	35,566,626	33,885,126	32,952,537	30,865,280	30,266,724
Miscellaneous.....	7,127,514	8,186,027	7,596,307	7,357,111	6,948,577	6,477,639	6,162,034
Capital Outlays.....	2,073,849	2,631,827	1,068,650	1,473,714	973,159	956,339	523,784
Debt Service	2,638,975	2,058,526	1,671,560	2,235,379	2,385,319	2,363,467	2,895,090
Transfers Out.....	0	0	2,177,382	8,324,556 ⁵	497,548	506,348	7,646,494 ³
Total Expenditures and Transfers Out.....	\$68,566,648	\$68,573,609	\$70,159,832	\$74,102,850	\$63,773,143	\$61,174,647	\$66,753,046
Results from Operations.....			\$268,168	\$1,569,230	\$53,078	\$230,573	(\$194,692)
Fund Balance, July 1.....			\$5,870,683	\$4,301,453	\$4,248,375	\$4,017,802	\$4,212,494
Fund Balance, June 30.....			\$6,138,851	\$5,870,683	\$4,301,453	\$4,248,375	\$4,017,802

¹ Budgetary Basis

² Includes \$7,420,000 refunding bonds issued.

³ Includes \$7,316,494 payment to refunded bond escrow agent.

⁴ Includes \$7,160,000 refunding bonds issued and \$619,757 premium on refunding bond issuance.

⁵ Includes \$7,675,728 payment to refunded bond escrow agent.

⁶ Includes \$914,733 appropriated from prior years' surplus.

⁷ Includes \$621,705 appropriated from prior years' surplus.

ANALYSIS OF GENERAL FUND EQUITY
Town of Rocky Hill
(GAAP BASIS)

	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
Assigned.....	\$1,552,335	\$1,198,823	\$245,955	\$754,586	\$209,884
Designated for subsequent year.....	0	0	0	0	281,148
Unassigned.....	4,586,516	4,671,860	4,055,498	3,493,789	3,526,770
Total Fund Balance	\$6,138,851	\$5,870,683	\$4,301,453	\$4,248,375	\$4,017,802
Unassigned Fund Balance As % of Total Expenditures	<u>6.54%</u>	<u>6.30%</u>	<u>6.36%</u>	<u>5.71%</u>	<u>5.28%</u>

Source: Audit Reports 2010-2014; Budgets 2015 and 2016.

GENERAL FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
Town of West Hartford
 Summary of Audited Revenues and Expenditures
 (GAAP BASIS)

	Adopted Budget 2016 ¹	Budget 2015 ¹	Actual 2014	Actual 2013	Actual 2012 ²	Actual 2011	Actual 2010 ³
REVENUES:							
Property Taxes.....	\$227,984,992	\$221,512,947	\$214,923,000	\$210,571,000	\$200,033,000	\$192,984,000	\$186,107,000
Intergovernmental.....	22,198,732	22,264,687	43,115,000	39,853,000	46,477,000	32,117,000	28,491,000
Charges for Services.....	5,205,109	5,096,394	4,741,000	4,632,000	4,970,000	4,304,000	5,023,000
Income on Investments.....	250,000	250,000	256,000	382,000	451,000	710,000	1,045,000
Miscellaneous.....	836,500	696,000	775,000	779,000	1,004,000	1,140,000	841,000
Transfers In.....	1,038,710	1,024,995	849,000	790,000	994,000	878,000	68,779,000 ⁴
Total Revenues.....	\$257,514,043	\$250,845,023	\$264,659,000	\$257,007,000	\$253,929,000	\$232,133,000	\$290,286,000
EXPENDITURES:							
Current:							
General Government.....	\$5,515,125	\$5,407,955	\$5,328,000	\$5,182,000	\$5,064,000	\$5,033,000	\$4,788,000
Public Safety.....	25,652,282	25,440,822	25,368,000	25,629,000	25,340,000	23,497,000	22,252,000
Community Maintenance.....	15,952,852	15,738,062	15,669,000	15,329,000	14,398,000	15,011,000	15,297,000
Human and Cultural.....	6,152,075	6,028,448	6,079,000	5,864,000	5,691,000	5,503,000	5,503,000
Education.....	148,370,424	145,888,757	160,266,000	155,074,000	149,600,000	139,573,000	131,068,000
Debt and Sundry.....	54,407,938	37,235,153	34,431,000	32,380,000	40,601,000	25,922,000	40,418,000
Capital Outlay.....	0	0	102,000	48,000	0	99,000	77,000
Transfers Out.....	1,463,347	15,105,826	16,654,000	16,413,000	13,591,000	16,786,000	69,741,000 ⁵
Total Expenditures and Transfers Out.....	\$257,514,043	\$250,845,023	\$263,897,000	\$255,919,000	\$254,285,000	\$231,424,000	\$289,144,000
Results from Operations.....			\$762,000	\$1,088,000	(\$356,000)	\$709,000	\$1,142,000
Fund Balance, July 1.....			\$19,443,000	\$18,355,000	\$18,711,000	\$18,002,000	\$16,860,000
Fund Balance, June 30.....			\$20,205,000	\$19,443,000	\$18,355,000	\$18,711,000	\$18,002,000

¹ *Budgetary Basis.*

² *In fiscal year 2012, the Town incurred expenditures of \$12 million related to clean up for Winter Storm Alfred, an unusual October 2011 snowstorm. The Town received reimbursement of \$9.1 million from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).*

³ *In fiscal year 2010, all Risk Management costs (Health, Workers Compensation, etc.) were transferred from individual departments to Debt and Sundry.*

⁴ *Includes \$67,710,000 refunding bonds issued.*

⁵ *Includes \$67,257,000 payment to refunded bond escrow agent*

ANALYSIS OF GENERAL FUND EQUITY
Town of West Hartford
 (GAAP BASIS)

	Actual 2014	Actual 2013	Actual 2012	Actual 2011	Actual 2010
Nonspendable.....	\$178,000	\$179,000	\$169,000	\$178,000	
Assigned.....	374,000	333,000	355,000	652,000	\$809,000
Unassigned.....	19,653,000	18,931,000	17,831,000	17,881,000	17,193,000
Total Fund Balance	20,205,000	19,443,000	18,355,000	18,711,000	18,002,000
Unassigned Fund Balance As % of Total Expenditures	<u>7.45%</u>	<u>7.40%</u>	<u>7.01%</u>	<u>7.73%</u>	<u>5.95%</u>

Source: Audit Reports 2010-2014; Budgets 2015 and 2016.

GENERAL FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
Town of Wethersfield
 Summary of Audited Revenues and Expenditures
 (GAAP BASIS)

	Adopted Budget 2015-16 ¹	Budget 2014-15 ¹	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
REVENUES:							
Property Taxes.....	\$84,524,511	\$80,806,613	\$78,321,007	\$75,019,911	\$72,867,903	\$70,620,970	\$71,117,614
Intergovernmental.....	9,698,690	9,797,524	17,339,290	16,262,260	16,674,045	14,296,441	14,093,177
Other Local Revenues.....	1,732,712	1,630,625	2,157,286	1,920,385	1,498,540	2,155,787	2,709,868
Transfers In.....	300,000 ²	600,000 ²	123,429	6,769,120 ⁶	672,465	0	13,332,220 ³
Total Revenues and Transfers In.....	\$96,255,913	\$92,834,762	\$97,941,012	\$99,971,676	\$91,712,953	\$87,073,198	\$101,252,879
EXPENDITURES:							
Public Safety.....	\$10,061,707	\$9,691,133	\$9,242,982	\$8,614,977	\$8,597,455	\$8,443,638	\$8,132,620
Public Works.....	8,212,369	8,245,387	8,298,582	7,917,299	7,594,385	7,877,295	7,395,776
Recreation and Parks.....	1,782,115	1,708,763	1,591,171	1,403,082	1,389,756	1,495,198	1,442,808
Social Services.....	826,988	794,431	791,675	875,144	829,087	906,931	897,535
Library.....	1,928,134	1,876,700	1,799,942	1,732,883	1,665,484	1,659,845	1,620,882
General Government.....	10,583,870	10,042,686	8,437,977	8,527,909	9,090,322	7,359,677	7,936,072
Education.....	56,374,947	54,797,197	60,950,236	58,303,580	55,269,575	54,344,069	54,093,101
Debt Service.....	4,385,547	3,379,104	3,236,244	3,807,461	3,569,423	3,775,508	4,044,856
Contingency.....	340,000	340,000	0	0	0	0	0
Transfers Out.....	1,760,236	1,959,361	2,285,085	8,453,204 ⁷	3,356,751	2,022,213	15,734,384 ⁴
Total Expenditures and Transfers Out.....	\$96,255,913	\$92,834,762	\$96,633,894	\$99,635,539	\$91,362,238	\$87,884,374	\$101,298,034
Results from Operations.....			\$1,307,118	\$336,137	\$350,715	(\$811,176)	(\$45,155)
Fund Balance, July 1.....			\$10,481,582	\$10,145,445	\$9,794,730	\$10,605,906⁵	\$8,904,357⁵
Fund Balance, June 30.....			\$11,788,700	\$10,481,582	\$10,145,445	\$9,794,730	\$8,859,202

¹ Budgetary Basis.

² Includes \$600,000 and \$300,000 appropriated from prior years' surplus in Fiscal Years 2015 and 2016, respectively.

³ Includes \$12,010,000 refunding bonds issued and \$1,322,220 premium on refunding bonds.

⁴ Includes \$13,161,148 payment to refunded bond escrow agent.

⁵ Restated.

⁶ Includes \$6,170,000 refunding bonds issued and \$549,120 premium on refunding bonds.

⁷ Includes \$6,610,679 payment to refunded bond escrow agent.

ANALYSIS OF GENERAL FUND EQUITY
Town of Wethersfield
 (GAAP BASIS)

	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
Committed.....	\$414,179	\$373,793	\$405,817	\$1,823,247	\$813,143
Assigned.....	1,126,833	796,624	829,460	858,617	700,000
Unassigned.....	10,247,688	9,311,165	8,910,168	7,112,866	7,346,059
Total Fund Balance	11,788,700	10,481,582	10,145,445	9,794,730	8,859,202
Unassigned Fund Balance As % of Total Expenditures	<u>10.60%</u>	<u>9.35%</u>	<u>9.75%</u>	<u>8.09%</u>	<u>7.25%</u>

Source: Audit Reports 2010-2014; Budgets 2015 and 2016.

GENERAL FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES

Town of Windsor

Summary of Audited Revenues and Expenditures
(GAAP BASIS)

	Budget 2014-15¹	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
REVENUES:						
Property Taxes.....	\$86,535,450	\$82,937,213	\$82,159,015	\$79,806,333	\$78,440,338	\$75,182,401
State & Federal Governments.....	15,118,410	22,298,413	21,410,871	21,115,541	19,819,257	19,483,098
Charges for Services.....	1,869,300	4,865,078	4,531,261	3,361,671	3,764,362	2,327,254
Investment Income.....	115,000	120,506	132,574	158,626	243,289	215,245
Transfers In ²	900,000	95,510	105,150	903,038	113,220	112,590
Total Revenues and Transfers In.....	\$104,538,160	\$110,316,720	\$108,338,871	\$105,345,209	\$102,380,466	\$97,320,588
EXPENDITURES:						
Education.....	\$69,917,270	\$70,387,913	\$68,251,357	\$67,390,801	\$64,675,805	\$63,097,716
General Government.....	17,597,480	11,681,899	11,367,560	10,823,683	10,508,186	9,845,335
Culture & Recreation.....	1,387,630	3,765,963	3,748,207	3,714,831	3,562,735	2,423,677
Human Services.....	791,240	1,333,534	1,409,128	1,293,713	1,339,342	1,223,405
Public Safety.....	9,674,270	10,347,278	9,482,675	9,246,685	9,191,543	8,173,386
Public Works.....	5,170,270	5,697,653	5,553,231	5,444,107	5,745,378	5,551,103
Transfers Out.....	0	6,824,000	6,219,500	7,196,210	6,223,950	6,716,700
Total Expenditures and Transfers Out.....	\$104,538,160	\$110,038,240	\$106,031,658	\$105,110,030	\$101,246,939	\$97,031,322
Results from Operations.....		\$278,480	\$2,307,213	\$235,179	\$1,133,527	\$289,266
Fund Balance, July 1.....		\$20,911,350	\$18,604,137	\$18,368,958	\$17,235,431 ³	\$15,268,657
Fund Balance, June 30.....		\$21,189,830	\$20,911,350	\$18,604,137	\$18,368,958	\$15,557,923

¹ Budgetary Basis.

² Represents appropriation from prior years' surplus.

³ Restated.

ANALYSIS OF GENERAL FUND EQUITY

Town of Windsor

(GAAP BASIS)

	Actual 2013-14	Actual 2012-13	Actual 2011-12	Actual 2010-11	Actual 2009-10
Reserved for Encumbrances.....					\$334,036
Nonspendable.....	\$46,154	\$42,679	\$48,934	\$35,544	44,044
Reserved for Prepays.....					
Committed.....				3,395,259	900,000
Assigned.....	3,795,689	3,939,957	3,238,973		
Unassigned.....	17,347,987	16,928,714	15,316,230	14,938,155	14,279,843
Total Fund Balance	\$21,189,830	\$20,911,350	\$18,604,137	\$18,368,958	\$15,557,923
Unassigned Fund Balance As % of Total Expenditures	<u>15.77%</u>	<u>15.97%</u>	<u>14.57%</u>	<u>14.75%</u>	<u>14.72%</u>

Source: Audit Reports 2010-2014; Budget 2015.

VIII. DEBT SUMMARY

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF INDEBTEDNESS – THE DISTRICT

As of July 28, 2015
(Pro Forma)

Long-Term Debt				Debt	Date of
Date	Purpose	Rate %	Original Issue	Outstanding As of 7/28/15	Fiscal Year Maturity
10/31/96	CWF (274C, 285C).....	2.00	\$24,237,340	\$403,956	2015
12/30/97	CWF (270C).....	2.00	6,690,902	501,818	2016
06/30/99	CWF (319C).....	2.00	1,691,005	295,926	2018
12/30/99	CWF (383C).....	2.00	4,241,334	762,692	2019
06/30/00	CWF (361C).....	2.00	2,635,079	592,892	2019
08/31/01	Drinking Water (SRF 9709C).....	2.60	206,898	53,449	2020
12/31/01	Drinking Water (SRF 9704C).....	2.60	860,842	236,732	2020
06/30/02	Drinking Water (SRF 9710C).....	2.50	861,978	258,594	2021
06/30/02	CWF (405C).....	2.00	8,163,200	2,653,040	2021
03/30/03	CWF (267C).....	2.00	5,213,046	1,750,910	2022
06/30/03	CWF (494C).....	2.00	2,029,367	761,013	2022
12/31/03	Drinking Water (DWSRF9709CD1).....	2.10	956,990	296,667	2020
12/31/03	Drinking Water (9704DCD1).....	2.10	2,225,346	719,965	2020
12/31/03	Drinking Water (200105C).....	2.10	2,343,735	947,260	2023
12/31/04	CWF (451C).....	2.00	3,987,009	1,785,372	2024
06/01/08	General Purpose, Issue of 2008.....	3.625-4.125	80,000,000	52,000,000	2028
09/30/09	CWF (521C).....	2.00	4,240,340	2,703,216	2028
10/31/08	CWF (508C).....	2.00	1,232,078	759,782	2027
12/30/08	CWF (160C).....	2.00	1,888,557	1,133,134	2027
12/31/08	CWF (578C).....	2.00	2,042,741	1,258,770	2027
02/28/09	CSL (142).....	2.00	6,200,000	3,926,666	2028
09/30/09	CWF (520C).....	2.00	4,547,580	3,012,772	2028
09/30/09	CSL (149).....	2.00	12,710,000	8,420,374	2028
06/01/10	Refunding Bonds.....	2.56	12,845,000	8,875,000	2022
07/15/10	General Purpose, Series A 2010.....	3.65	91,900,000	74,699,987	2035
07/15/10	General Purpose, Series B 2010.....	4.21	46,200,000	41,600,000	2040
01/31/11	CWF (578CD2).....	2.00	2,619,264	2,029,930	2030
08/31/11	Drinking Water (DWSRF 2010-8008).....	2.06	2,579,412	1,956,054	2030
02/28/12	Drinking Water (DWSRF 2010-8009).....	2.06	772,079	585,493	2030
03/31/12	CSL (166).....	2.00	21,907,709	18,059,059	2030
03/31/12	CWF (619D1).....	2.00	12,600,000	10,386,487	2030
01/31/13	CWF (626C).....	2.00	22,160,848	18,375,037	2032
01/31/13	Drinking Water (DWSRF 2010-8009-1).....	2.06	193,644	167,030	2030
02/14/13	General Purpose, Series A 2013.....	2.47	30,235,000	27,205,000	2033
02/14/13	General Purpose, Series B 2013.....	2.47	25,030,000	22,520,000	2033
03/13/13	CWF (639C).....	2.00	41,301,329	36,359,290	2032
06/19/13	Clean Water Project Revenue Bonds.....	4.06	85,000,000	83,000,000	2039
06/28/13	CWF (633C).....	2.00	15,485,718	13,550,004	2032
07/15/14	Drinking Water (DWSRF 2013-7012).....	2.00	2,780,620	2,514,144	2033
08/06/14	General Purpose Series A 2014.....	2.86	47,735,000	47,735,000	2035
08/06/14	Refunding Bonds, Series B 2014.....	1.48	14,845,000	12,470,000	2024
09/30/14	CWF (619-C1).....	2.00	24,821,197	22,649,344	2033
09/30/14	Drinking Water (DWSRF 7018).....	2.00	398,083	363,250	2033
11/14/14	Clean Water Project Revenue Bonds.....	3.63	140,000,000	140,000,000	2042
02/28/15	CWF (646-C).....	2.00	24,579,826	22,941,171	2034
03/19/15	General Purpose, Issue of 2015.....	2.85	66,740,000	66,740,000	2035
03/31/15	Drinking Water (DWSRF 2014-7026).....	2.00	1,691,379	1,691,379	2035
05/31/15	CWF (652-D).....	2.00	20,398,152	20,398,152	2034
06/01/15	Drinking Water (DWSRF 2013-7013).....	2.00	2,713,243	2,566,275	2034
06/30/15	Drinking Water (DWSRF 2013-7017).....	2.00	2,013,468	2,013,468	2034
06/30/15	Drinking Water (DWSRF 2013-7019).....	2.00	1,539,774	1,462,785	2034
06/30/15	CWF (626-CD1).....	2.00	6,815,898	6,815,898	2032
Total Long-Term Debt.....			\$947,107,010	\$794,964,237	

Short-Term Debt:

The District will issue \$25,000,000 in General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series C, on July 28, 2015 to temporarily finance various sewer, water and public improvement projects of the District. The notes will mature on March 18, 2016.

The District issued \$81,500,000 in General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series A on March 19, 2015 to temporarily finance various sewer, water and public improvement projects of the District, and \$35,000,000 in General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series B on March 19, 2015 to finance its Clean Water Project. The Series A Notes will mature on March 18, 2016. The Series B Notes will mature on November 4, 2015.

The District currently has \$286,646,916 of outstanding Interim Funding Obligations (“IFO’s”) issued under the State of Connecticut’s Clean Water Fund Program and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Program. The amount drawn to date is \$40,637,926.

Other Long-Term Commitments:

The District has no other general obligation long term commitments.

CLEAN WATER FUND PROGRAM

The Metropolitan District is a participant in the State of Connecticut’s Clean Water Fund Program (Connecticut General Statutes Section 22a-475 et seq., as amended) which provides financial assistance through a combination of grants and loans bearing interest at the rate of 2% per annum. All participating municipalities receive funding for eligible expenses of 20% grant and 80% loan, except for combined sewer overflow projects (50% grant and 50% loan) and denitrification projects (30% grant and 70% loan). Loans are made pursuant to a Project Loan and Grant Agreement. During construction the municipality enters into a short-term borrowing agreement with the State called an Interim Funding Obligation (“IFO”) from which it pays project costs as needed. Each municipality is obligated to repay only that amount which it draws down for the payment of project costs. Upon project completion, or, in the case of certain larger projects, annually, a 20-year debt obligation called a Project Loan Obligation (“PLO”) is issued to the State. The municipal obligations issued to the State are secured by the full faith and credit of the municipality and/or a dedicated source of revenue of such municipality.

Amortization of each loan is required to begin one year from the earlier of the scheduled completion date specified in the Loan Agreement or the actual project completion date, or, in the case of certain larger projects, the earlier of such date or annually commencing one year after the date of the agreement. The final maturity of each loan is the earlier of twenty years from the scheduled completion date or twenty years from the date of the PLO. Principal and interest payments are made (1) in monthly installments commencing one month after the scheduled completion date, or (2) in single annual installments representing 1/20 of total principal not later than one year from the scheduled completion date specified in the Loan Agreement repayable thereafter in monthly installments. Monthly installments may be in level debt service or amortized with level principal. Loans made under loan agreements entered into prior to July 1, 1989 are repayable in annual installments. Borrowers may prepay their loans at any time prior to maturity without penalty.

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND PROGRAM

The Metropolitan District is a participant in the State of Connecticut’s Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Program (General Statutes Sections 22a-475 et seq., as amended), which provides financial assistance through loans bearing interest at rates ranging from 2% to 3% per annum and grants.

Loans and grants are made pursuant to a Project Loan and Subsidy Agreement. Each municipality is obligated to repay only that amount that is drawn down for the payment of project costs (“Loan Agreement”). Each municipality must deliver to the State an obligation secured by the full faith and credit of the municipality and/or a dedicated source of revenue of such municipality.

The amortization requirements, payment schedule and prepayment provisions are the same as under the Clean Water Fund Program.

ANNUAL BONDED DEBT MATURITY SCHEDULE - THE DISTRICT¹
As of July 28, 2015
(Pro Forma)

Schedule A – General Obligation Bonds

Fiscal Year Ending	Outstanding Principal	Interest	Total	Cumulative Percent Retired
2015	\$10,716,330	\$6,827,767	\$17,544,097	1.87
2016	35,137,824	18,257,919	53,395,743	8.02
2017	35,158,279	16,996,113	52,154,392	14.16
2018	35,113,279	15,715,206	50,828,485	20.30
2019	35,203,393	14,448,922	49,652,315	26.46
2020	34,673,715	13,200,945	47,874,660	32.52
2021	33,738,044	11,995,209	45,733,253	38.42
2022	33,219,354	10,843,622	44,062,976	44.23
2023	31,797,386	9,753,867	41,551,253	49.79
2024	31,432,227	8,735,965	40,168,192	55.28
2025	30,698,798	7,729,720	38,428,518	60.65
2026	30,753,798	6,749,986	37,503,784	66.03
2027	30,573,868	5,828,675	36,402,543	71.37
2028	29,729,803	4,929,690	34,659,493	76.57
2029	25,037,973	4,124,923	29,162,896	80.95
2030	24,522,124	3,401,586	27,923,710	85.23
2031	20,473,141	2,697,801	23,170,942	88.81
2032	18,319,671	2,116,190	20,435,861	92.02
2033	15,713,615	1,602,570	17,316,185	94.76
2034	10,751,615	1,138,693	11,890,308	96.64
2035	7,045,000	770,892	7,815,892	97.87
2036	2,225,000	541,413	2,766,413	98.26
2037	2,320,000	446,850	2,766,850	98.67
2038	2,425,000	342,450	2,767,450	99.09
2039	2,535,000	233,325	2,768,325	99.54
2040	2,650,000	119,250	2,769,250	100.00
Totals	\$571,964,237	\$169,549,549	\$741,513,781	

¹ Excludes principal and interest payments made in current Fiscal Year 2015.
Source: District Officials.

Schedule B – Clean Water Project Revenue Bonds

Fiscal Year Ending 12/31	Outstanding Principal	Interest	Total	Cumulative Percent Retired
2015	\$2,510,000	\$5,308,575	\$7,818,575	1.13
2016	3,680,000	10,516,850	14,196,850	2.78
2017	3,760,000	10,386,450	14,146,450	4.46
2018	3,870,000	10,239,800	14,109,800	6.20
2019	4,015,000	10,065,050	14,080,050	8.00
2020	4,075,000	9,959,750	14,034,750	9.83
2021	4,225,000	9,756,000	13,981,000	11.72
2022	4,355,000	9,577,000	13,932,000	13.67
2023	4,525,000	9,359,250	13,884,250	15.70
2024	4,700,000	9,133,000	13,833,000	17.81
2025	4,885,000	8,898,000	13,783,000	20.00
2026	5,080,000	8,662,500	13,742,500	22.28
2027	5,285,000	8,426,000	13,711,000	24.65
2028	5,495,000	8,178,625	13,673,625	27.11
2029	5,905,000	7,907,375	13,812,375	29.76
2030	6,290,000	7,608,500	13,898,500	32.58
2031	8,715,000	7,239,500	15,954,500	36.49
2032	11,015,000	6,752,750	17,767,750	41.43
2033	13,165,000	6,155,125	19,320,125	47.33
2034	15,025,000	5,457,500	20,482,500	54.07
2035	15,330,000	4,706,250	20,036,250	60.94
2036	15,645,000	3,939,750	19,584,750	67.96
2037	14,970,000	3,214,275	18,184,275	74.67
2038	15,320,000	2,529,075	17,849,075	81.54
2039	15,700,000	1,826,050	17,526,050	88.58
2040	8,075,000	1,273,000	9,348,000	92.20
2041	8,480,000	869,250	9,349,250	96.01
2042	8,905,000	445,250	9,350,250	100.00
Totals	\$223,000,000	\$188,390,500	\$411,390,500	

¹ Excludes principal and interest payments made in current Fiscal Year 2015.
Source: District Officials.

Combined Schedules A and B Outstanding Debt

Total Outstanding Principal¹	Total Interest	Total
\$794,964,237	\$357,940,049	\$1,152,904,281

¹ Excludes principal and interest payments made in current Fiscal Year 2015.
Source: District Officials.

**OVERLAPPING AND UNDERLYING NET DEBT
THE DISTRICT AND MEMBER MUNICIPALITIES**

As of July 28, 2015
(Pro Forma)

The outstanding indebtedness of the District is considered overlapping debt of the Member Municipalities.

The outstanding indebtedness of the Towns of Bloomfield, East Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford, Wethersfield, Windsor and the City of Hartford is considered underlying debt of the District:

Member Municipalities	Share of Net District Debt¹	Net Direct District Debt Applicable to Member Municipalities as of 7/28/15²	Net Direct Debt of Member Municipalities	Underlying Debt Issued Since 6/30/2014	Underlying Net Debt Applicable to District
Bloomfield.....	7.35	\$55,851,524	\$60,625,000	\$5,000,000	\$65,625,000
East Hartford.....	11.99	91,110,172	51,580,000	0	51,580,000
Hartford.....	27.5	208,968,285	504,998,283	132,000,000 ³	636,998,283
Newington.....	8.78	66,717,874	8,740,000	0	8,740,000
Rocky Hill.....	5.75	43,693,369	19,760,000	32,600,000	52,360,000
West Hartford.....	21.95	166,794,686	140,214,952	21,000,000	161,214,952
Wethersfield.....	8.07	61,322,693	22,644,603	22,000,000	44,644,603
Windsor.....	8.61	65,426,070	39,335,625	5,790,000	45,125,625
Totals.....	100.00%	\$759,884,674	\$847,898,463	\$218,390,000	\$1,066,288,463

¹ The Member Municipalities' share of the District's Net Direct Debt is based on the annual tax levy of each Member Municipalities as of Fiscal Year 2014-15.

² Includes approximately \$780,584,068 of Interim Funding Obligations (IFO's), Permanent Loan Obligations (PLO's), General Obligation Bonds and Notes issued pursuant to authorizations totaling \$1.6 billion for the District's Clean Water Project. The District's goal is to fund the Clean Water Project with approximately 50-55% of revenue bonds or general obligation debt supported by a Special Sewer Service Surcharge, with an additional approximately 30% of State and Federal low-cost State Revolving Fund loans from the State of Connecticut Clean Water Revolving Fund Program, also supported by the Special Sewer Service Surcharge. Finally, it is expected that 15-20% of the entire project will be funded with State and Federal grants which require no repayment from MDC. The Special Sewer Service Surcharge is levied annually and added to customers' water bills, beginning in 2008. See pages 22 and 79 herein for further details.

³ Excludes the following long-term commitment of the City: The City of Hartford recently established the Hartford Stadium Authority ("the Authority"), which anticipates issuing approximately \$60 million in Lease Revenue Bonds in February, 2015 for the acquisition and construction of a new AA minor league baseball stadium and related facilities. Under a Lease Agreement the City of Hartford as Lessee will make rent payments equal to the principal and interest on the bonds when due. The obligation to make such payments is an absolute and unconditional obligation of the City, subject to annual appropriation by the City.

Source: Towns of Bloomfield, East Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford, Wethersfield, Windsor and the City of Hartford Audits.

DEBT STATEMENT - THE DISTRICT

As of July 28, 2015
(Pro Forma)

LONG TERM DEBT

Water (Self-Supporting).....	\$134,337,443
Sewer.....	144,178,304
Combined Funded CIP Projects ¹	61,339,518
Clean Water Project ³	455,108,971

TOTAL LONG TERM DEBT **\$794,964,237**

SHORT TERM DEBT

Notes (includes this issue).....	141,500,000
CWF/DWSRF - IFO's ²	286,646,916

TOTAL DIRECT DEBT³ **\$1,223,111,153**

Less:

Debt Not Subject to Debt Limitation ⁴	463,226,479
--	-------------

TOTAL DIRECT NET DEBT..... **\$759,884,674**

NET UNDERLYING DEBT - Member Municipalities⁵..... **1,066,288,463**

DIRECT NET DEBT PLUS NET UNDERLYING..... **\$1,826,173,137**

¹ Represents bonds funded by water and sewer sources.

² Interim Funding Obligations ("IFO's") issued under the Clean Water Fund and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund programs total \$286,646,916; funds drawn to date total \$40,637,926.

³ Includes approximately \$780,584,068 of Interim Funding Obligations (IFO's), Permanent Loan Obligations (PLO's), General Obligation Bonds, Revenue Bonds and General Obligation Notes issued pursuant to authorizations totaling \$1.6 billion for the District's Clean Water Project. The District's goal is to fund the Clean Water Project with approximately 50-55% of revenue bonds or general obligation debt supported by a Special Sewer Service Surcharge, with an additional approximately 30% of State and Federal low-cost State Revolving Fund loans from the State of Connecticut Clean Water Revolving Fund Program, also supported by the Special Sewer Service Surcharge. Finally, it is expected that 15-20% of the entire project will be funded with State and Federal grants which require no repayment from MDC. The Special Sewer Service Surcharge is levied annually and added to customers' water bills, beginning in 2008. See pages 22 and 79 herein for further details.

⁴ Represents debt issued for water purposes, supply of electricity and self-supporting clean water projects. The District has issued \$225.0 million in Clean Water Project Revenue Bonds. The bonds are being repaid from a portion of the Special Sewer Service Surcharge and are not a general obligation of the District.

⁵ Represents net direct debt of each Member Municipality.

Note: Does not include authorized but unissued debt.

CURRENT DEBT RATIOS – THE DISTRICT

As of July 28, 2015
(Pro Forma)

Population ¹	366,312
Net Taxable Grand List - 10/1/14 @ 70% of full value ²	\$23,921,553,118
Estimated Full Value ³	\$34,173,647,311
Equalized Net Taxable Grand List - 2012 ⁴	\$36,274,149,199

	Total Direct Debt	Total Net Direct Debt	Total Overall Net Debt
	\$1,223,111,153	\$759,884,674	\$1,826,173,137
Per Capita.....	\$3,338.99	\$2,074.42	\$4,985.29
Ratio to Net Taxable Grand List.....	5.11%	3.18%	7.63%
Ratio to Estimated Full Value.....	3.58%	2.22%	5.34%
Ratio to Equalized Grand List.....	3.37%	2.09%	5.03%

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Towns of Bloomfield, East Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford, Wethersfield, Windsor and the City of Hartford.

² Represents 2014 Net Taxable Grand Lists for the Towns of Bloomfield, East Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford, Wethersfield, Windsor and the City of Hartford.

³ Represents estimated full values of 2014 Net Taxable Grand Lists of the Towns of Bloomfield, East Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford, Wethersfield, Windsor and the City of Hartford.

⁴ Office of Policy and Management, State of Connecticut.

DEBT STATEMENT – TOWN OF BLOOMFIELD

As of June 30, 2014

LONG TERM DEBT	\$55,625,000
SHORT TERM DEBT	5,000,000
TOTAL DIRECT DEBT	\$60,625,000
TOTAL NET DIRECT INDEBTEDNESS	\$60,625,000
NET OVERLAPPING DEBT - MDC 7/28/15 ¹	55,851,524
NET UNDERLYING DEBT - Fire Districts 6/30/14	2,673,034
TOTAL OVERALL DIRECT NET DEBT	\$119,149,558

¹Please refer to page 69 footnote 2 under “Overlapping and Underlying Net Debt” herein for more details.
 Note: Does not include authorized but unissued debt.

CURRENT DEBT RATIOS – TOWN OF BLOOMFIELD

As of June 30, 2014

Population ¹	20,562
Net Taxable Grand List - 10/1/14 @ 70% of full value	\$2,033,984,990
Estimated Full Value	\$2,905,692,843
Equalized Net Taxable Grand List - 2012 ²	\$2,802,966,115
Money Income per Capita - 2013 ³	\$41,702

	Total Direct Debt	Total Net Direct Debt	Total Overall Net Debt
	\$60,625,000	\$60,625,000	\$119,149,558
Per Capita.....	\$2,948.40	\$2,948.40	\$5,794.65
Ratio to Net Taxable Grand List.....	2.98%	2.98%	5.86%
Ratio to Estimated Full Value.....	2.09%	2.09%	4.10%
Ratio to Equalized Grand List.....	2.16%	2.16%	4.25%
Debt per Capita to Money Income per Capita...	7.07%	7.07%	13.90%

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Town of Bloomfield.

² Office of Policy and Management, State of Connecticut.

³ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Town of Bloomfield.

DEBT STATEMENT – TOWN OF EAST HARTFORD

As of June 30, 2014

LONG TERM DEBT	\$51,580,000
SHORT TERM DEBT	0
TOTAL DIRECT DEBT	\$51,580,000
TOTAL NET DIRECT INDEBTEDNESS	\$51,580,000
NET OVERLAPPING DEBT - MDC 7/28/15 ¹	91,110,172
TOTAL OVERALL DIRECT NET DEBT	\$142,690,172

¹ Please refer to page 69 footnote 2 under “Overlapping and Underlying Net Debt” herein for more details.
 Note: Does not include authorized but unissued debt.

CURRENT DEBT RATIOS – TOWN OF EAST HARTFORD

As of June 30, 2014

Population ¹	51,241
Net Taxable Grand List - 10/1/14 @ 70% of full value	\$2,689,464,641
Estimated Full Value	\$3,842,092,344
Equalized Net Taxable Grand List - 2012 ²	\$3,936,906,558
Money Income per Capita - 2013 ³	\$25,578

	Total Direct Debt	Total Net Direct Debt	Total Overall Net Debt
	\$51,580,000	\$51,580,000	\$142,690,172
Per Capita.....	\$1,006.62	\$1,006.62	\$2,784.69
Ratio to Net Taxable Grand List.....	1.92%	1.92%	5.31%
Ratio to Estimated Full Value.....	1.92%	1.92%	3.71%
Ratio to Equalized Grand List.....	1.31%	1.31%	3.62%
Debt per Capita to Money Income per Capita...	3.94%	3.94%	10.89%

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Town of East Hartford.

² Office of Policy and Management, State of Connecticut.

³ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Town of East Hartford.

DEBT STATEMENT – CITY OF HARTFORD³

As of June 30, 2014

LONG TERM DEBT	\$455,100,000
CWF - PLO	1,322,000
SHORT TERM DEBT	56,000,000
TOTAL DIRECT DEBT	\$512,422,000
Less:	
School Construction Grants - State of Conn. ¹	7,423,717
TOTAL NET DIRECT INDEBTEDNESS	\$504,998,283
NET OVERLAPPING DEBT - MDC 7/28/15²	208,968,285
TOTAL OVERALL DIRECT NET DEBT	\$713,966,569

¹ Represents School Construction Grants payable to the City over the life of certain School Bond issues.

² Please refer to page 69 footnote 2 under "Overlapping and Underlying Net Debt" herein for more details.

³ Excludes the following long-term commitment of the City: The City of Hartford recently established the Hartford Stadium Authority ("the Authority"), which anticipates issuing approximately \$60 million in Lease Revenue Bonds in February, 2015 for the acquisition and construction of a new AA minor league baseball stadium and related facilities. Under a Lease Agreement the City of Hartford as Lessee will make rent payments equal to the principal and interest on the bonds when due. The obligation to make such payments is an absolute and unconditional obligation of the City, subject to annual appropriation by the City.

Note: Does not include authorized but unissued debt.

CURRENT DEBT RATIOS – CITY OF HARTFORD

As of June 30, 2014

Population ¹	125,130
Net Taxable Grand List - 10/1/14 @ 70% of full value	\$3,619,341,714
Estimated Full Value	\$5,170,488,163
Equalized Net Taxable Grand List - 2012 ²	\$6,888,293,807
Money Income per Capita - 2013 ³	\$16,619

	Total Direct Debt	Total Net Direct Debt	Total Overall Net Debt
	\$512,422,000	\$504,998,283	\$713,966,569
Per Capita.....	\$4,095.12	\$4,035.79	\$5,705.80
Ratio to Net Taxable Grand List.....	14.16%	13.95%	19.73%
Ratio to Estimated Full Value.....	9.91%	9.77%	13.81%
Ratio to Equalized Grand List.....	7.44%	7.33%	10.36%
Debt per Capita to Money Income per Capita...	24.64%	24.28%	34.33%

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for the City of Hartford.

² Office of Policy and Management, State of Connecticut.

³ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for City of Hartford.

DEBT STATEMENT – TOWN OF NEWINGTON

As of June 30, 2014

LONG TERM DEBT	\$8,740,000
SHORT TERM DEBT	0
TOTAL DIRECT DEBT ¹	\$8,740,000
TOTAL NET DIRECT INDEBTEDNESS	\$8,740,000
NET OVERLAPPING DEBT - MDC 7/28/15 ²	66,717,874
TOTAL OVERALL DIRECT NET DEBT	\$75,457,874

¹ Does not include a mortgage loan payable August 2012 through August 2017. The amount outstanding as of June 30, 2014 is \$1,045,000.

² Please refer to page 69 footnote 2 under “Overlapping and Underlying Net Debt” herein for more details.

Note: Does not include authorized but unissued debt.

CURRENT DEBT RATIOS – TOWN OF NEWINGTON

As of June 30, 2014

Population ¹	30,606
Net Taxable Grand List - 10/1/14 @ 70% of full value	\$2,550,822,204
Estimated Full Value	\$3,644,031,720
Equalized Net Taxable Grand List - 2012 ²	\$3,651,832,566
Money Income per Capita - 2013 ³	\$36,462

	Total Direct Debt	Total Net Direct Debt	Total Overall Net Debt
	\$8,740,000	\$8,740,000	\$75,457,874
Per Capita.....	\$285.56	\$285.56	\$2,465.46
Ratio to Net Taxable Grand List.....	0.34%	0.34%	2.96%
Ratio to Estimated Full Value.....	0.24%	0.24%	2.07%
Ratio to Equalized Grand List.....	0.24%	0.24%	2.07%
Debt per Capita to Money Income per Capita...	0.78%	0.78%	6.76%

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Town of Newington.

² Office of Policy and Management, State of Connecticut.

³ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Town of Newington.

DEBT STATEMENT – TOWN OF ROCKY HILL

As of June 30, 2014

LONG TERM DEBT	\$11,660,000
SHORT TERM DEBT	8,100,000
TOTAL DIRECT DEBT	\$19,760,000
TOTAL NET DIRECT INDEBTEDNESS	\$19,760,000
NET OVERLAPPING DEBT - MDC 7/28/15 ¹	43,693,369
TOTAL OVERALL DIRECT NET DEBT	\$63,453,369

¹ Please refer to page 69 footnote 2 under “Overlapping and Underlying Net Debt” herein for more details.
 Note: Does not include authorized but unissued debt.

CURRENT DEBT RATIOS – TOWN OF ROCKY HILL

As of June 30, 2014

Population ¹	19,743
Net Taxable Grand List - 10/1/14 @ 70% of full value	\$2,018,435,060
Estimated Full Value	\$2,883,478,657
Equalized Net Taxable Grand List - 2012 ²	\$2,784,951,675
Money Income per Capita - 2013 ³	\$42,229

	Total Direct Debt	Total Net Direct Debt	Total Overall Net Debt
	\$19,760,000	\$19,760,000	\$63,453,369
Per Capita.....	\$1,000.86	\$1,000.86	\$3,213.97
Ratio to Net Taxable Grand List.....	0.98%	0.98%	3.14%
Ratio to Estimated Full Value.....	0.69%	0.69%	2.20%
Ratio to Equalized Grand List.....	0.71%	0.71%	2.28%
Debt per Capita to Money Income per Capita...	2.37%	2.37%	7.61%

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Town of Rocky Hill.

² Office of Policy and Management, State of Connecticut.

³ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Town of Rocky Hill.

DEBT STATEMENT – TOWN OF WEST HARTFORD

As of June 30, 2014

LONG TERM DEBT	\$140,830,000
SHORT TERM DEBT	0
TOTAL DIRECT DEBT	\$140,830,000
Less:	
School Construction Grants - State of Conn. ¹	615,048
TOTAL NET DIRECT INDEBTEDNESS	\$140,214,952
NET OVERLAPPING DEBT - MDC 7/28/15 ²	166,794,686
TOTAL OVERALL DIRECT NET DEBT	\$307,009,638

¹ Represents School Construction Grants payable to the Town over the life of certain School Bond issues.

² Please refer to page 69 footnote 2 under “Overlapping and Underlying Net Debt” herein for more details.

Note: Does not include authorized but unissued debt.

CURRENT DEBT RATIOS – TOWN OF WEST HARTFORD

As of June 30, 2014

Population ¹	63,340
Net Taxable Grand List - 10/1/14 @ 70% of full value	\$5,946,170,476
Estimated Full Value	\$8,494,529,251
Equalized Net Taxable Grand List - 2012 ²	\$9,035,908,810
Money Income per Capita - 2013 ³	\$47,485

	Total Direct Debt	Total Net Direct Debt	Total Overall Net Debt
	\$140,830,000	\$140,214,952	\$307,009,638
Per Capita.....	\$2,223.40	\$2,213.69	\$4,847.01
Ratio to Net Taxable Grand List.....	2.37%	2.36%	5.16%
Ratio to Estimated Full Value.....	1.66%	1.65%	3.61%
Ratio to Equalized Grand List.....	1.56%	1.55%	3.40%
Debt per Capita to Money Income per Capita...	4.68%	4.66%	10.21%

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Town of West Hartford.

² Office of Policy and Management, State of Connecticut.

³ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Town of West Hartford.

DEBT STATEMENT – TOWN OF WETHERSFIELD

As of June 30, 2014

LONG TERM DEBT	\$22,035,000
SERIAL NOTE	655,000
TOTAL DIRECT DEBT	\$22,690,000
Less:	
School Construction Grants - State of Conn. ¹	45,397
TOTAL NET DIRECT INDEBTEDNESS	\$22,644,603
NET OVERLAPPING DEBT - MDC 7/28/15 ²	61,322,693
TOTAL OVERALL DIRECT NET DEBT	\$83,967,296

¹ Represents School Construction Grants payable to the Town over the life of certain School Bond issues.

² Please refer to page 69 footnote 2 under "Overlapping and Underlying Net Debt" herein for more details.

Note: Does not include authorized but unissued debt.

CURRENT DEBT RATIOS – TOWN OF WETHERSFIELD

As of June 30, 2014

Population ¹	26,590
Net Taxable Grand List - 10/1/14 @ 70% of full value	\$2,213,400,730
Estimated Full Value	\$3,162,001,043
Equalized Net Taxable Grand List - 2012 ²	\$3,147,128,675
Money Income per Capita - 2013 ³	\$39,587

	Total Direct Debt	Total Net Direct Debt	Total Overall Net Debt
	\$22,690,000	\$22,644,603	\$83,967,296
Per Capita.....	\$853.33	\$851.62	\$3,157.85
Ratio to Net Taxable Grand List.....	1.03%	1.02%	3.79%
Ratio to Estimated Full Value.....	0.72%	0.72%	2.66%
Ratio to Equalized Grand List.....	0.72%	0.72%	2.67%
Debt per Capita to Money Income per Capita...	2.16%	2.15%	7.98%

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Town of Wethersfield.

² Office of Policy and Management, State of Connecticut.

³ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Town of Wethersfield.

DEBT STATEMENT – TOWN OF WINDSOR

As of June 30, 2014

LONG TERM DEBT	\$39,390,000
SHORT TERM DEBT	0
TOTAL DIRECT DEBT	\$39,390,000
Less:	
School Construction Grants - State of Conn. ¹	54,375
TOTAL NET DIRECT INDEBTEDNESS	\$39,335,625
NET OVERLAPPING DEBT - MDC 7/28/15 ²	65,426,070
TOTAL OVERALL DIRECT NET DEBT	\$104,761,695

¹ Represents School Construction Grants payable to the Town over the life of certain School Bond issues.

² Please refer to page 69 footnote 2 under "Overlapping and Underlying Net Debt" herein for more details.

Note: Does not include authorized but unissued debt.

CURRENT DEBT RATIOS – TOWN OF WINDSOR

As of June 30, 2014

Population ¹	29,100
Net Taxable Grand List - 10/1/14 @ 70% of full value	\$2,849,933,303
Estimated Full Value	\$4,071,333,290
Equalized Net Taxable Grand List - 2012 ²	\$4,026,160,993
Money Income per Capita - 2013 ³	\$35,857

	Total Direct Debt	Total Net Direct Debt	Total Overall Net Debt
	\$39,390,000	\$39,335,625	\$104,761,695
Per Capita	\$1,353.61	\$1,351.74	\$3,600.06
Ratio to Net Taxable Grand List	1.38%	1.38%	3.68%
Ratio to Estimated Full Value	0.97%	0.97%	2.57%
Ratio to Equalized Grand List	0.98%	0.98%	2.60%
Debt per Capita to Money Income per Capita...	3.78%	3.77%	10.04%

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, Town of Windsor.

² Office of Policy and Management, State of Connecticut.

³ U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey, for Town of Windsor.

BOND AUTHORIZATION

The District has the power to incur indebtedness by issuing its bonds or notes as authorized by the General Statutes of the State of Connecticut subject to applicable debt limitations and the procedural requirements of the District Charter.

TEMPORARY FINANCING

When general obligation bonds have been authorized, bond anticipation notes may be issued with maturity dates not exceeding two years (CGS Sec. 7-378). Temporary notes may be renewed up to ten years from their original date of issue if the legislative body schedules principal reductions by the end of the third year and for all subsequent years during which such temporary notes remain outstanding. The term of the bond issue is reduced by the amount of time temporary financing exceeds two years, or, for sewer projects, by the amount of time temporary financing has been outstanding.

Temporary notes must be permanently funded no later than ten years from the initial borrowing date except for sewer notes issued in anticipation of State and/or Federal grants. If a written commitment exists, the municipality may renew the notes from time to time in terms not to exceed six months until such time that the final grant payments are received (CGS Sec. 7-378b).

Temporary notes may also be issued for up to fifteen years for certain capital projects associated with the operation of a waterworks system (CGS Sec. 7-244a) or a sewerage system (CGS Sec. 7-264a). In the first year following the completion of the project(s), or in the sixth year (whichever is sooner), and in each year thereafter, the notes must be reduced by at least 1/15 of the total amount of the notes issued by funds derived from certain sources of payment.

Temporary notes may be issued in one year maturities for up to fifteen years in anticipation of sewer assessments receivable, such notes to be reduced annually by the amount of assessments received during the preceding year (CGS Sec. 7-269a).

LIMITATION ON INDEBTEDNESS

The District Charter provides that the total outstanding indebtedness of the District, for non-water purposes, shall not exceed:

5.00% of the combined Grand Lists of its Member Municipalities.

In accordance with the District's Charter Section 4-3, no bonds, notes or other certificates of debt, except such as are to mature in six months or less and to be paid from current taxes shall be issued if such issue shall bring the total outstanding indebtedness of the District to an amount in excess of five per centum of the combined grand lists of said District unless otherwise provided by special act. The grand lists for the purpose of this section shall be deemed to include the assessed value of all shares of capital stock the taxes on which are required by section 1205 of the Connecticut General Statutes, revision of 1918, as amended, to be remitted annually to the municipalities by the State. In computing the total outstanding indebtedness of the District there shall be deducted the amount of the District's sinking fund, the amount of bonds issued for the supply of water or for the construction of subways or underground conduits for cables, wires or pipes and of such other bonds of the District as may be issued under any act of the legislature, especially providing that the bonds issued thereunder shall be deducted in computing the total outstanding indebtedness of the District. Bonds and notes issued for a sewerage system, and secured solely by a pledge of sewerage system use charges, are not included in computing the aggregate indebtedness of the District for this purpose.

The Charter also provides for exclusion from the debt limitation of any debt to be paid from a funded sinking fund. The District's Clean Water Project Revenue Bonds are also excluded from the debt limitation. Special Act 14-21 also excludes other revenue bonds issued under the District's Charter from the debt limitation.

STATEMENT OF STATUTORY DEBT LIMITATION
THE DISTRICT
As of July 28 2015
(Pro Forma)

COMBINED 2014 NET TAXABLE GRAND LISTS	
OF MEMBER MUNICIPALITIES	\$23,921,553,118
DEBT LIMIT - 5% of combined Grand Lists ¹	<u>\$1,196,077,656</u>
 INDEBTEDNESS:	
Water Bonds	\$134,337,443
Sewer Bonds	144,178,304
Clean Water Project Bonds and Project Loan Obligations ²	455,108,971
Headquarters Bonds	3,890,240
Maxim Road Facility Bonds	625,549
Information System Bonds	18,920,088
Vehicle Maintenance Facility Bonds	19,333
Pump Station Assessment Bonds	130,000
Long Term Strategic Initiative Bonds	1,317,955
Capital Equipment Replacement Bonds	918,142
Emergency Generator Replacement Bonds	2,971,710
Vehicle/Equipment Replacement	3,279,572
Facility Renovations	8,230,453
General Purpose Bonds	21,036,478
TOTAL DIRECT LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS	<u>\$794,964,237</u>
Notes of the Issue	25,000,000
Notes Due 11/4/15	35,000,000
Notes Due 3/18/16	81,500,000
CWF/DWSRF Interim Funding Obligations ²	286,646,916
TOTAL DIRECT SHORT-TERM INDEBTEDNESS	<u>\$428,146,916</u>
 TOTAL DIRECT INDEBTEDNESS	 \$1,223,111,153
 Less Outstanding Debt Not Subject to Debt Limitation ³	
Water Bonds	\$134,337,443
Water's Share of Headquarters Bonds	1,984,022
Water's Share of Maxim Road Facility Bonds	319,030
Water's Share of Information System Bonds	9,649,245
Water's Share of Vehicle Maintenance Facility Bonds	9,860
Water's Share of Pump Station Assessment Bonds	66,300
Water's Share of Long Term Strategic Initiative Bonds	672,157
Water's Share of Emergency Generator Replacement Bonds	1,515,572
Water's Share of Capital Equipment Replacement Bonds	468,252
Water's Share of Vehicle/Equipment Replacement	1,672,582
Water's Share of Facility Renovations	4,197,531
Water's Share of General Purpose Bonds	10,728,604
DWSRF Interim Funding Obligations	26,287,012
June 2013 Clean Water Project Revenue Bonds ²	83,000,000
November 2014 Clean Water Project Revenue Bonds ²	140,000,000
Water Notes of this Issue	11,733,020
Outstanding Water Notes	36,585,850
TOTAL DEBT NOT SUBJECT TO DEBT LIMITATION	<u>\$463,226,479</u>
 TOTAL NET DIRECT INDEBTEDNESS	 \$759,884,674
 DEBT LIMITATION IN EXCESS OF OUTSTANDING INDEBTEDNESS	 <u>\$436,192,983</u>

¹ The District's Charter does not limit its borrowing capacity for water purposes, but limits its capacity for nonwater purposes to 5% of the combined Grand Lists of its Member Municipalities. The nature of this limitation requires the aggregation of obligations which normally appear in separate account groups.

² These obligations issued pursuant to authorizations totaling \$1.6 billion for the District's Clean Water Project are supported by a Special Sewer Service Surcharge levied annually and added to customers' water bills. See pages 22, 70, and 79 herein for further details.

³ In accordance with Title 7, Section 374b of the Connecticut General Statutes, indebtedness incurred by the District for the supply of electricity, or issued in anticipation of receipt of proceeds from assessments which have been levied upon property benefited by any public improvement, is not subject to limitation.

Note: The District has issued \$225.0 million in Clean Water Project Revenue Bonds. The bonds are being repaid from a portion of the Special Sewer Service Surcharge and are not a general obligation of the District.

Source: Audited Financial Statements; District Officials.

AUTHORIZED BUT UNISSUED DEBT - THE DISTRICT ¹

As of July 28, 2015

(Pro Forma)

Project	Authorized	Previously Funded	Debt Authorized but Unissued			
			General Purpose	Water	Sewers	Total
Water Capital Improvements.....	\$474,852,381	\$158,073,579		\$316,778,802		\$316,778,802 ²
Sewer Capital Improvements.....	2,005,124,880	806,937,790			1,198,187,090	1,198,187,090 ^{1,2}
Combined Funding Capital Improvements....	133,504,000	62,209,838	71,294,162			71,294,162
Total.....	\$2,613,481,261	\$1,027,221,207	\$71,294,162	\$316,778,802	\$1,198,187,090	\$1,586,260,054

¹ Includes an authorization of \$800 million approved by the Member Municipalities in November 2006 and a further \$800 million authorization for Phase II of the Project; the overall cost was originally estimated at approximately \$2.1 billion. The Project will address approximately one billion gallons of combined wastewater and storm water currently released each year to area waterways. The Project is in response to a federal consent decree and a Connecticut DEP consent order to achieve the Federal Clean Water Act goals by 2020. A Special Sewer Service Surcharge adopted in October 2007 and implemented in January 2008 will be used exclusively for the payment of debt service on bonds and loans to be issued to finance the Project and for capital expenditures for the Project. The District's goal is to fund project costs with State and Federal grants and State and Federal low-cost loans as they become available, and open market debt. Bonds and loans that are solely supported by the Special Sewer Service Surcharge will not be included in the calculation of overlapping debt of the Member Municipalities. As of July 28, 2015 the District has issued \$470,307,425 as IFO's, and PLO's, under the State's Clean Water Fund Program. The District has issued \$225.0 million in Clean Water Project Revenue Bonds. The bonds are being repaid from a portion of the Special Sewer Service Surcharge and are not a general obligation of the District.

² Includes projects which qualify for the State of Connecticut Clean Water Fund and Drinking Water Revolving Fund Program (See "Clean Water Project" herein.)

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF OUTSTANDING DEBT – THE DISTRICT¹

Long-Term Debt	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Bonds.....	\$693,018,553	\$507,128,635	\$312,348,699	\$296,252,648	\$309,000,925
Short-Term Debt					
Bond Anticipation Notes.....	163,459,000	254,721,000 ²	246,690,000	102,134,000	0
Total.....	\$856,477,553	\$761,849,635 ²	\$559,038,699	\$398,386,648	\$309,000,925

¹ Does not include underlying debt and capital lease obligations.

² Excludes \$138,654,187 for Clean Water Fund and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund, Interim Funding Obligations ("IFO's"), a portion of which has been drawn against.

Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF OUTSTANDING DEBT – TOWN OF BLOOMFIELD¹

Long-Term Debt	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Bonds.....	\$55,625,000	\$59,215,000	\$63,316,024	\$50,993,624	\$28,135,535
Short-Term Debt					
BANs/State DECD Note	5,000,000	5,000,000	0	0	20,000,000
Total.....	\$60,625,000	\$64,215,000	\$63,316,024	\$50,993,624	\$48,135,535

¹ Does not include overlapping debt, capital lease obligations and other long-term commitments.

Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF OUTSTANDING DEBT – TOWN OF EAST HARTFORD¹

Long-Term Debt	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Bonds.....	\$51,580,000	\$38,990,000	\$45,865,000	\$41,225,000	\$47,400,000
Short-Term Debt					
Bond Anticipation Notes.....	0	0	0	0	0
Total.....	\$51,580,000	\$38,990,000	\$45,865,000	\$41,225,000	\$47,400,000

¹ Does not include overlapping debt, capital lease obligations and other long-term commitments.

Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF OUTSTANDING DEBT – CITY OF HARTFORD¹

Long-Term Debt	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Bonds/CWF.....	\$456,422,000	\$496,227,000	\$349,922,000	\$323,367,000	\$321,090,000
Short-Term Debt					
Bond Anticipation Notes.....	56,000,000	64,650,000	52,500,000	45,350,000	40,000,000
Total	\$512,422,000	\$560,877,000	\$402,422,000	\$368,717,000	\$361,090,000

¹ Does not include overlapping debt, capital lease obligations and other long-term commitments.
Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF OUTSTANDING DEBT – TOWN OF NEWINGTON¹

Long-Term Debt	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Bonds.....	\$8,740,000	\$9,960,000	\$11,690,000	\$13,515,000	\$15,145,000
Short-Term Debt					
Bond Anticipation Notes.....	0	0	0	0	0
Total	\$8,740,000	\$9,960,000	\$11,690,000	\$13,515,000	\$15,145,000

¹ Does not include overlapping debt, capital lease obligations and other long-term commitments.
Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF OUTSTANDING DEBT – TOWN OF ROCKY HILL¹

Long-Term Debt	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Bonds.....	\$11,660,000	\$12,905,000	\$14,750,000	\$16,515,000	\$18,250,000
Short-Term Debt					
Bond Anticipation Notes.....	8,100,000	2,000,000	0	0	0
Total	\$19,760,000	\$14,905,000	\$14,750,000	\$16,515,000	\$18,250,000

¹ Does not include overlapping debt, capital lease obligations and other long-term commitments.
Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF OUTSTANDING DEBT – TOWN OF WEST HARTFORD¹

Long-Term Debt	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Bonds.....	\$140,830,000	\$133,085,000	\$145,620,000	\$145,095,000	\$151,225,000
Short-Term Debt					
Bond Anticipation Notes.....	0	0	0	0	0
Total	\$140,830,000	\$133,085,000	\$145,620,000	\$145,095,000	\$151,225,000

¹ Does not include overlapping debt, capital lease obligations and other long-term commitments.
Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF OUTSTANDING DEBT – TOWN OF WETHERSFIELD¹

Long-Term Debt	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Bonds.....	\$22,035,000	\$24,290,000	\$26,850,000	\$29,400,000	\$32,080,000
Short-Term Debt					
Bond Anticipation Notes.....	655,000	725,000	795,000	795,000	0
Total	\$22,690,000	\$25,015,000	\$27,645,000	\$30,195,000	\$32,080,000

¹ Does not include overlapping debt, capital lease obligations and other long-term commitments.
Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF OUTSTANDING DEBT – TOWN OF WINDSOR¹

Long-Term Debt	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Bonds.....	\$39,390,000	\$39,140,000	\$38,470,000	\$38,485,000	\$38,730,000
Short-Term Debt					
Bond Anticipation Notes.....	0	1,670,000	800,000	1,010,000	0
Total.....	\$39,390,000	\$40,810,000	\$39,270,000	\$39,495,000	\$38,730,000

¹ Does not include overlapping debt, capital lease obligations and other long-term commitments.

Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

RATIO OF DIRECT DEBT TO VALUATION AND POPULATION - THE DISTRICT

Fiscal Year Ended 12/31	Net Assessed Value¹	Estimated Full Value²	Direct Debt³	Ratio of Direct Debt to Assessed Value (%)	Ratio of Direct Debt to Estimated Full Value (%)	Population⁴	Direct Debt per Capita
2014	\$24,171,589,338	\$34,530,841,911	\$856,477,553	3.54%	2.48%	366,312	\$2,338.11
2013	23,933,581,683	34,190,830,976	761,849,635	3.18%	2.23%	366,312	2,079.78
2012	23,778,059,666	33,968,656,666	559,038,699	2.35%	1.65%	365,565	1,529.25
2011	23,594,896,860	33,706,995,514	398,386,648	1.69%	1.18%	364,957	1,091.60
2010	23,374,891,689	33,392,702,413	309,000,925	1.32%	0.93%	365,764	844.81

¹ Represents the Net Taxable Grant Lists of the Member Towns.

² Represents the estimated full value of the Member Towns' Net Taxable Grand Lists.

³ Does not include underlying debt and capital lease obligations.

⁴ Represents the total population of the Member Towns.

RATIO OF DIRECT DEBT TO VALUATION, POPULATION AND INCOME - TOWN OF BLOOMFIELD

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Net Assessed Value	Estimated Full Value	Direct Debt¹	Ratio of Direct Debt to Assessed Value (%)	Ratio of Direct Debt to Estimated Full Value (%)	Population²	Direct Debt per Capita	Ratio of Direct Debt to Per Capita Income (%)³
2014	\$2,032,528,017	\$2,903,611,453	\$60,625,000	2.98%	2.09%	20,562	\$2,948.40	7.07%
2013	1,981,916,344	2,831,309,063	64,215,000	3.24%	2.27%	20,562	3,122.99	7.49%
2012	1,948,057,019	2,782,938,599	63,316,024	3.25%	2.28%	20,470	3,093.11	7.42%
2011	1,990,439,045	2,843,484,350	50,993,624	2.56%	1.79%	20,406	2,498.95	5.99%
2010	1,755,693,878	2,508,134,111	48,135,535	2.74%	1.92%	20,486	2,349.68	5.63%
2009	1,723,152,319	2,461,646,170	30,050,510	1.74%	1.22%	20,696	1,452.00	3.48%

¹ Does not include overlapping debt and capital lease obligations.

² State of Connecticut, Department of Public Health Estimates 2009; U.S. Census Bureau, 2010; FY 2011-14, U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Surveys.

³ Income per Capita: \$41,702 U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

RATIO OF DIRECT DEBT TO VALUATION, POPULATION AND INCOME - TOWN OF EAST HARTFORD

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Net Assessed Value	Estimated Full Value	Direct Debt¹	Ratio of Direct Debt to Assessed Value (%)	Ratio of Direct Debt to Estimated Full Value (%)	Population²	Direct Debt per Capita	Ratio of Direct Debt to Per Capita Income (%)³
2014	\$2,691,709,967	\$3,845,299,953	\$51,580,000	1.92%	1.34%	51,241	\$1,006.62	3.94%
2013	2,695,242,754	3,850,346,791	38,990,000	1.45%	1.01%	51,241	760.91	2.97%
2012	3,095,300,382	4,421,857,689	45,865,000	1.48%	1.04%	51,171	896.31	3.50%
2011	3,092,179,605	4,417,399,436	41,225,000	1.33%	0.93%	51,091	806.89	3.15%
2010	3,107,157,886	4,438,796,980	47,400,000	1.53%	1.07%	51,252	924.84	3.62%
2009	3,172,514,025	4,532,162,893	45,150,000	1.42%	1.00%	48,634	928.36	3.63%

¹ Does not include overlapping debt and capital lease obligations.

² State of Connecticut, Department of Public Health Estimates 2009; U.S. Census Bureau, 2010; FY 2011-14, U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Surveys.

³ Income per Capita: \$25,578 U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

RATIO OF DIRECT DEBT TO VALUATION, POPULATION AND INCOME - CITY OF HARTFORD

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Net Assessed Value	Estimated Full Value	Direct Debt ¹	Ratio of Direct Debt to Assessed Value (%)	Ratio of Direct Debt to Estimated Full Value (%)	Population ²	Direct Debt per Capita	Ratio of Direct Debt per Capita to Per Capita Income (%) ³
2014	\$3,487,781,236	\$4,982,544,623	\$512,422,000	14.69%	10.28%	125,130	\$4,095.12	24.64%
2013	3,417,940,335	4,882,771,907	560,877,000	16.41%	11.49%	125,130	4,482.35	26.97%
2012	3,738,377,678	5,340,539,540	402,422,000	10.76%	7.54%	124,879	3,222.50	19.39%
2011	3,604,167,480	5,148,810,686	368,717,000	10.23%	7.16%	124,817	2,954.06	17.78%
2010	3,465,777,122	4,951,110,174	361,090,000	10.42%	7.29%	124,775	2,893.93	17.41%
2009	3,451,438,441	4,930,626,344	307,590,000	8.91%	6.24%	124,060	2,479.36	14.92%

¹ Does not include overlapping debt and capital lease obligations.

² State of Connecticut, Department of Public Health Estimates 2009; U.S. Census Bureau, 2010; FY 2011-14, U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Surveys.

³ Income per Capita: \$16,619 U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

RATIO OF DIRECT DEBT TO VALUATION, POPULATION AND INCOME - TOWN OF NEWINGTON

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Net Assessed Value	Estimated Full Value	Direct Debt ¹	Ratio of Direct Debt to Assessed Value (%)	Ratio of Direct Debt to Estimated Full Value (%)	Population ²	Direct Debt per Capita	Ratio of Direct Debt per Capita to Per Capita Income (%) ³
2014	\$2,548,042,597	\$3,640,060,853	\$8,740,000	0.34%	0.24%	30,606	\$285.56	0.78%
2013	2,564,276,354	3,663,251,934	9,960,000	0.39%	0.27%	30,606	325.43	0.89%
2012	2,679,238,211	3,827,483,159	11,690,000	0.44%	0.31%	30,520	383.03	1.05%
2011	2,667,951,078	3,811,358,683	13,515,000	0.51%	0.35%	30,441	443.97	1.22%
2010	2,645,387,187	3,779,124,553	15,145,000	0.57%	0.40%	30,562	495.55	1.36%
2009	2,633,316,889	3,761,881,270	16,855,000	0.64%	0.45%	29,818	565.26	1.55%

¹ Does not include overlapping debt and capital lease obligations.

² State of Connecticut, Department of Public Health Estimates 2009; U.S. Census Bureau, 2010; FY 2011-14, U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Surveys.

³ Income per Capita: \$36,462 U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

RATIO OF DIRECT DEBT TO VALUATION, POPULATION AND INCOME - TOWN OF ROCKY HILL

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Net Assessed Value	Estimated Full Value	Direct Debt ¹	Ratio of Direct Debt to Assessed Value (%)	Ratio of Direct Debt to Estimated Full Value (%)	Population ²	Direct Debt per Capita	Ratio of Direct Debt per Capita to Per Capita Income (%) ³
2014	\$2,164,593,839	\$3,092,276,913	\$19,760,000	0.91%	0.64%	19,743	\$1,000.86	2.37%
2013	2,156,334,575	3,080,477,964	14,905,000	0.69%	0.48%	19,743	754.95	1.79%
2012	2,155,935,688	3,079,908,126	14,750,000	0.68%	0.48%	19,631	751.36	1.78%
2011	2,200,202,480	3,143,146,400	16,515,000	0.75%	0.53%	19,533	845.49	2.00%
2010	2,202,202,012	3,146,002,874	18,250,000	0.83%	0.58%	19,709	925.97	2.19%
2009	1,656,796,387	2,366,851,981	20,515,000	1.24%	0.87%	18,827	1,089.66	2.58%

¹ Does not include overlapping debt and capital lease obligations.

² State of Connecticut, Department of Public Health Estimates 2009; U.S. Census Bureau, 2010; FY 2011-14, U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Surveys.

³ Income per Capita: \$42,229 U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

RATIO OF DIRECT DEBT TO VALUATION, POPULATION AND INCOME - TOWN OF WEST HARTFORD

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Net Assessed Value	Estimated Full Value	Direct Debt¹	Ratio of Direct Debt to Assessed Value (%)	Ratio of Direct Debt to Estimated Full Value (%)	Population²	Direct Debt per Capita	Ratio of Direct Debt per Capita to Per Capita Income (%)³
2014	\$5,893,896,106	\$8,419,851,580	\$140,830,000	2.39%	1.67%	63,340	\$2,223.40	4.68%
2013	5,880,331,173	8,400,473,104	133,085,000	2.26%	1.58%	63,340	2,101.12	4.42%
2012	5,034,401,821	7,192,002,601	145,620,000	2.89%	2.02%	63,157	2,305.68	4.86%
2011	4,999,850,000	7,142,642,857	145,095,000	2.90%	2.03%	63,066	2,300.68	4.85%
2010	4,953,979,658	7,077,113,797	151,225,000	3.05%	2.14%	63,268	2,390.23	5.03%
2009	4,889,430,313	6,984,900,447	148,150,000	3.03%	2.12%	60,852	2,434.60	5.13%

¹ Does not include overlapping debt and capital lease obligations.

² State of Connecticut, Department of Public Health Estimates 2009; U.S. Census Bureau, 2010; FY 2011-14, U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Surveys.

³ Income per Capita: \$47,485 U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

RATIO OF DIRECT DEBT TO VALUATION, POPULATION AND INCOME - TOWN OF WETHERSFIELD

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Net Assessed Value	Estimated Full Value	Direct Debt¹	Ratio of Direct Debt to Assessed Value (%)	Ratio of Direct Debt to Estimated Full Value (%)	Population²	Direct Debt per Capita	Ratio of Direct Debt per Capita to Per Capita Income (%)³
2014	\$2,338,758,240	\$3,341,083,200	\$22,690,000	0.97%	0.68%	26,590	\$853.33	2.16%
2013	2,329,648,250	3,328,068,929	25,015,000	1.07%	0.75%	26,590	940.77	2.38%
2012	2,314,769,170	3,306,813,100	27,645,000	1.19%	0.84%	26,670	1,036.56	2.62%
2011	2,315,493,100	3,307,847,286	30,195,000	1.30%	0.91%	26,641	1,133.40	2.86%
2010	2,307,397,010	3,296,281,443	32,080,000	1.39%	0.97%	26,668	1,202.94	3.04%
2009	2,003,032,473	2,861,474,961	35,230,000	1.76%	1.23%	25,767	1,367.25	3.45%

¹ Does not include overlapping debt and capital lease obligations.

² State of Connecticut, Department of Public Health Estimates 2009; U.S. Census Bureau, 2010; FY 2011-14, U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

³ Income per Capita: \$39,587 U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

RATIO OF DIRECT DEBT TO VALUATION, POPULATION AND INCOME - TOWN OF WINDSOR

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Net Assessed Value	Estimated Full Value	Direct Debt¹	Ratio of Direct Debt to Assessed Value (%)	Ratio of Direct Debt to Estimated Full Value (%)	Population²	Direct Debt per Capita	Ratio of Direct Debt per Capita to Per Capita Income (%)³
2014	\$3,014,279,336	\$4,306,113,337	\$39,390,000	1.31%	0.91%	29,100	\$1,353.61	3.78%
2013	2,907,891,898	4,154,131,283	40,810,000	1.40%	0.98%	29,100	1,402.41	3.91%
2012	2,811,979,697	4,017,113,853	39,270,000	1.40%	0.98%	29,067	1,351.02	3.77%
2011	2,724,614,072	3,892,305,817	39,495,000	1.45%	1.01%	28,962	1,363.68	3.80%
2010	2,937,296,936	4,196,138,480	38,730,000	1.32%	0.92%	29,044	1,333.49	3.72%
2009	2,590,737,631	3,701,053,759	42,800,000	1.65%	1.16%	29,014	1,475.15	4.11%

¹ Does not include overlapping debt and capital lease obligations.

² State of Connecticut, Department of Public Health Estimates 2009; U.S. Census Bureau, 2010; FY 2011-14, U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

³ Income per Capita: \$35,857 U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 American Community Survey.

**RATIO OF ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE EXPENDITURES
TO TOTAL GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES - TOWN OF BLOOMFIELD**

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Annual Debt Service	Total General Fund Expenditures	Ratio of General Fund Debt Service to Total General Fund Expenditures %
2014	\$5,955,928	\$84,685,486	7.03%
2013	6,509,608	92,116,750	7.07%
2012	5,486,120	80,638,489	6.80%
2011	3,142,441	88,633,716	3.55%
2010	3,275,364	73,382,393	4.46%

Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

**RATIO OF ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE EXPENDITURES
TO TOTAL GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES - TOWN OF EAST HARTFORD**

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Annual Debt Service	Total General Fund Expenditures	Ratio of General Fund Debt Service to Total General Fund Expenditures %
2014	\$9,215,000	\$192,436,000	4.79%
2013	9,357,000	199,249,000	4.70%
2012	9,758,000	182,904,000	5.34%
2011	9,160,000	164,026,000	5.58%
2010	9,539,000	158,152,000	6.03%

Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

**RATIO OF ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE EXPENDITURES
TO TOTAL GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES – CITY OF HARTFORD**

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Annual Debt Service	Total General Fund Expenditures	Ratio of General Fund Debt Service to Total General Fund Expenditures %
2014	49,618,000	581,286,000	8.54%
2013	45,869,000	561,817,000	8.16%
2012	39,315,000	571,547,000	6.88%
2011	37,796,000	561,395,000	6.73%
2010	36,264,000	551,585,000	6.57%

Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

**RATIO OF ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE EXPENDITURES
TO TOTAL GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES - TOWN OF NEWINGTON**

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Annual Debt Service	Total General Fund Expenditures	Ratio of General Fund Debt Service to Total General Fund Expenditures %
2014	\$1,476,000	\$113,260,000	1.30%
2013	2,353,000	119,252,000	1.97%
2012	2,312,000	108,494,000	2.13%
2011	2,158,000	101,966,000	2.12%
2010	2,523,000	102,635,000	2.46%

Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

**RATIO OF ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE EXPENDITURES
TO TOTAL GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES - TOWN OF ROCKY HILL**

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Annual Debt Service	Total General Fund Expenditures	Ratio of General Fund Debt Service to Total General Fund Expenditures %
2014	\$1,671,560	\$70,159,832	2.38%
2013	2,235,379	74,102,850	3.02%
2012	2,385,319	63,773,143	3.74%
2011	2,363,467	61,174,647	3.86%
2010	2,895,090	66,753,046	4.34%
2009	2,866,997	58,729,845	4.88%

Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

**RATIO OF ANNUAL BONDED DEBT SERVICE
TO TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURES - TOWN OF WEST HARTFORD¹**

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Annual Debt Service	Total Governmental Funds Expenditures	Ratio of Debt Service to Governmental Funds Expenditures %
2014	\$17,365,000	\$247,243,000	7.02%
2013	17,952,000	239,506,000	7.50%
2012	19,933,000	240,694,000	8.28%
2011	19,877,000	257,064,000	7.73%
2010	19,268,000	244,463,000	7.88%

¹ Includes All Governmental Funds, excluding capital outlay. Data is reflected on a modified accrual basis.
Source: Annual Audited Financial Statement 2010-2014.

**RATIO OF ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE EXPENDITURES
TO TOTAL GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES - TOWN OF WETHERSFIELD**

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Annual Debt Service	Total General Fund Expenditures	Ratio of General Fund Debt Service to Total General Fund Expenditures %
2014	\$3,236,244	\$96,633,894	3.35%
2013	3,807,461	99,635,539	3.82%
2012	3,569,423	91,362,238	3.91%
2011	3,775,508	87,884,374	4.30%
2010	4,044,856	101,298,034	3.99%

Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

**RATIO OF ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE EXPENDITURES
TO TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURES - TOWN OF WINDSOR¹**

Fiscal Year Ended 6/30	Annual Debt Service	Total Governmental Funds Expenditures	Ratio of Debt Service to Governmental Funds Expenditures %
2014	\$6,135,301	\$110,038,240	5.58%
2013	5,726,409	106,031,658	5.40%
2012	5,925,093	105,110,030	5.64%
2011	6,020,144	101,246,939	5.95%
2010	5,859,963	97,031,322	6.04%

¹ Includes all Governmental Funds, excluding Capital Expenditures.

Source: Annual Audited Financial Statements 2010-2014.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS AND FUTURE BORROWINGS

Each year the District adopts a Capital Improvement Program (“CIP”) for its capital infrastructure and facility needs. The program requires a series of decisions about the amount, timing, purpose and structure of debt issuance. Annual capital project appropriations and the issuance of debt are approved in accordance with budgetary policies and procedures as presented under the Budget Procedure and Policy of Debt Administration. The Finance Department manages all District borrowings, paying particular attention to debt affordability and timing of borrowings to take advantage of favorable market conditions. The goal is to repay debt rapidly, maintain a conservative level of outstanding debt, and ensure the District’s continued positive financing standing in the bond market. For 2015, the CIP continues to focus on implementing a comprehensive asset management program for wastewater, water and combined programs.

FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

<u>Capital Projects</u>	<u>Estimated Costs</u>
Wastewater Programs....	\$265,715,000
Water Programs.....	278,225,475
Combined.....	99,000,000
Total.....	\$642,940,475

The adopted 2015 Capital Improvement Program (“CIP”) Budget is \$138,950,000. The CIP Budget will be funded with approximately \$138,950,000 of General Obligation Bonds and \$0 of Assessable Fund Contributions.

The District has also initiated a comprehensive Clean Water Project. The Project combines the elimination of sanitary sewer overflows, the design and construction of a combined sewer overflow Long-Term Plan and the treatment and reduction of nitrogen from the water pollution control facilities. The Project was originally estimated to cost \$2.1 billion and have a 15 year implementation requirement. An appropriation of \$800 million was authorized by the Member Municipalities at a referendum in November 2006. Approval for an additional \$800 million was authorized by the Member Municipalities at a referendum on November 6, 2012. The Project will require submission of a further appropriation for approval by voters. A Special Sewer Service Surcharge, adopted in October 2007 and implemented in January 2008, will be used exclusively for the payment of debt service on bonds and loans issued to finance the Project. The District’s goal is to fund project costs with State and Federal grants and State and Federal low-cost loans as they become available, and open market debt. Bonds and loans that are solely supported by the Special Sewer Service Surcharge will not be included in the calculation of overlapping debt of the Member Municipalities. See “Clean Water Project” herein on page 22.

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT, HARTFORD COUNTY, CONNECTICUT HAS NEVER
DEFAULTED IN THE PAYMENT OF PRINCIPAL OF OR INTEREST ON ITS BONDS OR NOTES.**

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

APPENDIX A - BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT

DECEMBER 31, 2014

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

BlumShapiro

Accounting | Tax | Business Consulting

Independent Auditors' Report

To the Board of Finance
The Metropolitan District
Hartford, Connecticut

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of The Metropolitan District as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise The Metropolitan District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditors consider internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of The Metropolitan District as of December 31, 2014 and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof, for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages A-3 through A-12, the budgetary comparison information on pages A-64 through A-66, the schedule of changes in the net pension liability and related ratios on page A-67, the schedule of employer contributions on page A-68, the schedule of investment returns on page A-69 and the schedule of funding progress - OPEB Trust Fund on page A-70 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, which considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Blum, Shapiro & Company, P.C.

West Hartford, Connecticut
June 22, 2015

*The Metropolitan District
Management's Discussion and Analysis
December 31, 2014*

The management of the Metropolitan District (the District) offers readers of the District's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the District for the year ended December 31, 2014. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with additional information that we have furnished in our letter of transmittal.

Financial Highlights

- On a government-wide basis, the assets of the District exceeded its liabilities at the close of the current year by \$549,538,389 and \$250,523,843 for the Governmental Activities and the Business-Type Activities, respectively.
- As of the close of the current year, the District's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$55,473,828, an increase of \$117,441,231 in comparison with the prior year, which is primarily due to the timing of reimbursements and significant permanent financing.
- On a government-wide basis, the District's total net position increased by \$58,706,826 and \$5,060,467 for the Governmental Activities and Business-Type Activities, respectively.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the District's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The statement of net position presents information on all of the District's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities presents information showing how the District's net position changed during the current year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future periods (e.g., earned but unused vacation leave).

Both of the government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the District that are principally supported by taxes, sewer user charges and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities). The governmental activities of the District include general government, engineering and planning, operations, plants and maintenance, and water treatment and supply. The business-type activities of the District include water operations and hydroelectricity facilities as well as the Mid-Connecticut Project.

The government-wide financial statements can be found on pages A-13 to A-14 of this report.

Fund Financial Statements

A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The District, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the District can be divided into three categories: governmental funds, proprietary funds and fiduciary funds.

Governmental Funds

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The District maintains 56 individual funds. Information is presented in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the General Fund, the Debt Service Fund and the Capital Project Funds, of which the General Fund, Debt Service Fund, 2006 Clean Water Project Referendum, 2012 Clean Water Project Referendum, Sewer Program and Other Information Systems are considered to be major funds.

Data from the other Capital Projects governmental funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation.

The District adopts an annual appropriated budget for its General Fund. A budgetary comparison statement has been provided for the General Fund to demonstrate compliance with this budget.

The basic governmental fund financial statements can be found on pages A-15 to A-18 of this report.

Proprietary Funds - The District maintains three enterprise type funds. Enterprise funds report the same functions as presented by the business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. The District uses enterprise funds to account for its water and hydroelectricity operations and Mid-Connecticut Project.

Proprietary funds provide the same type of information as the government-wide financial statements, only in more detail. The proprietary fund financial statements provide separate information for water operations and the Mid-Connecticut Project, which are considered to be major funds of the District, and hydroelectricity operations, which is nonmajor.

The basic proprietary fund financial statements can be found on pages A-19 to A-21 of this report.

Fiduciary Funds - Fiduciary funds are used to account for assets held by the District in a trustee capacity for the benefit of parties outside the government. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the resources of those funds are not available to support the District's own programs. The accounting used for fiduciary funds is much like that used for proprietary funds.

The basic fiduciary fund financial statements can be found on pages A-22 to A-23 of this report.

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements can be found on pages A-24 to A-63 of this report.

The notes to this report also contain certain supplementary information concerning the District's progress in funding its obligation to provide pension benefits to its employees.

Government-Wide Financial Analysis

As noted earlier, net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position and an important determinant of its ability to finance services in the future. In the case of the District, assets exceeded liabilities by \$549,538,389 and \$250,523,843 for the governmental activities and business-type activities, respectively, at the close of the most recent fiscal year.

By far, the largest portion of the District's assets is its investment in capital assets (e.g., infrastructure, plants, machinery and equipment). It is presented in the statement of net position less any related debt used to acquire those assets to provide services to citizens; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the District's investment in its capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

Metropolitan District Commission
NET POSITION
December 31, 2014 and 2013

	2014			2013		
	Governmental Activities	Business- Type Activities	Total	Governmental Activities	Business- Type Activities	Total
Current and other assets	\$ 244,001,819	\$ 101,762,039	\$ 345,763,858	\$ 204,567,717	\$ 99,396,056	\$ 303,963,773
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	1,187,968,518	453,177,616	1,641,146,134	1,034,089,978	423,897,592	1,457,987,570
Total assets	<u>1,431,970,337</u>	<u>554,939,655</u>	<u>1,986,909,992</u>	<u>1,238,657,695</u>	<u>523,293,648</u>	<u>1,761,951,343</u>
Deferred outflows of resources	906,068	213,542	1,119,610	1,123,973	392,593	1,516,566
Current liabilities	186,906,793	96,182,008	283,088,801	262,526,126	100,885,531	363,411,657
Long-term liabilities outstanding	696,431,223	208,447,346	904,878,569	486,423,979	177,337,334	663,761,313
Total liabilities	<u>883,338,016</u>	<u>304,629,354</u>	<u>1,187,967,370</u>	<u>748,950,105</u>	<u>278,222,865</u>	<u>1,027,172,970</u>
Net Position:						
Net investment in capital assets	447,415,548	263,960,687	711,376,235	404,221,698	255,929,765	660,151,463
Restricted	56,291,586	114,520	56,406,106	45,369,836	3,335,436	48,705,272
Unrestricted	<u>45,831,255</u>	<u>(13,551,364)</u>	<u>32,279,891</u>	<u>41,240,029</u>	<u>(13,801,825)</u>	<u>27,438,204</u>
Total Net Position	<u>\$ 549,538,389</u>	<u>\$ 250,523,843</u>	<u>\$ 800,062,232</u>	<u>\$ 490,831,563</u>	<u>\$ 245,463,376</u>	<u>\$ 736,294,939</u>

The District's total net position increased by \$63,767,293 during the current year.

Metropolitan District Commission
CHANGES IN NET POSITION
For the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013


	2014			2013		
	Governmental Activities	Business- Type Activities	Total	Governmental Activities	Business- Type Activities	Total
Revenues:						
Program revenues:						
Charges for services	\$ 63,885,662	\$ 77,748,234	\$ 141,633,896	\$ 47,300,004	\$ 79,971,496	\$ 127,271,500
Operating grants and contributions	1,039,223		1,039,223			-
Capital grants and contributions	26,927,807	5,227,745	32,155,552	26,506,324	5,145,634	31,651,958
General revenues:						
Sewer taxation - member municipalities	36,156,600		36,156,600	34,799,400		34,799,400
Unrestricted investment earnings	207,637	138,537	346,174	393,677	110,747	504,424
Miscellaneous income	1,540,335	5,661,124	7,201,459	54,771	2,160,605	2,215,376
Total revenues	<u>129,757,264</u>	<u>88,775,640</u>	<u>218,532,904</u>	<u>109,054,176</u>	<u>87,388,482</u>	<u>196,442,658</u>
Expenses:						
General government	12,784,187		12,784,187	9,524,064		9,524,064
Engineering and planning	877,678		877,678	486,626		486,626
Operations	8,614,482		8,614,482	5,439,460		5,439,460
Plants and maintenance	34,067,360		34,067,360	30,341,543		30,341,543
Interest on long-term debt	16,263,466		16,263,466	13,271,555		13,271,555
Water		79,597,522	79,597,522		68,713,237	68,713,237
Hydroelectricity		394,359	394,359		345,431	345,431
Mid-Connecticut Project		2,166,557	2,166,557		6,091,844	6,091,844
Total expenses	<u>72,607,173</u>	<u>82,158,438</u>	<u>154,765,611</u>	<u>59,063,248</u>	<u>75,150,512</u>	<u>134,213,760</u>
Excess of Revenues over Expenditures before Transfers	57,150,091	6,617,202	63,767,293	49,990,928	12,237,970	62,228,898
Transfers	<u>1,556,735</u>	<u>(1,556,735)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2,144,402</u>	<u>(2,144,402)</u>	<u>-</u>
Net Change in Net Position	58,706,826	5,060,467	63,767,293	52,135,330	10,093,568	62,228,898
Net Position at Beginning of Year	<u>490,831,563</u>	<u>245,463,376</u>	<u>736,294,939</u>	<u>438,696,233</u>	<u>235,369,808</u>	<u>674,066,041</u>
Net Position at End of Year	<u>\$ 549,538,389</u>	<u>\$ 250,523,843</u>	<u>\$ 800,062,232</u>	<u>\$ 490,831,563</u>	<u>\$ 245,463,376</u>	<u>\$ 736,294,939</u>

The District's net position increased by \$63,767,293 overall during the fiscal year with ending net position of Governmental Activities and Business-Type Activities of \$549,538,389 and \$250,523,843, respectively.

Governmental Activities


Net position of governmental activities increased by \$58,706,826 in 2014.

Major Revenue Factors Include:



- Approximately 28% of the governmental activity revenues were derived from taxes levied on our member towns. These taxes decreased from the prior year.
- The primary components of charges for services consist of sewer user fees of \$57,226,390 and intergovernmental revenues of \$29,610,377, which increased in total by \$17,335,310 from the prior year. Sewer user charges increased due to increased rates. The intergovernmental revenue increase is due to increased project activity receiving clean water grants to fund the Clean Water Projects.

Major Expenditure Factors Include:




- During 2014, expenditures increased by \$13,543,925 with the largest increases occurring in plants and maintenance, general government and operations (over \$3 million each) due to an increase in capital assets and an increase in the OPEB obligation.

Business-Type Activities


Net position of business-type activities increased by \$5,060,467 in 2014.

Major Revenue Factors Include:



- Water sales revenue decreased by \$3,660,100 or 4.8% due to decreased water consumption. During 2014, there were \$0 charges for services due to a contract termination affecting the operation of the Mid-Connecticut Project. Energy sales increased by \$195,369 due to increased precipitation resulting in higher production of electricity.

Major Expense Factors Include:



- Expenses increased by \$7,007,926 or more than 9.3% with most of the increase attributable to depreciation and plants and maintenance.

Financial Analysis of the Government's Funds

As noted earlier, the District uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

Governmental Funds

The focus of the District's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the District's financing requirements. In particular, unreserved fund balances may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the year.

As of the end of the current year, the District's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balance of \$55,473,828, an increase of \$117,441,231 in comparison with the prior year. Of the fund balances, \$149,816,144 is restricted for debt service, \$2,671,665 is nonspendable and reserved for inventory and prepaid assets, and \$3,310,650 is committed for other capital improvements. The remaining capital projects show a deficit unassigned balance of \$(114,364,898).

The General Fund is the chief operating fund of the District. At the end of the current year, the General Fund total fund balance was \$16,694,898, of which \$2,654,631 is nonspendable and reserved for inventory and prepaid assets. The remaining balance is an unassigned fund balance of \$14,040,267. The \$1,237,655 fund balance increase in the General Fund is attributable to a decrease in debt service payments over the prior year.

The Debt Service fund increase of \$1,262,399 in fund balance is a result of premiums related to bond issuances.

The 2006 Clean Water Project Referendum Fund has an increase in fund balance of \$111,383,002, which is a result of a significant increase in the issuance of loans, bonds and associated premiums.

The 2012 Clean Water Project Referendum Fund has an increase in fund balance of \$22,948,635, which is a result of a significant increase in the issuance of loans, bonds and associated premiums.

The Sewer Program Fund has a deficit fund balance of \$8,636,690, which was a decrease in fund balance of \$7,457,119. Temporary or permanent financing will occur in future years.

The Other Information Systems Fund has a deficit fund balance of \$13,003,447, which was a decrease in fund balance of \$11,061,813. Temporary or permanent financing will occur in future years.

Other Nonmajor Governmental Funds have a decrease in fund balance of \$871,528 from the prior year. The decrease is due to the timing of capital outlays versus the timing of temporary and permanent financing.

Proprietary Funds

The District's proprietary funds provide the same type of information found in the government-wide financial statements, but in more detail.

Overall proprietary funds net position total \$250,523,843 at the end of the year.

Unrestricted net position of the Water Utility Fund at the end of the year amounted to \$19,761,687, or 7.2% of total net position of the fund. There was \$114,520, or .04%, of restricted net position in the fund. Net investment in capital assets amounted to \$256,510,856, or 92.8% of the total net position of the fund. The Hydroelectricity Fund has unrestricted net position of \$846,292, or 10.2% of total net position. Net investment in capital assets amounted to \$7,449,831, or 89.8% of total net position of the fund.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

During the 2014 budget year, total revenues and other financing sources were below the budget by \$21,575,757 or 26.7%, and expenditures were less than budget by \$3,773,969 or 8.3%.

Some of the major highlights are as follows:

- Revenues were below budget due to lower than expected use of the Special Sewer Service Charge for Clean Water Project debt.
- Lower expenses were attributable to below budget debt service and lower payroll expenses associated with vacancy levels.

Capital Assets and Debt Administration

Capital Assets - The District’s investment in capital assets (net of accumulated depreciation) for its governmental and business-type activities as of December 31, 2014 amounted to \$1,187,968,518 and \$453,177,616, respectively. This investment in capital assets includes land, buildings and system improvements, machinery and equipment, park facilities, and sewer and water pipes.

Major capital asset additions in 2014 consisted of infrastructure improvements, contributions of capital assets by developers and continued construction in progress, including the continuation of the Clean Water Project.

**Metropolitan District Commission
CAPITAL ASSETS (net of depreciation)
December 31, 2014 and 2013**

	2014			2013		
	Governmental Activities	Business- Type Activities	Total	Governmental Activities	Business- Type Activities	Total
Land	\$ 643,754	\$ 9,656,099	\$ 10,299,853	\$ 643,754	\$ 9,548,683	\$ 10,192,437
Buildings	361,944,409	266,715,742	628,660,151	303,218,139	228,018,745	531,236,884
Machinery and equipment	22,958,660	11,224,835	34,183,495	18,743,429	12,406,180	31,149,609
Construction in progress	802,421,695	165,580,940	968,002,635	711,484,656	173,923,984	885,408,640
Total	\$ 1,187,968,518	\$ 453,177,616	\$ 1,641,146,134	\$ 1,034,089,978	\$ 423,897,592	\$ 1,457,987,570

Additional information on the District’s capital assets can be found in Note 3D on pages A-36 to A-43 of this report.

Long-Term Debt - At the end of the current year, the District had total bonded debt outstanding of \$571,225,417. All of the Governmental Activities debt, \$696,431,223, is backed by the full faith and credit of the District's member towns.

**Metropolitan District Commission
OUTSTANDING DEBT
December 31, 2014 and 2013**

	2014			2013		
	Governmental Activities	Business- Type Activities	Total	Governmental Activities	Business- Type Activities	Total
General obligation/Revenue bonds	\$ 450,563,565	\$ 120,661,852	\$ 571,225,417	\$ 269,968,258	\$ 103,271,314	\$ 373,239,572
Clean/drinking water loans	222,701,991	28,789,597	251,491,588	197,977,858	20,602,949	218,580,807
Compensated absences	2,980,521	2,911,156	5,891,677	2,834,700	2,929,644	5,764,344
Net pension obligation		20,428,295	20,428,295		20,428,295	20,428,295
OPEB obligation	20,185,146	35,656,446	55,841,592	15,643,163	30,105,132	45,748,295
Total	\$ <u>696,431,223</u>	\$ <u>208,447,346</u>	\$ <u>904,878,569</u>	\$ <u>486,423,979</u>	\$ <u>177,337,334</u>	\$ <u>663,761,313</u>

The District enjoys a strong financial position from an AA+ rating from Standard & Poor's to an Aa1 rating from Moody's for general obligation debt.

The District's Charter limits the amount of general obligation debt it may issue up to 5% of the combined Grand List of its member towns. The current debt limitation for the District is \$1,196,077,656, which is significantly more than the District's outstanding general obligation debt.

Additional information on the District's long-term debt can be found in Note 3G on pages A-45 to A-49 of this report.

Economic Factors

- The District strives to minimize the tax impact to its member municipalities by limiting increases in General Fund expenditures.
- Inflationary trends in the region are consistent with budgeted General Fund expenditure increases.
- Water consumption continues to decline due to weather patterns and poor economic conditions in the greater Hartford area.

All of these factors were considered in preparing the District's 2014 year budget.

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the District's finances for all those with an interest in the government's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Chief Financial Officer, Metropolitan District Commission.

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
DECEMBER 31, 2014**

	<u>Governmental Activities</u>	<u>Business-Type Activities</u>	<u>Total</u>
Assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 199,644,005	\$ 29,676,510	\$ 229,320,515
Receivables, net of allowance for uncollectibles	28,778,616	68,626,211	97,404,827
Internal balances	7,250,742	(7,250,742)	-
Inventory	2,336,869	3,015,584	5,352,453
Prepaid items	334,796	733,621	1,068,417
Pension asset	5,656,791	6,913,855	12,570,646
Capital assets, nondepreciable	803,065,449	175,237,039	978,302,488
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	384,903,069	277,940,577	662,843,646
Other assets		47,000	47,000
Total assets	<u>1,431,970,337</u>	<u>554,939,655</u>	<u>1,986,909,992</u>
Deferred Outflows of Resources:			
Deferred charge on refunding	<u>906,068</u>	<u>213,542</u>	<u>1,119,610</u>
Liabilities:			
Accounts payable and accrued items	59,744,931	50,460,653	110,205,584
Unearned revenues	988,123		988,123
Bonds anticipation notes payable	123,579,000	39,880,000	163,459,000
Other current liabilities		226,949	226,949
Customer advances for construction	2,594,739	2,543,728	5,138,467
Claims incurred but not reported		3,070,678	3,070,678
Noncurrent liabilities:			
Due within one year	90,760,509	26,836,551	117,597,060
Due in more than one year	605,670,714	181,610,795	787,281,509
Total liabilities	<u>883,338,016</u>	<u>304,629,354</u>	<u>1,187,967,370</u>
Net Position:			
Net investment in capital assets	447,415,548	263,960,687	711,376,235
Restricted for debt service	56,291,586	114,520	56,406,106
Unrestricted	45,831,255	(13,551,364)	32,279,891
Total Net Position	<u>\$ 549,538,389</u>	<u>\$ 250,523,843</u>	<u>\$ 800,062,232</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Function/Program Activities	Expenses	Program Revenues		Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position		
		Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Grants and Contributions	Governmental Activities	Business-Type Activities
Governmental activities:						
General government	\$ 12,784,187	\$	\$	\$	(12,784,187)	\$ (12,784,187)
Engineering and planning	877,678				(877,678)	(877,678)
Operations	8,614,482	10,388,296			1,773,814	1,773,814
Plants and maintenance	34,067,360	53,497,366	1,039,223	26,927,807	47,397,036	47,397,036
Interest on long-term debt	16,263,466				(16,263,466)	(16,263,466)
Total governmental activities	<u>72,607,173</u>	<u>63,885,662</u>	<u>1,039,223</u>	<u>26,927,807</u>	<u>19,245,519</u>	<u>-</u>
Business-type activities:						
Water	79,597,522	76,684,809		5,227,745		2,315,032
Hydroelectricity	394,359	1,063,425				669,066
Mid-Connecticut Project	2,166,557					(2,166,557)
Total business-type activities	<u>82,158,438</u>	<u>77,748,234</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>5,227,745</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>817,541</u>
Total	<u>\$ 154,765,611</u>	<u>\$ 141,633,896</u>	<u>\$ 1,039,223</u>	<u>\$ 32,155,552</u>	<u>19,245,519</u>	<u>817,541</u>
General revenues:						
Sewer taxation - member municipalities					36,156,600	36,156,600
Miscellaneous					1,540,335	7,201,459
Unrestricted investment earnings					207,637	346,174
Transfers					1,556,735	-
Total general revenues and transfers					<u>39,461,307</u>	<u>43,704,233</u>
Change in Net Position					58,706,826	63,767,293
Net Position at Beginning of Year					490,831,563	736,294,939
Net Position at End of Year					<u>\$ 549,538,389</u>	<u>\$ 800,062,232</u>

A-14

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
DECEMBER 31, 2014**

	<u>General</u>	<u>Debt Service</u>	<u>2006 Clean Water Project Referendum</u>	<u>2012 Clean Water Project Referendum</u>	<u>Sewer Program</u>	<u>Other Information Systems</u>	<u>Nonmajor Governmental Funds</u>	<u>Total Governmental Funds</u>
ASSETS								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,233,683	\$ 8,095,820	\$ 154,645,464	\$ 24,021,421	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,647,617	\$ 199,644,005
Receivables, net of allowance for uncollectibles	2,192,620		24,830,557				1,755,439	28,778,616
Due from other funds	7,261,523							7,261,523
Inventory	2,336,869							2,336,869
Prepaid items	317,762			17,034				334,796
Total Assets	<u>\$ 21,342,457</u>	<u>\$ 8,095,820</u>	<u>\$ 179,476,021</u>	<u>\$ 24,038,455</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 5,403,056</u>	<u>\$ 238,355,809</u>
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES								
Liabilities:								
Accounts payable and accrued items	\$ 2,042,039	\$ -	\$ 23,487,671	\$ 2,301,324	\$ 4,001,690	\$ 5,162,447	\$ 17,003,236	\$ 53,998,407
Unearned revenues		988,123						988,123
Bond anticipation notes payable			30,000,000	5,000,000	4,635,000	7,841,000	76,103,000	123,579,000
Customer advances for construction	2,594,739							2,594,739
Due to other funds	10,781							10,781
Total liabilities	<u>4,647,559</u>	<u>988,123</u>	<u>53,487,671</u>	<u>7,301,324</u>	<u>8,636,690</u>	<u>13,003,447</u>	<u>93,106,236</u>	<u>181,171,050</u>
Deferred inflows of resources:								
Unavailable revenue - special assessments							1,710,931	1,710,931
Fund balances:								
Nonspendable	2,654,631			17,034				2,671,665
Restricted		7,107,697	125,988,350	16,720,097				149,816,144
Committed							3,310,650	3,310,650
Unassigned	14,040,267				(8,636,690)	(13,003,447)	(92,724,761)	(100,324,631)
Total fund balances	<u>16,694,898</u>	<u>7,107,697</u>	<u>125,988,350</u>	<u>16,737,131</u>	<u>(8,636,690)</u>	<u>(13,003,447)</u>	<u>(89,414,111)</u>	<u>55,473,828</u>
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources and Fund Balances	<u>\$ 21,342,457</u>	<u>\$ 8,095,820</u>	<u>\$ 179,476,021</u>	<u>\$ 24,038,455</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 5,403,056</u>	<u>\$ 238,355,809</u>

A-15

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position (page 14) are different because of the following:

Fund balances - total governmental funds (page 16)	\$ 55,473,828
--	---------------

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.

Governmental capital assets	\$ 1,478,011,911	
Less accumulated depreciation	<u>(290,043,393)</u>	
Net capital assets		1,187,968,518

Governmental pension assets	5,656,791
-----------------------------	-----------

Other long-term assets are not available to pay for current-period expenditures and, therefore, are reported as unavailable revenue in the funds.

Sewer assessment receivables	1,710,931
Deferred charge on refunding	906,068

Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.

Bonds payable	(412,244,725)
Premiums	(38,318,840)
Notes payable	(222,701,991)
Interest payable on long-term debt	(5,746,524)
Compensated absences	(2,980,521)
OPEB obligation	<u>(20,185,146)</u>

Net Position of Governmental Activities (page 14)	\$ <u><u>549,538,389</u></u>
---	------------------------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES
IN FUND BALANCES - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

	<u>General</u>	<u>Debt Service</u>	<u>2006 Clean Water Project Referendum</u>	<u>2012 Clean Water Project Referendum</u>	<u>Sewer Program</u>	<u>Other Information Systems</u>	<u>Nonmajor Governmental Funds</u>	<u>Total Governmental Funds</u>
Revenues:								
Taxation - member towns	\$ 36,156,600							\$ 36,156,600
Assessments							1,603,914	1,603,914
Sewer user fees	5,733,380		51,493,010					57,226,390
Intergovernmental revenues	3,547,471		25,023,683	851,385			187,838	29,610,377
Investment income	52,350	5,794	149,493					207,637
Other local revenues	3,359,742	239	362,775				328,361	4,051,117
Total revenues	<u>48,849,543</u>	<u>6,033</u>	<u>77,028,961</u>	<u>851,385</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2,120,113</u>	<u>128,856,035</u>
Expenditures:								
Current:								
General government	4,824,078							4,824,078
Engineering and planning	247,304							247,304
Operations	1,432,213							1,432,213
Plants and maintenance	16,780,068							16,780,068
Employee benefits and other	8,900,673							8,900,673
Debt service:								
Principal retirement		22,808,465						22,808,465
Interest		15,021,793	301,385					15,323,178
Capital outlay			95,506,510	27,429,340	7,457,119	11,061,813	30,569,641	172,024,423
Total expenditures	<u>32,184,336</u>	<u>37,830,258</u>	<u>95,807,895</u>	<u>27,429,340</u>	<u>7,457,119</u>	<u>11,061,813</u>	<u>30,569,641</u>	<u>242,340,402</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	<u>16,665,207</u>	<u>(37,824,225)</u>	<u>(18,778,934)</u>	<u>(26,577,955)</u>	<u>(7,457,119)</u>	<u>(11,061,813)</u>	<u>(28,449,528)</u>	<u>(113,484,367)</u>
Other Financing Sources (Uses):								
Issuance of bonds			100,000,000	40,000,000			27,578,000	167,578,000
Premium on issuance of bonds		2,156,335	16,270,104	6,508,042				24,934,481
Issuance of refunding bonds		9,931,648						9,931,648
Premium on refunding bonds		840,228						840,228
Payment to refunded bond escrow agent		(10,757,266)						(10,757,266)
Clean Water Fund loans issued			33,823,224	3,018,548				36,841,772
Transfers in		36,915,679						36,915,679
Transfers out	(15,427,552)		(19,931,392)					(35,358,944)
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>(15,427,552)</u>	<u>39,086,624</u>	<u>130,161,936</u>	<u>49,526,590</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>27,578,000</u>	<u>230,925,598</u>
Net Change in Fund Balances	1,237,655	1,262,399	111,383,002	22,948,635	(7,457,119)	(11,061,813)	(871,528)	117,441,231
Fund Balances at Beginning of Year	<u>15,457,243</u>	<u>5,845,298</u>	<u>14,605,348</u>	<u>(6,211,504)</u>	<u>(1,179,571)</u>	<u>(1,941,634)</u>	<u>(88,542,583)</u>	<u>(61,967,403)</u>
Fund Balances at End of Year	<u>\$ 16,694,898</u>	<u>\$ 7,107,697</u>	<u>\$ 125,988,350</u>	<u>\$ 16,737,131</u>	<u>\$ (8,636,690)</u>	<u>\$ (13,003,447)</u>	<u>\$ (89,414,111)</u>	<u>\$ 55,473,828</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities (page 15) are different because of the following:

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds (page 18)	\$ 117,441,231
<p>Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense.</p>	
Capital outlays, net	166,226,160
Depreciation expense	(12,303,561)
<p>The net effect of various miscellaneous transactions involving capital assets (i.e., sales, trade-ins and donations) is to increase net position. In the statement of activities, only the loss on the sale of capital assets is reported. However, in the governmental funds, the proceeds from the sale increase financial resources. Thus, the change in net position differs from the change in fund balance by the cost of the capital assets sold.</p>	
	(44,059)
Change in net pension asset	37,546
<p>Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the funds.</p>	
Sewer assessment revenue	(941,644)
Interest receivable	(61,251)
<p>The issuance of long-term debt (e.g., bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of premiums, discounts and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities.</p>	
Proceeds from Clean Water Fund loan obligations	(36,841,772)
Proceeds from issuance of bonds	(177,509,648)
Premiums on issuance of bonds	(25,774,709)
Deferred amount on refunding	261,954
Bond payments	21,186,138
Amortization of bond premium and deferred charge on refunding	1,023,053
Clean Water Fund loan payments	12,117,639
Change in accrued interest	(1,422,447)
<p>Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.</p>	
Change in compensated absences	(145,821)
Change in OPEB obligation	(4,541,983)
Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities (page 15)	<u>\$ 58,706,826</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION - PROPRIETARY FUNDS
DECEMBER 31, 2014

	<u>Business-Type Activities - Enterprise Funds</u>				Business- Type Activities - Internal Service Fund
	<u>Major</u>		<u>Nonmajor</u>		
	<u>Water Utility</u>	<u>Mid- Connecticut Project</u>	<u>Hydroelectric Development Project</u>	<u>Total</u>	
Assets:					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 29,233,537	\$	\$ 442,973	\$ 29,676,510	\$
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for uncollectibles	23,252,409	44,468,483	167,379	67,888,271	737,940
Due from other funds	447,705			447,705	
Inventory	2,771,784		243,800	3,015,584	
Prepaid items	723,136		10,485	733,621	
Total current assets	<u>56,428,571</u>	<u>44,468,483</u>	<u>864,637</u>	<u>101,761,691</u>	<u>737,940</u>
Noncurrent assets:					
Net pension asset	6,913,855			6,913,855	
Capital assets, nondepreciable	175,237,039			175,237,039	
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	270,490,746		7,449,831	277,940,577	
Other assets			2,000	2,000	45,000
Total noncurrent assets	<u>452,641,640</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>7,451,831</u>	<u>460,093,471</u>	<u>45,000</u>
Total assets	<u>509,070,211</u>	<u>44,468,483</u>	<u>8,316,468</u>	<u>561,855,162</u>	<u>782,940</u>
Deferred outflows of resources:					
Deferred charge on refunding	213,542			213,542	
Liabilities:					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	13,212,674	35,841,038	20,345	49,074,057	1,386,596
Due to other funds		7,698,447		7,698,447	
Bond anticipation notes payable	39,880,000			39,880,000	
Other current liabilities	226,949			226,949	
Customer advances for construction	2,543,728			2,543,728	
Current portion of compensated absences	304,615			304,615	
Current portion of bonds and loans payable	26,531,936			26,531,936	
Total current liabilities	<u>82,699,902</u>	<u>43,539,485</u>	<u>20,345</u>	<u>126,259,732</u>	<u>1,386,596</u>
Noncurrent liabilities:					
Compensated absences	2,606,541			2,606,541	
Bonds and loans payable after one year	122,919,513			122,919,513	
Claims incurred but not reported				-	3,070,678
Pension obligation		20,428,295		20,428,295	
OPEB obligation	24,670,734	10,985,712		35,656,446	
Total noncurrent liabilities	<u>150,196,788</u>	<u>31,414,007</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>181,610,795</u>	<u>3,070,678</u>
Total liabilities	<u>232,896,690</u>	<u>74,953,492</u>	<u>20,345</u>	<u>307,870,527</u>	<u>4,457,274</u>
Net Position:					
Net investment in capital assets	256,510,856		7,449,831	263,960,687	
Restricted for debt service	114,520			114,520	
Unrestricted	19,761,687	(30,485,009)	846,292	(9,877,030)	(3,674,334)
Total Net Position	<u>\$ 276,387,063</u>	<u>\$ (30,485,009)</u>	<u>\$ 8,296,123</u>	<u>254,198,177</u>	<u>\$ (3,674,334)</u>
				Adjustment to reflect the consolidation of Internal Service Fund activities related to Enterprise Funds	(3,674,334)
				Net Position of Business-Type Activities	<u>\$ 250,523,843</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES
IN NET POSITION - PROPRIETARY FUNDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

	<u>Business-Type Activities - Enterprise Funds</u>				Business- Type Activities - Internal Service Fund
	<u>Major</u>		<u>Nonmajor</u>		
	<u>Water Utility</u>	<u>Mid-Connecticut Project</u>	<u>Hydroelectric Development Project</u>	<u>Total</u>	
Operating Revenues:					
Water sales	\$ 73,334,034	\$	\$	\$ 73,334,034	\$
Energy sales			1,063,425	1,063,425	
Charges for services				-	2,525,000
Reimbursement				-	825,775
Total operating revenues	<u>73,334,034</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1,063,425</u>	<u>74,397,459</u>	<u>3,350,775</u>
Operating Expenses:					
General government	15,167,626	1,855,831		17,023,457	
Operations	8,356,243	310,726		8,666,969	2,326,727
Plants and maintenance	23,605,116			23,605,116	
Employee benefits and other	11,371,212			11,371,212	
Source of supply			240,351	240,351	
Depreciation expense	15,208,030		154,008	15,362,038	
Total operating expenses	<u>73,708,227</u>	<u>2,166,557</u>	<u>394,359</u>	<u>76,269,143</u>	<u>2,326,727</u>
Operating Income (Loss)	<u>(374,193)</u>	<u>(2,166,557)</u>	<u>669,066</u>	<u>(1,871,684)</u>	<u>1,024,048</u>
Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses):					
Investment income	138,533		4	138,537	
Miscellaneous nonoperating revenue	1,116,595	4,544,529		5,661,124	
Interest and fiscal charges	(3,562,568)			(3,562,568)	
Net nonoperating revenues (expenses)	<u>(2,307,440)</u>	<u>4,544,529</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2,237,093</u>	<u>-</u>
Income (Loss) Before Transfers, Grants and Contributions	<u>(2,681,633)</u>	<u>2,377,972</u>	<u>669,070</u>	<u>365,409</u>	<u>1,024,048</u>
Transfers, Grants and Contributions:					
Capital grants	741,299			741,299	
Capital contributions	4,486,446			4,486,446	
Transfers out	(1,556,735)			(1,556,735)	
Total transfers, grants and contributions	<u>3,671,010</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3,671,010</u>	<u>-</u>
Change in Net Position	989,377	2,377,972	669,070	4,036,419	1,024,048
Net Position at Beginning of Year	<u>275,397,686</u>	<u>(32,862,981)</u>	<u>7,627,053</u>		<u>(4,698,382)</u>
Net Position at End of Year	<u>\$ 276,387,063</u>	<u>\$ (30,485,009)</u>	<u>\$ 8,296,123</u>		<u>\$ (3,674,334)</u>
				1,024,048	
				<u>\$ 5,060,467</u>	

Adjustment to reflect the consolidation of Internal Service Fund activities related to Enterprise Funds

Change in Net Position of Business-Type Activities

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS - PROPRIETARY FUNDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

	<u>Business-Type Activities - Enterprise Funds</u>				Business-Type Activities - Internal Service Fund
	<u>Major</u>		<u>Nonmajor</u>		
	<u>Water Utility</u>	<u>Mid-Connecticut Project</u>	<u>Hydroelectric Development Project</u>	<u>Total</u>	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:					
Receipts from customers and users	\$ 82,597,295	\$ (1,454,049)	\$ 977,925	\$ 82,121,171	\$ 2,615,000
Payments for interfund services provided		184,995		184,995	
Payments to suppliers	(20,167,909)	(3,937,800)	(524,376)	(24,630,085)	(2,615,000)
Payments to employees	(25,857,819)			(25,857,819)	
Payments for interfund services used		662,325		662,325	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>36,571,567</u>	<u>(4,544,529)</u>	<u>453,549</u>	<u>32,480,587</u>	<u>-</u>
Cash Flows from Noncapital Financing Activities:					
Transfers out	<u>(1,556,735)</u>			<u>(1,556,735)</u>	
Net cash used in noncapital financing activities	<u>(1,556,735)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(1,556,735)</u>	<u>-</u>
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities:					
Purchase of capital assets/utility plant	(40,431,048)		(10,580)	(40,441,628)	
Proceeds from bonds	20,157,000			20,157,000	
Proceeds from bond premiums	3,012,463			3,012,463	
Proceeds from drinking water loans	8,989,071			8,989,071	
Proceeds from refunding bonds	4,913,352			4,913,352	
Proceeds from refunding bond premiums	508,912			508,912	
Payments to escrow agent	(5,413,483)			(5,413,483)	
Proceeds from capital grant	741,299			741,299	
Proceeds from bond anticipation notes	39,508,728			39,508,728	
Principal payments on bonds	(5,244,158)			(5,244,158)	
Principal payments on bond anticipation notes	(47,429,000)			(47,429,000)	
Principal payments on drink water loans	(802,423)			(802,423)	
Interest payments on bonds and notes	(3,562,568)			(3,562,568)	
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities	<u>(25,051,855)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(10,580)</u>	<u>(25,062,435)</u>	<u>-</u>
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:					
Interest on investments	138,533		4	138,537	
Miscellaneous nonoperating revenue	1,116,595	4,544,529		5,661,124	
Net cash provided by investing activities	<u>1,255,128</u>	<u>4,544,529</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5,799,661</u>	<u>-</u>
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	11,218,105	-	442,973	11,661,078	-
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	<u>18,015,432</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>18,015,432</u>	<u>-</u>
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	<u>\$ 29,233,537</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 442,973</u>	<u>\$ 29,676,510</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Reconciliation of Operating Income (Loss) to Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities:					
Operating income (loss)	\$ (374,193)	\$ (2,166,557)	\$ 669,066	\$ (1,871,684)	\$ 1,024,048
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	14,843,533		154,008	14,997,541	
Loss on disposal of capital assets	286,011			286,011	
Change in assets, deferred outflows of resources and liabilities:					
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	9,112,805	(1,454,049)	(85,500)	7,573,256	(735,775)
(Increase) decrease in due from other funds		184,995		184,995	
(Increase) decrease in inventory	940,955		3,753	944,708	
(Increase) decrease in prepaid items	(83,442)		(1,049)	(84,491)	
(Increase) decrease in net pension assets	(45,888)			(45,888)	
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses	6,208,504	(1,771,243)	(286,729)	4,150,532	(347,753)
Increase (decrease) in due to other funds		662,325		662,325	
Increase (decrease) in customer advances for construction	150,456			150,456	
Increase (decrease) in compensated absences	(18,488)			(18,488)	
Increase (decrease) in OPEB obligation	5,551,314			5,551,314	
Increase (decrease) in claims incurred but not reported					59,480
Total adjustments	<u>36,945,760</u>	<u>(2,377,972)</u>	<u>(215,517)</u>	<u>34,352,271</u>	<u>(1,024,048)</u>
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities	<u>\$ 36,571,567</u>	<u>\$ (4,544,529)</u>	<u>\$ 453,549</u>	<u>\$ 32,480,587</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Noncash Investing, Capital and Financing Activities:					
Capital assets contributed by Capital Projects Fund	<u>\$ 4,486,446</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 4,486,446</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION - FIDUCIARY FUNDS
DECEMBER 31, 2014

	<u>Pension Trust Fund</u>	<u>OPEB Trust Fund</u>
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,310,982	\$ 763,547
Accounts receivable		26,346,000
Investments, at fair value:		
Stocks	133,061,943	
Fixed income	53,467,610	
Real estate	3,060,000	
Total assets	<u>198,900,535</u>	<u>27,109,547</u>
LIABILITIES		
Retiree expense reimbursement payable		<u>956,201</u>
NET POSITION		
Held in Trust for Pension and OPEB Benefits	<u>\$ 198,900,535</u>	<u>\$ 26,153,346</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION - FIDUCIARY FUNDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

	<u>Pension</u> <u>Trust Fund</u>	<u>OPEB</u> <u>Trust Fund</u>
Additions:		
Contributions:		
Employer	\$ 5,918,000	\$ 5,142,000
Plan members	2,160,885	601,740
Reimbursements		229,373
Total contributions	<u>8,078,885</u>	<u>5,973,113</u>
Investment earnings:		
Net increase in fair value of investments	10,797,366	
Interest and dividends	3,997,355	
Total investment earnings	<u>14,794,721</u>	<u>-</u>
Less investment expenses:		
Investment management fees	930,441	
Net investment earnings	<u>13,864,280</u>	<u>-</u>
Other income	<u>102,351</u>	
Total additions	<u>22,045,516</u>	<u>5,973,113</u>
Deductions:		
Benefits	15,448,154	5,142,951
Administrative expense	46,896	
Total deductions	<u>15,495,050</u>	<u>5,142,951</u>
Change in Net Position	6,550,466	830,162
Net Position at Beginning of Year, as Restated	<u>192,350,069</u>	<u>25,323,184</u>
Net Position at End of Year	<u>\$ 198,900,535</u>	<u>\$ 26,153,346</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. General

The Metropolitan District (the District) was empowered in 1929 by the General Assembly of Connecticut. The District provides the following services as authorized by its Charter: design, construction and maintenance of sewage, hydroelectric and water systems and plants, stream and watercourse controls, the sale and delivery of water and hydroelectric power, and resources recovery.

The financial statements include all of the funds of the District that meet the criteria for inclusion as set forth in Statement of Governmental Accounting Standards No. 14 issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) require that the reporting entity include the primary government and its component units, entities for which the government is considered to be financially accountable and other organizations, which by the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government, would cause the financial statements to be incomplete or misleading if excluded. Blended component units, although legally separate entities, are, in substance, part of the government's operations; therefore, data from these units are combined with data of the primary government. Based on these criteria, there are no component units requiring inclusion in these financial statements.

B. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with the requirements of statements issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The more significant of the District's accounting policies are described below.

Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the District's primary government. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities, which are normally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those expenses that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use or benefit directly from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and major individual enterprise funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary fund and fiduciary fund financial statements. Revenues are recorded when earned, and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Sewer taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the District considers revenues, including sewer assessments, to be available if they are collected within 60 days after the end of the current fiscal period.

Taxes on member municipalities, sewer assessments and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. In determining when to recognize intergovernmental revenues (grants and entitlements), the legal and contractual requirements of the individual programs are used as guidance. Revenues are recognized when the eligibility requirements have been met. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the District.

Expenditures are generally recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

The District reports the following major governmental funds:

The *General Fund* is the District's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those resources required to be accounted for in another fund.

The *Debt Service Fund* is used to account for the resources accumulated and payments made for principal and interest on long-term general obligation debt of governmental funds.

Capital Projects Funds:

Capital projects of greater than one year's duration have been accounted for in capital projects funds. Most of the capital outlays are financed by the issuance of general obligation bonds. Other sources include capital grants, current tax revenues and low-interest state loans.

The *2006 Clean Water Project Referendum Fund* accounts for financial resources to be used for the first phase of the Clean Water Project, which was authorized on November 7, 2006. The first phase of the Clean Water Project includes programs to limit inflow and infiltration, separating certain existing combined sewer systems, construction of larger interceptor pipes and certain upgrades to the two large water pollution control facilities.

The *2012 Clean Water Project Referendum Fund* accounts for financial resources to be used for the second phase of the Clean Water Project, which was authorized on November 6, 2012. The second phase of the Clean Water Project includes programs that continue the work of the first phase by upgrading and expanding the capacity of the Hartford water pollution control facility and includes the construction of a large storage tunnel to hold waste water for ultimate release and treatment at the Hartford water pollution control facility.

The *Sewer Program Fund* accounts for the design and management of all of the District's wastewater projects including sewer mains and improvements and modernization to the District's Water Pollution Control Facilities.

The *Other Information Systems Fund* accounts for the upgrade and or replacement of the District's technology.

The District reports the following major proprietary funds:

The *Water Utility Fund* and the *Mid-Connecticut Project Fund* are the District's funds used to account for operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to a private business enterprise, where the intent of the governing body is that costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges.

Additionally, the District reports the following fund types:

The *Internal Service Fund* is used to account for the District's self-insurance program for accident and health insurance coverage of employees as well as natural disaster and liability claims for the District.

The *Pension Trust Fund* is used to account for the activities of the District's defined benefit plan, which accumulates resources for pension benefit payments to qualified employees.

The *OPEB Trust Fund* is used to account for the activities of the District's postemployment welfare benefits (including retiree medical, dental and life insurance benefits) to the current and former eligible employees of the District.

As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Exceptions to this general rule are charges between the enterprise funds and various other functions of the government. Elimination of these charges would distort the direct costs and program revenues reported for the various functions concerned.

Amounts reported as program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants for goods, services or privileges provided, 2) operating grants and contributions, and 3) capital grants and contributions, including special assessments. Internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues rather than as program revenues. Likewise, general revenue includes all taxes.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the proprietary funds are charges to customers for services. Operating expenses for enterprise funds and internal service funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the government's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed. Unrestricted resources are used in the following order: committed, assigned then unassigned.

C. Cash Equivalents

For purposes of reporting cash flows, all savings, checking, money market accounts and certificates of deposit with an original maturity of less than 90 days from the date of acquisition are considered to be cash equivalents.

D. Investments

Investments are stated at fair value.

E. Inventories and Prepaid Items

All inventories are valued at the lower of cost (average cost) or market method. Inventory in the governmental and enterprise funds is recorded as an expenditure when consumed rather than when purchased.

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements.

F. Receivables and Payables

Activity between funds that are representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year are referred to as "due to/from other funds." Any residual balances outstanding between the governmental activities and business-type activities are reported in the government-wide financial statements as "internal balances."

All receivables are presented net of an allowance for uncollectibles.

G. Compensated Absences

All vacation and sick pay is accrued when incurred in the government-wide, proprietary and fiduciary fund financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in governmental funds only if they have matured, for example, as a result of employee resignations and retirements.

H. Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment and infrastructure assets such as water and sewer mains, are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the District as assets with an initial individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an estimated useful life of more than two years. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recognized at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of assets or materially extend their lives are expensed currently.

Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed. Interest incurred during the construction phase of capital assets of business-type activities is included as part of the capitalized value of the assets constructed.

Property, plant and equipment of the District are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

<u>Assets</u>	<u>Years</u>
Buildings	50-75
Machinery and equipment	6-20
Infrastructure	50-150

I. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of net position may report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position or fund balance that applies to a future period or periods and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources expenditure until then. The District reports a deferred charge on refunding as deferred outflow of resources in the government-wide statement of net position and statement of net position - proprietary funds. A deferred charge on refunding results from the difference in the carrying value of refunded debt and its reacquisition price. This amount is deferred and amortized over the shorter of the life of the refunded or refunding debt.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position may report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position or fund balance that applies to a future period or periods and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources until that time.

The District reports unavailable revenue for governmental funds which arises only under the modified accrual basis of accounting. The governmental funds report unavailable revenues from special assessments. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amounts become available.

J. Long-Term Obligations

In the government-wide financial statements and proprietary fund types in the fund financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities or proprietary fund type statement of net position. Bond premiums, discounts and losses on bond refundings are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount and unamortized losses on bond refundings. Bond issuance costs are expensed as incurred.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

K. Fund Equity

The equity of the fund financial statements is defined as “fund balance” and is classified in the following categories:

Nonspendable Fund Balance - This balance represents amounts that cannot be spent due to form (e.g., inventories and prepaid amounts).

Restricted Fund Balance - This balance represents amounts constrained for a specific purpose by external parties, such as grantors, creditors, contributors or laws and regulations of their governments.

Committed Fund Balance - This balance represents amounts constrained for a specific purpose by a government using its highest level of decision-making authority (District Board). Amounts remain committed until action is taken by the District Board (resolution) to remove or revise the limitations.

Assigned Fund Balance - This balance includes amounts constrained for the intent to be used for a specific purpose by the District Board that has been delegated authority to assign amounts by the Charter.

Unassigned Fund Balance - This balance represents fund balance in the General Fund in excess of nonspendable, restricted, committed and assigned fund balance. If another governmental fund has a fund balance deficit, it is reported as a negative amount in unassigned fund balance.

In the government-wide financial statements, net position is classified in the following categories:

Net Investment in Capital Assets - This category represents all capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and related debt.

Restricted Net Position - This category represents amounts restricted to use by outside parties. Restricted net position as of December 31, 2014 represents unexpended bond proceeds.

Unrestricted Net Position - This category represents the net position of the District not restricted for use.

2. STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

A. Budgetary Information

During the last quarter of the year, the ensuing year's proposed operating budget, including proposed expenditures/expenses and the means of financing them, is compiled by the Finance Department based upon estimates submitted by the various departments.

The proposed operating budget is then published in line item format in one or more local newspapers servicing the District for a period of three consecutive days, excluding holidays and Sundays. Prior to January 1 of the new year, the published budget is submitted to the District Board for acceptance and adoption.

Annual operating budgets are legally adopted for the General Fund and the Water Utility Enterprise Fund. A fund budget was adopted for the Hydroelectric Development Project. Formal budgetary integration is employed as a management control device for these funds. The unencumbered balance of appropriations in the General Fund lapses at year end. Encumbered appropriations are carried forward. Except for encumbrance accounting, the General Fund budget is prepared on a modified accrual basis. Budgetary and actual data in this report have been presented on a budgetary basis. Since accounting principles applied for purposes of developing data on a budgetary basis differ significantly from those used to present financial statements in conformity with GAAP, the reconciliation of resultant basis, timing and perspective differences appear at the bottom of the actual vs. budget schedule. The legal level of budgetary control is at the functional level. Any revisions that alter total appropriations at the level of control must have the prior approval of the Board of Finance and the District Board. The amendments were made in the legally permissible manner described above. There were no amendments or supplemental appropriations made during the year.

Budgetary integration is employed on a continuing (project length) basis for capital projects funds, in which appropriations do not lapse at year end, but rather at the completion of the construction relating to a specific improvement project. Formal budgetary integration is not employed for the Debt Service Fund because effective budgetary control is alternatively achieved through general obligation bond and note indenture provisions.

Encumbrance accounting, under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditures of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation, is employed as an extension of formal budgetary integration in the General Fund. Encumbrances outstanding at year end recorded in budgetary reports as expenditures of the current year, whereas, on a GAAP basis, encumbrances are recorded as either restricted, committed or assigned fund balance depending on the level of restriction.

B. Deficit Fund Equity

Certain individual funds had fund balance deficits at December 31, 2014 as follows:

General Purpose Sewer 2013	\$ 8,636,690
Other Information Systems	13,003,447
General Purpose Sewer 2009	3,161,378
General Purpose Sewer 2008	748,795
General Purpose Sewer 2007	29,720
General Purpose Sewer 2005	612,733
Connecticut River Cleanup Phase II	30,655
WPC Facilities Improvements	8,699,497
Information Systems Development	1,454,576
Maple Avenue Phase II	7,946
Upper Albany Avenue	911,392
Long-Term Control Plan	7,122,415
Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvement	812
Inflow & Infiltration Master Plan	38,672
Wastewater Pump Station Improvement	320,379
Long-Term Strategic Initiatives	192,720
Emergency Generator Replacements	2,713,439
2006 Incinerator Upgrade	676
2007 Facility & Buildings Improvements	3,950,805
Capital Mgt. Oper. & Maint. (CMOM)	2,536,368
Wastewater Treat. Facility Improvements	2,915,151
Infrastruct Replace and Improv	2,801,976
Vehicle and Equipment Replace	1,432,564
SCADA System Imps	1,790,720
CMOM Compliance	4,708,783
2007 Wastewater Trt. Fac. Sec. & Comm. Imp	81,978
Wastewater Collection System Improvement	14,694,612
Renewable Energy Fund	44,015
Sanitary Sewer Overflow Construction	5,104,466
Engineering & Technical Services	12,123,304
Survey & Construction Services	14,484,974
Land Improvements	9,206
CIP Other	34
Mid-Connecticut Project	30,485,009
Internal Service	3,674,334

The Capital Projects Funds' deficits have arisen because bonds and loans authorized for these projects have not yet been issued. When the bonds and loans are issued, or the General Fund appropriates and transfers amounts to these funds, the deficits will be eliminated.

3. DETAILED NOTES ON ALL FUNDS

A. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

The deposit of public funds is controlled by the Connecticut General Statutes (Section 7-402). Deposits may be made in a “qualified public depository” as defined by Statute or, in amounts not exceeding the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance limit, in an “out of state bank” as defined by the Statutes, which is not a “qualified public depository.”

The Connecticut General Statutes (Section 7-400) permit municipalities to invest in: 1) obligations of the United States and its agencies, 2) highly rated obligations of any state of the United States or of any political subdivision, authority or agency thereof, and 3) shares or other interests in custodial arrangements or pools maintaining constant net asset values and in highly rated no-load open end money market and mutual funds (with constant or fluctuating net asset values) whose portfolios are limited to obligations of the United States and its agencies, and repurchase agreements fully collateralized by such obligations. Other provisions of the Statutes cover specific municipal funds with particular investment authority. The provisions of the Statutes regarding the investment of municipal pension funds do not specify permitted investments. Therefore, investment of such funds is generally controlled by the laws applicable to fiduciaries and the provisions of the applicable plan.

The Statutes (Sections 3-24f and 3-27f) also provide for investment in shares of the State Short-Term Investment Fund (STIF) and the State Tax Exempt Proceeds Fund (TEPF). These investment pools are under the control of the State Treasurer, with oversight provided by the Treasurer’s Cash Management Advisory Board, and are regulated under the State Statutes and subject to annual audit by the Auditors of Public Accounts. Investment yields are accounted for on an amortized-cost basis with an investment portfolio that is designed to attain a market-average rate of return throughout budgetary and economic cycles. Investors accrue interest daily based on actual earnings, less expenses and transfers to the designated surplus reserve, and the fair value of the position in the pool is the same as the value of the pool shares.

Deposits

Deposit Custodial Credit Risk - Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of a bank failure, the District’s deposit will not be returned. The District does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. The deposit of public funds is controlled by the Connecticut General Statutes. Deposits may be placed with any qualified public depository that has its principal place of business in the State of Connecticut. Connecticut General Statutes require that each depository maintain segregated collateral (not required to be based on a security agreement between the depository and the municipality and, therefore, not perfected in accordance with federal law) in an amount equal to a defined percentage of its public deposits based upon the depository’s risk-based capital ratio.

Based on the criteria described in GASB Statement No. 40, *Deposits and Investment Risk Disclosures*, \$12,708,783 of the District's bank balance of \$13,093,169 was exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

Uninsured and uncollateralized	\$ 11,412,905
Uninsured and collateral held by the pledging bank's trust department, not in the District's name	<u>1,295,878</u>
Total Amount Subject to Custodial Credit Risk	<u><u>\$ 12,708,783</u></u>

Cash Equivalents

At December 31, 2014, the District's cash equivalents amounted to \$63,785,714. The following table provides a summary of the District's cash equivalents as rated by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. The pool has maturities of less than one year.

	<u>Standard & Poor's</u>
State Short-Term Investment Fund (STIF)	AAAm

Investments

As of December 31, 2014, the District's Pension Trust Fund had the following investments:

<u>Investment Type</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Fiduciary Type:	
CIF International Research Equity Fund	\$ 19,601,591
CIF Research Equity Fund	90,146,685
CIF Small Cap 2000	22,983,082
CIF Global Bond	23,313,667
Aetna Pooled Portfolio	30,484,528
Real Estate	<u>3,060,000</u>
Total	<u><u>\$ 189,589,553</u></u>

The above investments have no rating or maturity.

Interest Rate Risk - The District does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. To the extent possible, the District attempts to match its investments with anticipated cash flow requirements.

Credit Risk - Investments - As indicated above, State Statutes limit the investment options of the District. The District has no investment policy that would limit its investment choices due to credit risk.

Concentration of Credit Risk - The District has no policy limiting an investment in any one issuer that is in excess of 5% of the District's total investments.

Custodial Credit Risk - Custodial credit risk for an investment is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (the institution that pledges collateral or repurchase agreement securities to the District or that sells investments to or buys them for the District), the District will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. At December 31, 2014, the District did not have any uninsured and unregistered securities held by the counterparty, or by its trust department or agent that were not in the District's name.

B. Receivables

Receivables as of year end for the District's individual major funds in the aggregate, including the applicable allowances for uncollectible amounts, are as follows:

	<u>General</u>	<u>2006 Clean Water Project Referendum</u>	<u>Nonmajor Funds</u>	<u>Water Utility</u>	<u>Mid- Connecticut Project</u>	<u>Hydroelectric Development Project</u>	<u>Internal Service Fund</u>	<u>Total</u>
Sewer use charges	\$ 1,075,417	\$ 15,380,195	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 16,455,612
Customers and employees	1,797,762			25,680,450		167,379		27,645,591
Assessments			2,223,876	1,070,853				3,294,729
Accrued interest			354,140	190,924				545,064
Intergovernmental		10,307,477						10,307,477
Other	461,535		20,138		54,332,895		738,868	55,553,436
Gross receivables	<u>3,334,714</u>	<u>25,687,672</u>	<u>2,598,154</u>	<u>26,942,227</u>	<u>54,332,895</u>	<u>167,379</u>	<u>738,868</u>	<u>113,801,909</u>
Less allowance for uncollectibles	<u>1,142,094</u>	<u>857,115</u>	<u>842,715</u>	<u>3,689,818</u>	<u>9,864,412</u>		<u>928</u>	<u>16,397,082</u>
Net Total Receivables	<u>\$ 2,192,620</u>	<u>\$ 24,830,557</u>	<u>\$ 1,755,439</u>	<u>\$ 23,252,409</u>	<u>\$ 44,468,483</u>	<u>\$ 167,379</u>	<u>\$ 737,940</u>	<u>\$ 97,404,827</u>

C. Interfund Receivables, Payables and Transfers

Interfund loans are generally used to transfer monies as a result of cash flow. Interfund receivables and payables balances at December 31, 2014 are as follows:

<u>Receivable Fund</u>	<u>Payable Fund</u>	<u>Amount</u>
General Fund	Mid-Connecticut Project Fund	\$ <u>7,261,523</u>
Water Utility Fund	General Fund	10,781
	Mid-Connecticut Project Fund	<u>436,924</u>
		<u>447,705</u>
Total		\$ <u>7,709,228</u>

Interfund transfers are generally used to supplement revenues of other funds. Interfund transfers for the year ended December 31, 2014 were as follows:

	<u>Transfers In</u>	
	<u>Debt Service Fund</u>	<u>Transfers Out</u>
Transfers out:		
General Fund	\$ 15,427,552	\$ 15,427,552
Water Utility Fund	1,556,735	1,556,735
2006 Clean Water Project Referendum	<u>19,931,392</u>	<u>19,931,392</u>
Total Transfers In	<u>\$ 36,915,679</u>	<u>\$ 36,915,679</u>

D. Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the year ended December 31, 2014 was as follows:

	<u>Beginning Balance</u>	<u>Increases</u>	<u>Decreases</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>Ending Balance</u>
Governmental activities:					
Capital assets not being depreciated:					
Land	\$ 643,754	\$	\$	\$	\$ 643,754
Construction in progress	711,484,656	177,685,448	86,748,409		802,421,695
Total capital assets not being depreciated	<u>712,128,410</u>	<u>177,685,448</u>	<u>86,748,409</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>803,065,449</u>
Capital assets being depreciated:					
Buildings	226,452,903	17,853,775	22,114		244,284,564
Machinery and equipment	32,073,665	6,107,263	311,834		37,869,094
Infrastructure	341,512,369	51,328,083	47,648		392,792,804
Total capital assets being depreciated	<u>600,038,937</u>	<u>75,289,121</u>	<u>381,596</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>674,946,462</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Buildings	111,152,314	6,189,449	12,255		117,329,508
Machinery and equipment	13,330,236	1,886,845	306,647		14,910,434
Infrastructure	153,594,819	4,227,267	18,635		157,803,451
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>278,077,369</u>	<u>12,303,561</u>	<u>337,537</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>290,043,393</u>
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	<u>321,961,568</u>	<u>62,985,560</u>	<u>44,059</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>384,903,069</u>
Governmental Activities Capital Assets, Net	<u>\$ 1,034,089,978</u>	<u>\$ 240,671,008</u>	<u>\$ 86,792,468</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,187,968,518</u>
Business-type activities:					
Capital assets not being depreciated:					
Land	\$ 9,548,683	\$ 107,416	\$	\$	\$ 9,656,099
Construction in progress	173,923,984	25,197,513	33,540,557		165,580,940
Total capital assets not being depreciated	<u>183,472,667</u>	<u>25,304,929</u>	<u>33,540,557</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>175,237,039</u>
Capital assets being depreciated:					
Buildings	101,017,003	8,703,412			109,720,415
Machinery and equipment	28,551,045	4,254,026	1,178,664	(4,318,332)	27,308,075
Infrastructure	285,317,878	40,206,263	8,119,793	4,318,332	321,722,680
Total capital assets being depreciated	<u>414,885,926</u>	<u>53,163,701</u>	<u>9,298,457</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>458,751,170</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Buildings	44,700,796	2,674,896			47,375,692
Machinery and equipment	16,144,865	1,742,758	1,077,464	(726,919)	16,083,240
Infrastructure	113,615,340	10,944,384	7,934,982	726,919	117,351,661
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>174,461,001</u>	<u>15,362,038</u>	<u>9,012,446</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>180,810,593</u>
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	<u>240,424,925</u>	<u>37,801,663</u>	<u>286,011</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>277,940,577</u>
Business-Type Activities Capital Assets, Net	<u>\$ 423,897,592</u>	<u>\$ 63,106,592</u>	<u>\$ 33,826,568</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 453,177,616</u>

Depreciation expense was charged to functions/programs of the District as follows:

Governmental activities:	
General government	\$ 744,764
Engineering	93,214
Operations	5,092,067
Plant and maintenance	<u>6,373,516</u>
Total Depreciation Expense - Governmental Activities	\$ <u>12,303,561</u>
Business-type activities:	
Water	\$ 15,208,030
Hydroelectric	<u>154,008</u>
Total Depreciation Expense - Business-Type Activities	\$ <u>15,362,038</u>

Construction Commitments

The government has active construction projects as of December 31, 2014. At year end, the District's commitments with contractors for governmental activities are as follows:

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Spent to Date</u>	<u>Remaining Commitment</u>
2014 Facilities Improvements	\$ 823,039	\$ 2,176,961
2008 District Facility Improvements	670,134	29,866
2008 Security System Upgrades	410,203	389,797
2008 Board Room Communication Impr	56,279	243,721
Long Term Strategic Initiat	657,315	342,685
Risk Management Initiatives	684,148	1,040,852
Facility & Bldg Impr Headquarter	1,179,393	23,781
Ada Handi Access Impro	353,039	146,961
Upgrade Motor Oil Dispensin	272,054	127,946
Pump Station Radio/Antenna Upgr	1,027,542	2,458
2010 Facility & Equipment Improvements	220,336	223,664
Financing Cost	3,375,311	224,689
Information System Improvement#2	1,644,676	355,324
Cmms Phase II	374,417	2,265,583
2011 GIS Enterprise System Dev.	300,709	835,291
2010 Vehicle & Equipment Replacement	207,324	1,072,776
Headquarter Renovation Program	1,580,187	50,010
2011 Facility & Equipment Improvemen	758,995	641,005
2011 IS Improvements Hardware	614,874	85,126
2011 Security and SCADA	97,340	902,660
2011 Pump Station Generators	3,745,247	1,054,753
2011 Headquarters Renovation	1,350,033	149,967
2012 Brainard Rd Building	47	3,205,953
2012 Hdq Parking Garage	239,761	2,855,239
2012 Information Tech Finance Costs	2,740,689	259,311
2012 IT Security Improvements	718,235	4,281,765
2012 Facility Improvement Prg	1,648,406	851,594

Project Name	Spent to Date	Remaining Commitment
2012 Technical Services	\$ 1,111,010	\$ 388,990
2013 Communications Systems Upgrad	6,215	993,785
2013 Land Improvements	142,760	7,240
2013 Facilities Improvement Program	946,187	1,053,813
2013 Information TechnologySAP/OR	3,624,038	1,375,962
Pitney Bowes Project	167,368	4,832,632
2013 Information TechnologyHardwa	3,711,609	1,288,391
2013 Survey & Construction	5,564,440	35,560
2013 Engineering Services	2,479,854	20,147
2013 Construction Services	3,873,107	126,893
2013 Technical Services	2,940,924	59,076
Implementation SAP Sftwre/Supprt/Lic	4,820,684	179,316
2014 Survey & Construction	3,915,894	1,084,106
2014 Engineering Services	2,822,978	177,022
2014 Construction Services	2,498,069	1,001,931
2014 Technical Services	2,303,181	1,296,819
Mountain Farms,WH	222,490	2,035,440
2002 Assessable Sewer Program	50,869	749,131
2003 Tunxis Road, West Hartford	323,256	476,744
2000 Aspreservoir #6Outlet Sewer	1,284,489	192,060
2004 Assessable Sewer Program	9,956	990,044
SRPLove Lane, Hartford	13,153	365,847
2002 Silas Deane Highway Pump Station	6,211	1,993,789
2002 Upper Albany Area CsoPhase I Construction	4,245,819	114,181
Inflow & Infiltration Master Plan	4,272,567	1,633
2006GPSVarious Sewer Rehabilitation	304,251	2,480,749
2006 GPS Reserve	220,718	79,282
2006Wastewater Pump Station Imp.	906,978	93,023
2006GPS West Normandy Drive, WH	133,097	81,903
2003 Sewer Rehabilitation Projects	170,568	89,432
2008 CMOM Equipment & Staffing	1,898,063	3,101,937
2007 Wastewater Treatment Fac Imps	3,737,942	862,058
SIVLCornwall Street, Hartford (186)	370,110	4,890
Longterm Control Plan	11,913,181	3,886,819
2014 Sewer Renewable Energy Projects	54,957	495,043
2014 DW Sewer Asset Mngmnt Prgm	367	999,633
2014 Levee Protect System Improve.	40,981	3,059,019
2014 Pump Station Rainbow Trunk, Win	314	4,999,686
2014 PS UpgradeBurnside E. Hartford	1,541	3,898,459
2014 General Purpose Sewer	23,258	1,976,742
2014 Sewer Rehabilitation Program	1,831,529	3,168,471
2014 Various Sewr Pipe Replace/Rehab	162,972	4,837,028
2014 Sewer RehabMadison Ave, Hartfo	53,629	946,372
2014 Sewer Ext. Marsh St, Weth.	17,754	3,582,246
2006 SSO Program	22,509,015	7,490,985
2014 Equip & Facilities Refurb	124,379	1,275,621
2014 WPC Renewal & Replacements	1,250,022	999,978
2014 Hrtfrd WPCF W. Primary Settling	1,840,558	49,442
2008 GPS Park River 51" Interceptor Rep	1,219	298,781
2008 WPC Infrastructure Repl & Imps	1,181,624	818,376
2008 GPS Airport Road Htfd Rep Main	1,491	148,509
Cmom Compliance	1,488,167	3,511,833
2009 Cmom Cap Equipment Only	1,800,200	3,199,800
2009 General Purpose Sewer Program	1,081,268	218,732
WPC Infra Repl & Impr	3,801,651	648,349
Hartford Odor Control Constr	2,024,247	2,863,753

Project Name	Spent to Date	Remaining Commitment
Victoria Road, Hartford	\$ 181,976	\$ 1,128,024
2008 GPS Fishfry PS	196,139	71,050
Improvements to SCADA System	2,158,519	341,481
Cmom OnCall Repair	2,594,795	905,205
Wastewater Trt Fac, Sed,& Comm	367,275	2,832,725
Curcombe St Pump Station Repl	13,115	786,885
2013 Curcombe Street WWPS Hartfo	87,234	322,766
Cedar St Hartford Storm Drain	999,076	200,924
Mansfield St. Htfd. Sewer Rep.	1,000	999,000
Cedar St Htfd Sewer Main Replacemnt	1,164,606	235,394
Backwater Valve Prgm	313,432	36,568
Private Property Disc	500	149,500
2010 CMOM Compliance Staffing	2,788,532	211,468
General Purpose Sewer	224,111	577,889
Sewer Pump Station Improv	372,009	150,991
WPC Electrical System	1,087,724	3,192,276
2010 Dividend Brook Drainage	207,480	4,592,520
Huyshope St Sewer Rehab Htfd	232,267	2,723,733
EHWPCF Screen & Grit	2,394,373	1,428,627
WPC Renewal & Replacement	1,458,084	541,916
2010 GPS New Park Ave	1,848,652	51,348
Cmom Goff Brook South Branch	1,394,182	227,338
2009 GPS Erosion Slope Repair, RH	129,690	155,110
2011 CMOM Compliance	1,865,386	934,614
2011 GPS	329,261	113,446
2011 GPS EH Main St High St	1,397,205	105,665
2011 Sewer Pump Station Rehab.	987,422	1,012,578
2011 WPC Electronic Development	140,049	1,609,951
2011 WPC Equipment & Facility Refurb	964,351	235,649
2011 WPC Renewal & Replacements	1,158,329	1,091,671
2009 Goff Brook South Branch II	3,211,761	8,239
2012 Sewer Infrastructure Design	313,201	166,799
2012 General Purpose Sewer	909,163	4,090,837
2012 Sanitary Sewer Retreat Ave	606,928	4,393,072
2012 S Pump Sta Mohawk St EH	154,908	349,092
2013 Mohawk WWPS East Hartford	139,171	10,829
2012 S Pump Sta Ridge St WI	63,626	521,374
2013 Ridge Street WWPS Windsor	299,975	330,025
2012 Sewer Gate Replacement Prg	469,028	826,972
2012 S Pump Sta Fishfry St HA	38,744	1,433,256
2012 Sewer Four Mile Rd WH	5,133,677	66,323
2012 Sewer Woodland Ave BL	197,787	1,112,213
2012 Sewer Montclair Dr WH	96,154	2,545,846
2012 Sanitary Sewer Replacement	2,346,925	1,592,075
2012 Backwater Valve Program	6,430	533,570
2012 Sewer Rehabilaiton Prg	1,848,613	651,387
2012 EHWPC Screening Install	351,429	4,398,571
2012 WPC Equip & Fac Refurb	516,478	683,522
2012 HWPC Solids Project	642,940	4,157,060
2012 WPC Renewal & Replacement	1,968,410	1,031,590
2006 University Htd PS	166,224	180,951
2013 Windsor Interceptor/NM1 (Des	2,859	1,887,141
2013 Rocky Hill Interceptor	432,143	337,858
2013 Park St Sanitary Swr Imprv	2,417	2,137,583
2013 Park St Sanitary Swr Imprv	3,169	4,456,831
2013 Park St Storm Swr Imprvmnts H	2,444	4,457,556
2013 Chateau Woods/High Path Rd WW	462,232	37,768
2013 Sewer Gate ReplaceCollection	615	4,309,385
2013 Harvest Lane WWPS Windsor	289,362	210,638
2013 Meadow Street WWPS Newingto	468,108	131,892

Project Name	Spent to Date	Remaining Commitment
2013 Motts WWPS Wethersfield	\$ 49,870	\$ 460,130
2013 Brookside Street WWPS Newin	34,514	3,205,486
2013 Backwater Valve Program	2,000	748,000
2013 Oakwood Sewer Improvements, W	169,480	4,390,520
2013 Pheasant Lane Swr Main Constr	13,263	536,737
2013 Various Sewr Pipe Replacement	2,293,779	2,646,221
2013 General Purpose Sewer Program	122,601	227,399
2013 Sewer Rehab Program	2,008,744	491,256
2013 WPC HWPCF Cake Storage & Grav	15,739	724,261
2013 WPC Equipment & Facility Refu	992,340	267,660
2013 WPC Plant Infra. Renewal & Re	4,663	1,085,337
2013 SCADA Upgrades	37,962	1,222,038
2008 Assessable Sewer Program	150,441	149,559
Tunxis Rd/Wood Pond Rd W Htfd	2,029,800	570,200
Assessable Sewer	196,131	2,707,869
Assesable Sewer Mountain Road. W.H.	2,846,067	2,153,933
Orchard Road, West Hartford	289,122	310,878
2010 255 Back Lane, Newington	18,558	247,442
2010 1037 Windsor Ave.	119,596	5,804
2009 1200 Windsor Ave.	10,903	134,897
2012 Assessable Sewer Prg (2003)	881	219,119
2009 Woodpond North Pump Station	969,347	630,653
Clean Water Fund Project Phase 1 and Phase 2	<u>582,452,428</u>	<u>217,547,572</u>
Total	\$ <u>779,082,832</u>	\$ <u>419,546,778</u>

The District's commitments with contractors for construction in process for business-type activities are as follows:

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Spent to Date</u>	<u>Remaining Commitment</u>
2000 Dam Safety Impr. Reservoir #2	\$ 4,547,775	\$ 452,225
Water System Improvements Form 105	2,518,811	1,481,189
2009 GIS Land Base Database Development	456,109	118,891
2003 Reserve	36,250	113,750
Bloomfield Water Treatment Improvements	2,033,434	1,566,566
Bloomfield Water Treatment Improvements	2,301,182	698,818
2006 Water Pump Station Improvement	1,235,784	371,418
2006 - 36" Water Main Replacement	3,053,250	1,646,750
2007 Water Assessable Program	245,476	729,524
2007 Asset Management Water Administrati	6,101,765	1,107,635
2007 Treatment Facility Upgrades	1,207,523	-
2007 Asset Management Planning & Testing	1,378,032	15,559
2007 A M NonCSO Capital Ave. Htfd	2,853,081	859,798
2007 A M NonCSO Buckingham St Htfd	70,854	659,146
2007 A M NonCSO Church St Htfd	349,068	733,932
2007 Water Water Supply Facility Improvements	1,324,513	125,487
2007 GPWP Mohawk Dr. West Hartford	537,132	4,915
2006 Water Distribution Imps Oak St Phase II	2,002,547	1,797,453
A M Non-CSO Tunxis Avenue, Bloomfield	1,583,115	165,885
2008 Filtered Water Basin Interconnection	1,535,254	464,746
2008 WH Access & Security Improvements	2,432,462	67,538
2008 Water Supply Facility Improvements	1,794,583	405,417
2008 Assessable Water	73,590	76,410
2008 Radio Frequency Automated Meter Rea	5,049,109	30,791
2008 East Farmington Water Main Install	1,309,073	2,190,927
2008 AM-Non CSO Park Road West Hartford	6,646	793,954
2008 Farmington Avenue Water Main Replacement	1,016,617	359,870
2008 Transmission Valve Replacement	307,591	1,692,409
Tower Avenue North Water Main Rep. 2007	3,350,156	284,844
Water Main Framington Ave Hart	146,465	1,923,535
Farmington Water Main Inst W.H	1,214,683	165,317
CSO Related Assets	541,506	1,618,494
2009 GEN Purpose Water	2,068,891	1,446,109
2009 NONCSO REL Projects	1,841,227	2,458,773
09 Transmission Valve Repl	137,235	3,362,765
Automated Metr Reading	4,753,813	246,187
Water Main Vlve Repl	74,279	2,225,721
Oak St E Hfd Water Main Work	26,586	1,123,414
Water Trmt Facility Impr	2,251,827	533,173
Mid Franklin	354,800	1,083,805
Cold Spring Dr Blfd Water Main	586,190	913,810
Cedar St Htf Water Main Repl	1,028,024	87,362
2009 Assessable Water	88,729	1,142,271
Goodrich & South Rd Farmington	703,956	796,044
2008 Land Aquisition	525,786	24,214
2008 Watershed Road Rehab	123,652	676,348
Whiting Ln W.H, Water Main Rep	617,769	82,231
Water Supply Fac Improvements	2,434,757	65,243
Water Facilities Security	2,587,266	1,904,734
Hydraulic Computer Modeling	837,241	1,512,759
Water Main Relace W Htfd	175,348	224,652
Water Main Repl Wethersfield	261,463	338,537
Water Main Repl Bloomfield	362,332	37,668
2010 Radio Frequency	4,589,823	256,977

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Spent to Date</u>	<u>Remaining Commitment</u>
Water Main Replacement Windsor	\$ 59,098	\$ 340,902
Water Main Re Farmington av W H	1,258,499	100,901
Water Main Repl Norwood Rd	3,824	121,176
Water Pump Station Replace	777,288	4,022,712
Water Treatment Fac Upgrade	4,125,036	828,314
2010 Dam Safety RES.#2	601,715	713,285
2010 Dam Safety RES.#3	59,771	1,255,229
CWP WaterMain Broad St. HTFD	56,170	443,830
Water Main Replace E. Htfd	534,367	465,633
CWP WaterMain Guilford Pliny	2,686,576	420,424
CWP WaterMain Warner/Manz	3,387,920	32,080
CWP WaterMain Cleveland/Main	1,755,844	277,156
CWP WaterMain Wethersfield Av #3	621,505	2,070,495
2008 CSO Assets Burton St. WMR	2,497,156	767,170
09CSO Farmington 1A	4,321,212	846,633
Burnham Acres, South Windsor	397,550	380,950
2011 Radio Frequency Automated Meter	1,459,787	40,213
2011 TMR Hamilton St&Park Terr,Htfd,	176,196	1,323,804
2011 Water Supply CIP	498,061	1,101,939
2011 WHWTFNorth Storage Tank Inst.	2,100,055	2,699,945
2011 CWP Water Main Replacement	475,540	1,182,558
2011 Water Pump Station Improvements	652,317	547,683
2011 WMRCottage Grove Rd, Bloomfiel	48,130	1,401,870
2011 GPW	341,701	575,299
2011 WMRColony Road, West Hartford	478,800	221,200
2011 WMRPine St & Auburn Rd, WH	360,819	339,181
2011 WMRLongview Dr,Talcott and PHE	62,215	1,637,785
2012 RF Program	928,391	4,071,609
2012 Water Rehab Program	641,175	858,825
2011 Water Treatment Facility Upgrad	896,610	78,830
2011 Water Storage Upgradesphase I	25,000	2,475,000
2012 Paving Program	3,920,041	1,079,959
2012 Wtr Main Replacement Retreat	3,297,613	796,387
2012 WTR Infrstrctr DesignDistrict	338,283	141,717
2012 Wtr Main ReplaceFamington	2,493,716	1,006,284
2011 CWP WMRFenway St., Hartford	522,857	70,144
2012 GPW Huyshope	1,520,030	2,479,970
2012 Water Treatment Upgrade	1,687,687	812,313
2012 CWP W/M Replace S. Maple East	2,712,635	37,365
2012 CWP W/M Replace S. Maple West	2,266,691	1,733,309
2011 Assessable Water	800,864	699,136
2011 WMR Franklin 13	1,431,570	24,836
2011 CWP Water Main Replace A	1,435,646	656,256
2011 Ground Water Development	306,448	4,693,552
2012 Pump Station UpgradeCanal Road	1,580,812	198,188
2012 Dam SafetyGoodwin/Saville	1,857,645	182,355
2013 Water Rehabilitation Program	491,229	508,771
2013 Pitkin St. Water Main Replace	106,218	1,208,782
2013 CWPCChurch StWM/Main St to H	316,070	4,253,930
2013 Paving Program	2,557,355	1,642,645
2013 CWP WM Replace	3,109	4,446,891
2012 Asset Mngmnt Computer Model Enh	5,451	390,549
2012 W/M ReplacementMontclair Dr WH	29,652	427,348
2013 CWPCapital Ave WM Replace, H	72,332	197,668

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Spent to Date</u>	<u>Remaining Commitment</u>
2012 Wtr Service RplcmntsDist Wide	\$ 295	\$ 479,705
2013 Water Supply Generators	218,403	2,931,597
2013 CWPWM Rplc Portion of Park S	1,155	1,798,845
2013 Water Treatment Facilities Up	358,469	1,801,531
2011 WMR East Hartford	60,657	2,389,343
2013 BLWTF Filtered Water Basin Im	653,601	4,346,399
2012 Wtr Storage Tank Safety Upgrade	2,435	836,565
2013 Oakwood Wtr Main Replace, W.	110,409	1,739,591
2012 P/S Upgrade Newing & Glaston	626,080	3,573,920
2013 Wickham Hill Basins East Ha	3,338,896	1,641,104
WMR Franklin #13, Frank	413,691	1,686,309
2011 DAM Safetu ImprovementsRes #6	501,733	828,267
2013 Asset Management Water Main R	503,811	3,956,189
2011 CWP WMRenfield St. , Hartford	28	684,972
2014 Radio Frequency AMR	870,529	4,129,471
2014 Water Rehab Program	434,921	565,079
2014 WTF Upgrade	435,877	1,864,123
Res 6 Distrib. Vault	37,782	1,962,218
2014 Water Renewable Energy Projects	163,805	1,336,195
Glastonbury Infr. Installation	62,819	1,252,181
2014 Collinsville WTP Emer Generator	32,665	1,467,335
2012 Asset Management Construct. Adm	3,439	2,496,561
2014 Simsbury Rd Water PS Blmfld	171	1,379,829
2013 Center St Wtr Main Replace, Htfd	188	599,812
2014 Phelps Brook Dam/East Dike	87,742	282,258
2014 Wethersfield Water Pump Station	628	4,999,372
2014 Kilkenny Water Transmission	335,741	4,114,259
2012 Water Storage Basin Upgrades	56,843	643,157
2014 Paving Program	2,424,297	575,703
2012 Dike Penetration & Correct. Ins	200	2,159,800
CA/RE for Pipe and Site Work N. Tank	121,858	1,067,142
Res 6 Raw Water Bypass Mod.	24,030	432,970
2014 Orchard St Water Pump Station G	3,985	996,015
2011 Watershed Land	42,862	1,557,138
2014 WH WTF 6 MG Filtered Wtr Basin	756	1,149,244
2014 DW Wtr Asset Management Progra	336	3,514,664
2012 Structure Abandonment	374	4,299,626
2008 General Purpose Water Program	752,941	347,059
2008 Canal Road Storage Tank #2 Improve	1,287,290	312,710
Water Main Replacement Hartford	233,886	4,336,114
Dam Safety RES.#1	841,582	158,418
CWP WaterMain Farmington Av	2,127,587	1,002,413
2010 General Purpose Water	1,490,301	860,699
2010 Dam Safety - Nepaug, Phelps, E Dike	281,406	4,662,594
2011 Linden Place WMR	558,018	441,982
2011 Design of WMR Outside of CWP	291,783	1,218,217
2012 Frmgtn Ave WH W/M Install	1,617,036	250,964
2013 Radio Frequency AMR	1,365,650	3,634,350
2013 Buckingham WPS - Glastonbury	1,164,829	575,171
2014 General Purpsoe Water Program	78,412	3,421,588
2014 Various Trnsmssn Main D/C	74,631	4,925,369
2013 General Purpose Water Program	2,158,720	1,701,280
2011 WMR Hartford	70,266	1,045,120
Total	\$ <u>167,412,555</u>	\$ <u>203,029,517</u>

The commitments are being financed with general obligation bonds and state and federal grants.

E. Bond Anticipation Notes

The District uses bond anticipation notes during the construction period of various projects prior to the issuance of the bonds at the completion of the project.

The District issued the following bond anticipation notes during 2014:

<u>Issuance Date</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>	<u>Maturity Date</u>
March 24, 2014:			
A	\$ 52,762,000	\$ 0.50%	8/7/14
B	100,000,000	1.00%	12/5/14
C	90,459,000	1.00%	3/23/15
D	35,000,000	1.00%	3/23/15
June 27, 2014			
E	15,000,000	0.75%	3/23/15
F	40,000,000	1.125%	12/5/14
December 4, 2014:			
G	23,000,000	0.50%	3/23/15

Bond anticipation note transactions for the year ended December 31, 2014 were as follows:

Outstanding - December 31, 2013	\$ 254,721,000
New borrowings	356,221,000
Repayments	<u>(447,483,000)</u>
Outstanding - December 31, 2014	<u>\$ 163,459,000</u>

Subsequent Events

The District issued general obligation bond anticipation notes as follows:

<u>Series</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Premium</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>	<u>Maturity Date</u>
A	\$ 81,500,000	\$ 885,905	1.25%	3/18/16
B	35,000,000	1,072,400	5.00%	11/4/15

F. Operating Leases

Total operating lease payments for the year ended December 31, 2014 were \$363,014. Commitments under an operating lease agreement with the Army Corps of Engineers for water storage rights require minimum annual rental payments, including interest at 3.14% over 50 years. The total cost of this lease was \$204,222 for the year ended December 31, 2014. Other operating lease payments for office equipment totaled \$158,792 for the year ended December 31, 2014. Future operating lease commitments are as follows:

	<u>Amount</u>
2015	\$ 349,772
2016	284,627
2017	271,175
2018	258,389
2019	<u>216,549</u>
Total	<u>\$ 1,380,512</u>

G. Long-Term Debt

Changes in Long-Term Liabilities

Long-term liability activity for the year ended December 31, 2014 was as follows:

	<u>Beginning Balance</u>	<u>Increases</u>	<u>Decreases</u>	<u>Ending Balance</u>	<u>Due Within One Year</u>
Governmental Activities:					
General obligation bonds	\$ 170,921,215	\$ 37,509,648	\$ 20,186,138	\$ 188,244,725	\$ 10,645,022
Revenue bonds	85,000,000	140,000,000	1,000,000	224,000,000	3,510,000
Premiums	<u>14,047,043</u>	<u>25,774,709</u>	<u>1,502,912</u>	<u>38,318,840</u>	
Total bonds payable	269,968,258	203,284,357	22,689,050	450,563,565	14,155,022
Clean water fund loans	197,977,858	36,841,772	12,117,639	222,701,991	76,272,308
Compensated absences	2,834,700	2,275,681	2,129,860	2,980,521	333,179
OPEB obligation	<u>15,643,163</u>	<u>4,541,983</u>		<u>20,185,146</u>	
Total Governmental Activities Long-Term Liabilities	<u>\$ 486,423,979</u>	<u>\$ 246,943,793</u>	<u>\$ 36,936,549</u>	<u>\$ 696,431,223</u>	<u>\$ 90,760,509</u>
Business-Type Activities:					
General obligation bonds	\$ 99,783,769	\$ 25,070,352	\$ 10,583,846	\$ 114,270,275	\$ 7,149,977
Premiums	<u>3,487,545</u>	<u>3,521,375</u>	<u>617,343</u>	<u>6,391,577</u>	
Total bonds payable	103,271,314	28,591,727	11,201,189	120,661,852	7,149,977
Drinking water fund loans	20,602,949	8,989,071	802,423	28,789,597	19,381,959
Compensated absences	2,929,644	2,066,691	2,085,179	2,911,156	304,615
Net pension obligation	20,428,295			20,428,295	
OPEB obligation	<u>30,105,132</u>	<u>5,551,314</u>		<u>35,656,446</u>	
Total Business-Type Activities Long-Term Liabilities	<u>\$ 177,337,334</u>	<u>\$ 45,198,803</u>	<u>\$ 14,088,791</u>	<u>\$ 208,447,346</u>	<u>\$ 26,836,551</u>

For the governmental activities, claims and judgments, pension obligation, OPEB obligation and compensated absences are generally liquidated by the General Fund.

General Obligation Bonds

General obligation bonds are direct obligations of the District for which full faith and credit are pledged and are payable from taxes levied on member towns and other operating revenues. General obligation bonds currently outstanding are as follows:

<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Interest Rates</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Governmental activities	Various	\$ 188,244,725
Business-type activities	Various	<u>114,270,275</u>
		<u>\$ 302,515,000</u>

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for general obligation bonds are as follows:

	<u>Governmental Activities</u>		<u>Business-Type Activities</u>	
	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>
2015	\$ 10,645,022	\$ 8,099,557	\$ 7,149,977	\$ 4,016,044
2016	10,593,443	7,696,264	6,971,556	3,806,809
2017	10,716,046	7,175,884	7,038,953	3,514,989
2018	10,702,862	6,644,779	7,007,137	3,215,294
2019	10,886,655	6,114,772	7,093,345	2,927,950
2020-2024	48,860,194	23,416,585	34,594,804	10,601,264
2025-2029	42,164,780	14,046,397	29,155,216	4,845,206
2030-2034	28,583,865	6,741,570	14,496,132	1,278,421
2035-2039	12,441,858	2,254,089	763,155	26,484
2040-2042	<u>2,650,000</u>	<u>119,250</u>		
Total	<u>\$ 188,244,725</u>	<u>\$ 82,309,147</u>	<u>\$ 114,270,275</u>	<u>\$ 34,232,461</u>

Authorized But Unissued Bonds

The total of authorized but unissued bonds at December 31, 2014 is \$1,634,211,152. In most cases, interim financing is obtained through bond anticipation notes or other short-term borrowings until the issuance of long-term debt.

Refunding

On August 6, 2014, the District issued \$14,845,000 in general obligation bonds with an average interest rate of 3.5% to refund outstanding bonds with an average rate of 3.9%. The bonds refunded were as follows: \$2,205,000 of outstanding 2003 general obligation bonds and \$13,630,000 of outstanding 2004 general obligation bonds. The net proceeds of \$16,170,749 (after payment of issuance costs of \$23,391) were deposited with an escrow agent in an irrevocable trust fund. The proceeds were used to buy a portfolio of direct obligations of, or obligations guaranteed by, the United States of America to provide all future debt service payments on the refunded bonds. The refunded bonds are considered defeased and the liability for those bonds has been removed from the statement of net position. The transaction generated a cash flow savings of \$2,945,779 and a present value savings of \$1,745,202.

The District has defeased certain general obligation bonds by placing the proceeds of new bonds in an irrevocable trust to provide for all future debt service payments on the old bonds. Accordingly, the trust account assets and the liability for the defeased bonds are not included in the District's financial statements. At December 31, 2014, \$15,835,000 of the defeased debt is outstanding.

Revenue Bonds

Revenue bonds are direct obligations of the District for which full faith and credit are pledged and are payable from the Special Sewer Service Surcharge. Revenue bonds currently outstanding are as follows:

<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Interest Rates</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Governmental activities	Various	\$ <u>224,000,000</u>

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for revenue bonds are as follows:

	<u>Governmental Activities</u>	
	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>
2015	\$ 3,510,000	\$ 10,393,548
2016	3,680,000	10,516,850
2017	3,760,000	10,386,450
2018	3,870,000	10,239,800
2019	4,015,000	10,065,050
2020-2024	21,880,000	47,785,000
2025-2029	26,650,000	42,072,500
2028-2032	54,210,000	33,213,375
2033-2037	76,965,000	16,215,400
2038-2040	<u>25,460,000</u>	<u>2,587,500</u>
Total	<u>\$ 224,000,000</u>	<u>\$ 193,475,473</u>

Clean Water Fund Loans

The District participates in the State of Connecticut's Clean and Drinking Water programs, which provide low-interest loans bearing 2% interest for eligible waste water and 2% interest for eligible drinking water projects. Projects are financed by interim loan obligations until project completion, at which time internal loan obligations are replaced by permanent loan obligations.

Clean Water Fund loans finance the sewer infrastructure and facility improvements (governmental activities) and will be repaid from future taxation and user fees.

Drinking Water Fund loans finance the water infrastructure and facility improvements (business-type activities) and will be financed by user charges.

Permanent loan obligations mature as follows:

		Governmental Activities	
		Principal	Interest
2015	\$	11,605,395	\$ 3,063,689
2016		10,595,506	2,841,855
2017		10,260,962	2,632,350
2018		10,260,962	2,426,465
2019		10,081,076	2,221,754
2020-2024		47,000,421	8,192,452
2025-2029		41,767,627	3,698,141
2030-2033		16,463,129	469,940
	\$	<u>158,035,078</u>	<u>\$ 25,546,646</u>

		Business-Type Activities	
		Principal	Interest
2015	\$	739,517	\$ 170,732
2016		739,517	154,252
2017		739,517	137,772
2018		739,517	121,290
2019		739,517	104,808
2020-2024		2,391,301	337,234
2025-2029		1,687,613	150,434
2030-2034		691,893	20,616
	\$	<u>8,468,392</u>	<u>\$ 1,197,138</u>

Interim loan obligations mature as follows:

		Governmental Activities	
		Principal	Interest
2015	\$	<u>64,666,913</u>	<u>\$ 1,195,424</u>

		Business-Type Activities	
		Principal	Interest
2015	\$	18,642,442	\$ 326,445
2016		504,028	831
2017		<u>1,174,735</u>	<u>7,927</u>
	\$	<u>20,321,205</u>	<u>\$ 335,203</u>

Subsequent Event

In March 2015, the District issued \$66,740,000 of general obligation bonds with interest rates ranging from 3.125-5.00%, maturing March 2035.

H. Fund Balance

The components of fund balance for the governmental funds at December 31, 2014 are as follows:

	General Fund	Debt Service	2006 Clean Water Project Referendum	2013 Clean Water Project Referendum	General Purpose Sewer 2013	Other Information Systems	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	Total
Fund balances:								
Nonspendable:								
Prepays	\$ 2,336,869							\$ 2,336,869
Inventory	317,762			17,034				334,796
Restricted for:								
Debt service		7,107,697	125,988,350	16,720,097				149,816,144
Committed to:								
General purpose sewer							566,132	566,132
Tower Brook							4,435	4,435
WPCF infrastructure improvements							2,217	2,217
Headquarters improvements							81,223	81,223
Safety and regulatory upgrades							2,571	2,571
Incineration modification for regulatory compliance							4,127	4,127
Overflow alarm/gate repair							2,017	2,017
Storm drain improvements phase I							602,195	602,195
Stormwater management							292,745	292,745
Pump station replacement							1,640	1,640
Upper Albany improvements phase I							9,265	9,265
Combined sewer LT control plan							1,005,345	1,005,345
Sludge processing building odor							254	254
Combined sewer septic							416	416
Assessable sewer construction							102,184	102,184
Sewer inspection rehab-CMOM							597,884	597,884
Asset management wastewater admin							36,000	36,000
Unassigned	14,040,267				(8,636,690)	(13,003,447)	(92,724,761)	(100,324,631)
Total Fund Balances	\$ 16,694,898	\$ 7,107,697	\$ 125,988,350	\$ 16,737,131	\$ (8,636,690)	\$ (13,003,447)	(89,414,111)	\$ 55,473,828

There were no outstanding encumbrances at December 31, 2014.

4. EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLAN

A. Plan Description

The District has an employee retirement system with a pension plan adopted January 1, 1944 and amended April 1, 1989. The Aetna Insurance Company is the administrator of the Metropolitan District Employees' Retirement System (MDERS), which is a defined benefit, single employer retirement system. The MDERS provides retirement, disability and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries.

Management of the plans rests with the Personnel, Pension and Insurance Committee (PPI), which consists of 11 members.

The pension plan is included in the District's financial reporting entity and accounted for in the pension trust fund. The MDERS does not issue a stand-alone financial report.

At January 1, 2014, which is the last date of actuarial valuation, membership consisted of:

Retirees, disabled and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	587
Terminated members entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	16
Current active members	511
Nonvested former participants	<u>17</u>
Total Members	<u><u>1,131</u></u>

Participation in the plan is immediate upon employment for all full-time employees. Vesting in benefits occurs after ten years of service. Termination of employment before that time results in forfeiture of the District's portion of the accrued benefit.

The District's Personnel, Pension and Insurance Committee, as provided by the District's general ordinances, establishes the benefit provisions and the employer's and employees' obligations. Any bargaining or nonbargaining unit employee who becomes totally and permanently disabled and has completed 10 years of service will receive 100% of the pension that the employee would have been entitled to. Annual pension payments are determined at 2% times years of service times final average earnings subject to a maximum of 32 years.

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Plan Asset Matters

Basis of Accounting - The Pension Trust Fund's financial statements are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. Employee and employer contributions are recognized as revenues in the period in which employee services are performed. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with plan provisions. Administrative costs of the plan are financed through investment earnings.

Valuation of Investments - Investments are valued at fair value. Securities traded on national exchanges are valued at the last reported sales price.

C. Funding Policy

Employees are required to contribute 5% of their annual covered salary. The District is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate; the current rate is 15% of annual covered payroll.

D. Investments

Investment Policy: The pension plan's policy in regard to the allocation of invested assets is established and may be amended by the Personnel, Pension and Insurance Committee by a majority vote of its members. It is the policy of the Personnel, Pension and Insurance Committee to pursue an investment strategy that reduces risk through the prudent diversification of the portfolio across a broad selection of distinct asset classes. The pension plan's investment policy discourages the use of cash equivalents, except for liquidity purposes, and aims to refrain from dramatically shifting asset class allocations over short time spans. The following was the Board's adopted asset allocation policy as of December 31, 2014:

<u>Asset Class</u>	<u>Target Allocation</u>
Core Fixed Income	15.00%
Large Cap US Equities	30.00%
Mid Cap US Equities	10.00%
Small Cap US Equities	10.00%
Developed Foreign Equities	10.00%
Non-US Fixed Income	12.50%
Real Estate	7.50%
Commodities	5.00%

Rate of Return: For the year ended December 31, 2014, the annual money-weighted rate of return on pension plan investments, net of pension plan investment expense, was 7.44%. The money-weighted rate of return expresses investment performance, net of investment expense, adjusted for the changing amounts actually invested.

Receivables

The Pension Trust Fund has amounts owed from Mid-CT for amounts under contributed to fund the net pension obligation. Refer to Note 6C for additional information on this receivable.

E. Net Pension Liability of the District

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 67, the components of the net pension liability of the District at December 31, 2014 were as follows:

Total pension liability	\$ 233,821,211
Plan fiduciary net position	<u>198,900,535</u>
Net Pension Liability	<u>\$ 34,920,676</u>
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	85.07%

The District’s net pension liability will be required to be recorded on the government-wide statement of net position at December 31, 2015.

Actuarial Assumptions: The total pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2014, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Inflation	2.75%
Salary increases	3.50%, average, including inflation
Investment rate of return	7.50%, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation
Mortality rates	RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality table blended 75% Blue Collar, 25% White Collar, with generational projection per Scale AA.
Actuarial cost method	Entry Age Normal

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class included in the pension plan’s target asset allocation as of December 31, 2014 (see the discussion of the pension plan's investment policy) are summarized in the following table:

<u>Asset Class</u>	<u>Long-Term Expected Rate of Return</u>
Core Fixed Income	2.19%
Large Cap US Equities	5.62%
Mid Cap US Equities	6.39%
Small Cap US Equities	7.39%
Developed Foreign Equities	6.05%
Non-US Fixed Income	1.41%
Real Estate	5.58%
Commodities	1.95%

Discount Rate: The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.50%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and that the District contributions will be made at rates equal to the difference between actuarially determined contribution rates and the member rate. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate: The following presents the net pension liability of the District, calculated using the discount rate of 7.50%, as well as what the District's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage point lower (6.50%) or 1 percentage point higher (8.50%) than the current rate:

	<u>1% Decrease (6.50%)</u>	<u>Current Discount Rate (7.50%)</u>	<u>1% Increase (8.50%)</u>
District's Net Pension Liability	\$ 60,412,769	\$ 34,920,676	\$ 13,227,952

F. Annual Pension Cost and Net Pension Obligations

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 27, the District's annual pension cost and net pension obligation to the MDERS for the year ended December 31, 2014 are as follows:

Annual required contribution	\$ 5,857,601
Interest on net pension asset	595,581
Adjustment to annual required contribution	<u>(618,616)</u>
Annual pension cost	5,834,566
Contributions made	<u>5,918,000</u>
Change in net pension obligation	(83,434)
Net pension obligation, beginning of year	<u>7,941,083</u>
Net Pension Obligation, End of Year	<u>\$ 7,857,649</u>

The net pension (asset) obligation at year end is comprised of the following:

Mid-Connecticut Project	\$ 20,428,295
District	<u>(12,570,646)</u>
Total Net Pension Obligation	<u>\$ 7,857,649</u>

G. Three-Year Trend Information

<u>Year Ended December 31</u>	<u>Annual Pension Cost (APC)</u>	<u>Percentage of APC Contributed</u>	<u>Net Pension Obligation</u>
2012	\$ 5,268,885	110.5 %	\$ 8,019,642
2013	5,802,441	101.4	7,941,083
2014	5,834,566	100.0	7,857,649

H. Schedule of Funding Progress

<u>Actuarial Valuation Date</u>	<u>Actuarial Value of Assets (a)</u>	<u>Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)</u>	<u>Funded (Unfunded) AAL (UAAL) (a-b)</u>	<u>Percentage Funded (a/b)</u>	<u>Covered Payroll (c)</u>	<u>UAAL as a % of Covered Payroll ((a-b)/c)</u>
2009	\$ 131,276,651	\$ 174,498,025	\$ 43,221,374	75.2%	\$ 42,052,737	102.8%
2010	137,150,657	180,185,360	43,034,703	76.1	45,271,279	95.1
2011	144,905,441	196,799,792	51,894,351	73.6	43,872,205	118.3
2012	159,952,035	203,917,854	43,965,819	78.4	41,341,171	106.3
2013	164,039,584	222,764,319	58,724,735	73.6	38,773,923	151.5
2014	172,863,448	228,863,187	55,999,739	75.5	41,460,234	135.1

I. 457(f) Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan

The District has a 457(f) Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan for certain qualified key employees as deemed eligible by the Personnel, Pension and Insurance Committee. The District will make contributions to the plan as deemed necessary. The District did not make any contributions to the plan during 2014.

5. POSTEMPLOYMENT HEALTHCARE PLAN - RETIREE HEALTH PLAN

Plan Description

The Retiree Health Plan (RHP) is provided through indemnity plans and health maintenance organizations. The RHP is a single-employer defined benefit healthcare plan and provides medical, dental and life insurance benefits to eligible retirees and their spouses. District employees eligible to participate in the plan are as follows: 65 years old or 55 years old with 10 years of service or the sum of age and service is 85. Benefit provisions are established through negotiations between the District and the various unions representing the employees.

Some expenses for postemployment benefits were paid out of the General Fund, as well as the OPEB trust fund, during fiscal year ended December 31, 2014. The plan does not issue a stand-alone financial report.

At January 1, 2014, plan membership consisted of the following:

	Retiree Health Plan
Retired members	428
Spouses of retired members	459
Active plan members	510
Total Participants	<u>1,397</u>

Funding Policy

The contribution requirements of plan members and the District are also negotiated with the various unions representing the employees. Retired plan members and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits are required to contribute specified amounts monthly towards the cost of health insurance premiums.

The cost per month for District retiree spouse coverage under Connecticut Blue Cross/Blue Shield Century 94 plus Major Medical Blue Cross Basic Dental Plan is 5% of the difference in cost between the individual coverage and the cost of the coverage selected. There is no cost for retirees. Retirees are eligible to receive term life insurance in the amount equal to one half of their group life insurance.

Employer contributions to the plan of \$5,142,000 were made in accordance with actuarially determined requirements. In addition, \$229,373 of retiree drug subsidy monies was contributed to the plan.

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligations

The District's annual other postemployment benefit (OPEB) cost is calculated based on the annual required contribution (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal costs each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed 30 years.

The following table shows the components of the District's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount actually contributed to the plan and changes in the District's net OPEB obligation:

	Retiree Health Plan
Annual required contribution (ARC)	\$ 15,755,000
Interest on net OPEB obligation	1,821,233
Adjustment to annual required contribution	<u>(1,894,082)</u>
Annual OPEB cost	15,682,151
Contributions made	5,371,373
Other contributions made	<u>217,481</u>
Increase in net OPEB obligation	10,093,297
Net OPEB obligation, beginning of year	<u>45,748,295</u>
Net OPEB Obligation, End of Year	<u>\$ 55,841,592</u>

The District's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the three fiscal years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are presented below:

Fiscal Year Ended	Annual OPEB Cost (AOC)			Actual Contribution			Percentage of AOC Contributed			Net OPEB Obligation		
	District	Mid-Connecticut	Total	District	Mid-Connecticut	Total	District	Mid-Connecticut	Total	District	Mid-Connecticut	Total
12/31/2012	\$ 12,117,974	\$ 2,228,485	\$ 14,346,459	\$ 7,932,085	-	\$ 7,932,085	65.5%	0.0%	55.3%	\$ 28,468,175	\$ 8,630,712	\$ 37,098,887
12/31/2013	12,807,000	2,355,000	15,162,000	6,512,592	-	6,512,592	50.9%	0.0%	43.0%	34,762,583	10,985,712	45,748,295
12/31/2014	13,327,151	2,355,000	15,682,151	5,588,854	-	5,588,854	41.9%	0.0%	35.6%	44,855,880	10,985,712	55,841,592

As of January 1, 2012, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the plan was 11.8% funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was approximately \$221 million, and the actuarial value of assets was approximately \$26 million, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of approximately \$194 million. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan) was approximately \$40 million.

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as accrual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

Projections for benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the January 1, 2014 actuarial valuation, projected unit credit method was used. The annual medical cost trend rate is 7.1% initially, reduced by decrements to an ultimate rate of 4.7% for pre-65 and 4.8% for past-65. Years until ultimate medical inflation rate are 70 for pre-65 and 72 for post-65. The discount rate assumption is 4.0%. The actuarial value of assets was determined using the closed group method. The UAAL is being amortized as a level percentage of projected payroll on a closed basis. The remaining amortization period at January 1, 2014 was 26 years.

6. OTHER INFORMATION

A. Clean Water Project

The MDC has entered into a consent decree of the United States District Court of the District of Connecticut, with the United States Department of Justice, the U.S. Attorney's Office, the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the State of Connecticut Attorney General (the "U.S. Consent Decree"), a consent order and a general permit for nitrogen discharges, and existing municipal national pollutant discharge elimination system permits of the State of Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, entered into with the Commissioner of the CTDEEP (the "Connecticut Consent Order" and together with the U.S. Consent Decree, the "Governmental Orders"). These set forth the obligation of the MDC to achieve Federal Clean Water Act goals of eliminating sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs), reducing combined sewer overflows (CSOs) and reducing nitrogen discharged from water pollution control facilities. The Governmental Orders provide for milestones and goals, with expenditures and budgets monitored by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and CTDEEP, but with the means of achieving those milestones and goals resting with the MDC. Currently, the MDC is in compliance with all aspects of the Governmental Orders.

Design Overview: The MDC has developed a multi-year program to comply with its obligations under the Governmental Orders, referred to as the "Clean Water Project." The Clean Water Project will address the approximately one billion gallons of combined wastewater and stormwater currently released each year to area waterways.

The Clean Water Project has been designed in three phases. Phase I, budgeted at \$800 million, has a number of separate features. The first is to limit stormwater entering the sewer system by controlling "inflow" (stormwater coming from customers and entering the sewer system) and "infiltration" (water leaking into the system from cracked or broken pipes). This is being done by lining or replacing existing pipe. The second is by separating the existing combined sewer system by adding a second, separate pipe, with stormwater carried in one pipe and sanitary sewerage provided by the other pipe. The third is the construction of larger interceptor pipes that increase the ability of the system to convey flows to the water pollution control facilities.

The fourth consists of upgrades to the two large water pollution control facilities that will increase the capacity of the system to handle sewer system flows and improve the level of treatment. Phase I is approximately 72% complete.

Phase II, also budgeted at \$800 million, extends the work of Phase I and includes construction of a large storage tunnel. This tunnel, the South Storage Tunnel, will be approximately 200 feet deep, an estimated 18 feet in diameter and four miles long. It will be able to store up to 41.5 million gallons of sewage until it can be released and treated at the Hartford water pollution control facility. Phase II is approximately 4% complete.

Phase III, originally estimated at \$500 million, involves the construction of a second large storage tunnel, the North Storage Tunnel Extension, and other miscellaneous projects to finalize the projects associated with Phases I and II.

The Clean Water Project is an expansion in capacity and improvement of the MDC's treatment systems, but does not represent an expansion of the system into new areas. The Clean Water Project is expected to be undertaken in such a manner that the overall system will remain in continuous operation. Certain elements of the Clean Water Project, particularly the two storage tunnels, represent large undertakings that will need to be fully completed in order to realize their intended benefits. The MDC feels confident that all phases of the Clean Water Project will be completed successfully through the ongoing active management of scope, schedule and risk by its project teams.

Regulatory status: A significant component of the Clean Water Project is the MDC's Long Term CSO Control Plan (LTCP). The LTCP was originally completed in 2005, and was an outgrowth of the MDC's prior compliance program. The Connecticut Consent Order requires the MDC to submit to DEEP an updated plan roughly every five years, most recently by December 31, 2012. The MDC's 2012 submission addressed in particular the South Tunnel component of the Clean Water Project and the degree to which it achieved the goals set forth in the LTCP. In 2014, DEEP advised the MDC that it believed the CSO elimination goal of the South Tunnel required compliance with a higher standard than the MDC had understood was required. In August 2014, the MDC submitted a revised LTCP that it believed demonstrated compliance and the revised LTCP was ultimately approved in May 2015.

Cost Estimates: The total cost of the Clean Water Project was originally estimated to be approximately \$2.1 billion and assumed to be completed in 2021, based on assumptions about, among other things, the pace of design and construction and regulatory review and approval. Large appropriations, such as for the costs of the Clean Water Project, must be approved by referendum vote of the voters of the Member Municipalities. An \$800 million appropriation for Phase I was approved in November 2006. An appropriation for an additional \$800 million for Phase II of the Clean Water Project was approved in November 2012. Phase III will require submission of a further appropriation for approval by voters. The MDC has made no determination as to when the additional referendum will be held. The MDC expects that Phase I and Phase II will be completed within authorized appropriations, and to be placed in service without regard to the outcome of any additional referendum.

Delays in the permitting process, in particular with respect to the South Tunnel, now lead the MDC to expect completion of Phase II in 2024. Phase III is currently proposed to be completed in 2026. These dates are incorporated in the revised LTCP. The MDC expects that this delay, in addition to delaying expenditures, is likely to lead to an increase in expected costs of the Clean Water Project as a whole, but has not re-estimated the overall cost. As actual design and construction of the Clean Water Project has progressed, the MDC has been able to refine certain design elements to achieve costs savings, altered some aspects of the original design, and continues to examine the Clean Water Project for efficiencies that can be achieved through value engineering. In addition, some elements of construction have been completed at lower than estimated costs. The MDC cannot give any assurances as to when the Clean Water Project will be completed or its total cost.

Project financing is expected to be repaid in part with the Special Sewer Service Surcharge to customers' water bills. The Special Sewer Service Surcharge is expected to increase annually from its current rate of \$2.90 per hundred cubic feet of usage in Fiscal Year 2014 to a maximum, currently estimated at less than \$5.00 per hundred cubic feet of usage by Fiscal Year 2021, and then decline. Because of the delay in Clean Water Project expenditures, the Special Sewer Service Surcharge is not expected to increase as rapidly as originally anticipated, or reach a maximum as high as originally anticipated, but is likely to remain at its maximum anticipated level for a longer period than originally anticipated. The below table summarizes the activity in the Special Sewer Service Surcharge account since inception.

	<u>SSSS Rate per ccf</u>	<u>Amount Billed to Customers</u>	<u>Amount Collected from Customers</u>	<u>Clean Water Project Principal & Interest Payments</u>	<u>Balance</u>
Fiscal 2008	0.35	\$ 5,549,162	\$ 4,362,801	\$ -	\$ 4,362,801
Fiscal 2009	0.70	10,989,325	9,661,762	-	14,024,563
Fiscal 2010	1.05	17,424,073	15,761,599	-	29,786,162
Fiscal 2011	1.40	22,471,604	16,579,932	2,767,075	43,599,019
Fiscal 2012	1.90	29,766,565	30,975,878	7,028,145	67,546,752
Fiscal 2013	2.40	37,420,561	37,520,350	12,552,598	92,514,205
Fiscal 2014	2.90	50,893,010	46,017,842	19,931,392	118,600,654

Through December 31, 2014, the Clean Water Project has been funded through the issuance of long-term debt in the amount of \$470.0 million, which is comprised of \$173.8 million of Clean Water Fund Loans and \$71.2 million of General Obligation Bonds and \$225 million of Revenue Bonds.

B. Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss including torts; officers' and employees' liabilities; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District purchases commercial insurance for all risks of loss except those risks described in the next paragraph. The District established an internal service fund, the self-insurance fund, to account for and finance the retained risk of loss.

The District is self-insured for health care, workers' compensation claims up to \$500,000 for each accident, deductibles for property damage up to \$100,000 for each location, and general and automobile liability up to \$250,000 for each incident. Additionally, the District has provided for \$1 million of excess coverage for liability coverage with no limits for workers' compensation excess coverage. The self-insurance fund is primarily supported by contributions from the General Fund and the Water Utility Enterprise Fund. Administration for workers' compensation and general and auto liability claims is performed in-house and through third-party administrators whose administrative fees are paid by the self-insurance fund. Blue Cross and Blue Shield administer the District's medical insurance plan for which the District pays a fee. The medical insurance plan provides coverage for most District employees. The District has purchased a stop loss policy for total medical claims in any one year exceeding an aggregate of 110% of expected claims. Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage in any of the past three years. There has been no reduction in any coverage during the year from that of the prior year.

The claims liability of \$3,070,678 for the self-insurance fund reported at December 31, 2014 is based on the requirements of GASB Statement No. 10, which requires that a liability for estimated claims incurred but not reported be recorded. The District's policy is to have an actuarial study performed annually.

Liabilities of the fund are reported when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Liabilities include an amount for claims that has been incurred but not reported (IBNR). The result of the process to estimate the claims liability is not an exact amount as it depends on many complex factors, such as inflation, changes in legal doctrines and damage awards. Accordingly, claims are reevaluated periodically to consider the effects of inflation, recent claim settlement trends (including frequency and amount of payouts), and other economic and social factors. The estimate of the claims liability also includes amounts for incremental claim adjustment expenses related to specific claims and other claim adjustment expenses regardless of whether allocated to specific claims. Estimated recoveries, for example for salvage or subrogation, are another component of the claims liability estimate. Changes in the claims liability for the past two years are as follows:

	Accrued Liability Beginning of Fiscal Year		Current Year Claims and Changes in Estimates		Claim Payments		Accrued Liability End of Fiscal Year
2013	\$ 2,756,757	\$	3,367,445	\$	3,113,004	\$	3,011,198
2014	3,011,198		2,326,727		2,267,247		3,070,678

C. Contingent Liabilities

Environmental Matters

On November 7, 2006, the member town voters of the District overwhelmingly approved the referendum for the first phase of the Clean Water Project authorizing the District to bond \$800,000,000 of the current total estimated project cost of \$1.6 billion (current escalated amount of \$2.1 billion). The second phase of the Clean Water Project was approved by referendum on November 6, 2012 by the voters, which authorized the District to bond an additional \$800,000,000. The Clean Water Project includes all the programs needed to comply with the Consent Decree from the United States Department of Justice, the EPA and CT DEEP to eliminate eight SSOs and the Consent Order from CT DEEP to implement the Long-Term Control Plan for Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement and to reduce nitrogen from the District's water pollution control facilities as required by CT DEEP general permit. The District is utilizing the State of Connecticut Clean Water Fund to finance the eligible portions of these projects to the extent funding is available. The Clean Water Fund provides a grant and loan combination for some of this work and a loan only for certain projects.

The Consent Decree issued on August 23, 2006 by the U.S. Department of Justice, the EPA and CT DEEP for the elimination of SSOs requires the overflows to be eliminated within five years of the approval of an elimination plan by the EPA in Wethersfield, Rocky Hill and Windsor, and within ten years in West Hartford and Newington. The Consent Decree also carried an \$850,000 civil penalty that was paid in 2006. The Consent Decree requires many interim action reports and construction necessary to reduce the infiltration and inflow of clean water into the sewer systems in the towns outside Hartford including individual homeowner actions. The Clean Water Fund can supply loans at 2% interest for this design and construction.

A Consent Order issued on November 6, 2006 by CT DEEP establishes a compliance schedule for the full implementation of the Long-Term Control Plan for Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement by 2021. The work includes separation of sewers in portions of Hartford to eliminate certain overflows, construction of new conveyance sewers, treatment plant expansion for wet weather flows and a large storage tunnel for retention of flow for later treatment. Meeting the schedule will require extensive and timely capital expenditures, currently projected to be more than \$1 billion over the next 15 years. Construction costs for portions of the sewer separation projects and for construction of conveyance and storage tunnels are eligible for State Clean Water Fund financing with a 50% grant and loan at 2% for the balance to the extent funding is available. Funding for the treatment plant expansion work is eligible for State Clean Water Fund support with a 20% grant and a 2% loan for the balance. In the case that funding was unavailable through the Clean Water Fund, the District would be obligated to issue bonds for the construction, adding increased debt service to its budget.

The third component of the Clean Water Project includes the reduction of nitrogen in the treatment facilities' effluent to meet the state general permit to reduce nitrogen to Long Island Sound. The permit requires the annual reduction of nitrogen levels through 2014. New facilities are eligible for financing by the Clean Water Fund with a 30% grant and loan at 2% for the balance.

Arbitrage

The District may be subject to rebate penalties to the federal government relating to various bond and note issues. The District expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

Mid-Connecticut Project

The CRRA and the District entered into a contract dated December 31, 1984 that defines the responsibilities of both parties with respect to the District's operation of the Mid-Connecticut Resources Recovery Facility. The agreement details the District's contractual obligations with respect to the operation of the waste processing facility, the transfer stations, the Hartford Landfill and the transportation systems between the transfer stations, the Hartford Landfill and the waste processing facilities as well as the contractual obligations of the CRRA to compensate and indemnify the District for its services.

The term of the initial agreement was for twenty-seven (27) years and the CRRA had the option to extend the agreement for an additional twenty (20) years under the same terms and conditions. The District received notification from CRRA of its intent not to extend the agreement; therefore, the agreement terminated effective December 31, 2011.

Upon receipt of notification of the pending contract termination, the District determined that the contract termination would result in certain costs relating to District employees that operated the facilities and these termination costs are estimated to be in excess of \$60 million. The District initiated discussions with the CRRA with respect to funding these contract termination liabilities. The principal components of the contract termination liabilities are unfunded pension and OPEB obligations for District employees who operated the facilities during the term of the expiring contract as well as employment costs associated with the elimination of positions at the Mid-Connecticut Resources Recovery Facility.

Despite attempts to resolve the issues associated with contract termination liability, no resolution was reached, and, therefore, in accordance with the contract terms, in September 2009 the District presented the CRRA with formal notice of the dispute.

CRRA, at its Board of Directors' meeting on December 16, 2010, authorized management to negotiate a contract with another entity for the operation and maintenance of the Mid-Connecticut Resources Recovery Facility. The District and CRRA have entered into binding arbitration to resolve the dispute related to closing costs and other disputed issues. The District's legal representatives expect the entire matter will be resolved by the end of this calendar year.

A receivable from CRRA is recognized in the Mid-Connecticut Project as disclosed in Note 3B.

Other

There are other various suits and claims pending against the District, none of which, individually or in the aggregate, is believed by counsel to be likely to result in judgment or judgments that could materially affect the District's financial position.

7. RESTATEMENT

The Pension Trust Fund and OPEB Trust Funds' beginning net position were restated in order to record accounts receivable from Mid-CT and to properly record certain investments at market value. The impact of this restatement on the Pension Trust Fund and OPEB Trust Funds' net position is as follows:

	<u>Pension Trust Fund</u>	<u>OPEB Trust Fund</u>
Beginning net position, as originally reported	\$ 186,280,589	\$ 83,875
Accounts receivable from Mid-CT	9,271,439	25,239,309
Adjustments to record certain investments at market value	<u>(3,201,959)</u>	<u> </u>
Beginning Net Position, as Restated	<u>\$ 192,350,069</u>	<u>\$ 25,323,184</u>

There was no impact on the OPEB Trust Funds' change in net position for the year ended December 31, 2013. The impact of this restatement on the Pension Trust Fund's change in net position for the year ended December 31, 2013 is as follows:

	<u>Pension Trust Fund</u>
Change in net position 2013, as originally reported	\$ 25,937,397
Adjustments to certain investments	<u>(3,224,015)</u>
Change in Net Position 2013, as Restated	<u>\$ 22,713,382</u>

THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE -
BUDGET AND ACTUAL - BUDGETARY BASIS - GENERAL FUND
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

	<u>Budgeted Amounts</u>		<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance with Final Budget - Positive (Negative)</u>
	<u>Original</u>	<u>Final</u>		
Revenues:				
Taxation:				
Hartford	\$ 10,374,400	\$ 10,374,400	\$ 10,374,400	\$ -
East Hartford	4,213,200	4,213,200	4,213,200	-
Newington	3,132,300	3,132,300	3,132,300	-
Wethersfield	2,824,400	2,824,400	2,824,400	-
Windsor	3,111,900	3,111,900	3,111,900	-
Bloomfield	2,612,500	2,612,500	2,612,500	-
Rocky Hill	2,089,100	2,089,100	2,089,100	-
West Hartford	7,798,800	7,798,800	7,798,800	-
Total taxation	<u>36,156,600</u>	<u>36,156,600</u>	<u>36,156,600</u>	<u>-</u>
Sewer user fees:				
Bradley Airport - Hamilton - East Granby	4,500	104,500	340,507	236,007
Nonmunicipal - tax exempt	3,133,800	3,133,800	3,619,810	486,010
Hi-flow charges	1,445,500	1,445,500	2,240,507	795,007
Hi-strength	916,100	916,100	569,274	(346,826)
Penalties	21,000	21,000	7,136	(13,864)
Manchester	103,200	103,200	72,213	(30,987)
South Windsor	13,600	13,600	3,280	(10,320)
Farmington	73,100	73,100	2,371	(70,729)
Cromwell	121,600	21,600	4,072	(17,528)
CRRA	489,200	489,200	111,824	(377,376)
SUC tax credit			(321,185)	(321,185)
Total	<u>6,321,600</u>	<u>6,321,600</u>	<u>6,649,809</u>	<u>328,209</u>
Sewer user rebates			(916,429)	(916,429)
Total sewer user fees	<u>6,321,600</u>	<u>6,321,600</u>	<u>5,733,380</u>	<u>(588,220)</u>
Intergovernmental:				
Sludge handling	2,900,000	2,900,000	3,500,822	600,822
Household hazardous waste	63,400	63,400	46,649	(16,751)
Other government agencies				-
Total intergovernmental	<u>2,963,400</u>	<u>2,963,400</u>	<u>3,547,471</u>	<u>584,071</u>
Investment income	<u>50,000</u>	<u>50,000</u>	<u>52,350</u>	<u>2,350</u>

(Continued on next page)

THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE -
BUDGET AND ACTUAL - BUDGETARY BASIS - GENERAL FUND (CONTINUED)
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

	<u>Budgeted Amounts</u>			Variance with Final Budget - Positive (Negative)
	<u>Original</u>	<u>Final</u>	<u>Actual</u>	
Other revenues:				
Rental fees	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 4,162	\$ (838)
Bill jobs	85,000	85,000		(85,000)
Developers	268,000	268,000	3,473	(264,527)
Payroll additives and indirect costs	30,000	30,000		(30,000)
Taxable fees			265	265
Property rents	62,000	162,000	144,713	(17,287)
Septage/glycol discharge fees	680,000	680,000	1,037,089	357,089
Miscellaneous	10,903,600	10,803,600	12,461,040	1,657,440
Total other revenues	<u>12,033,600</u>	<u>12,033,600</u>	<u>13,650,742</u>	<u>1,617,142</u>
Total revenues	<u>57,525,200</u>	<u>57,525,200</u>	<u>59,140,543</u>	<u>1,615,343</u>
Other financing sources:				
Appropriation of fund balance	2,980,400	2,980,400		(2,980,400)
Transfers in	20,210,700	20,210,700		(20,210,700)
	<u>23,191,100</u>	<u>23,191,100</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(23,191,100)</u>
Total Revenues and Other Financing Sources	<u>80,716,300</u>	<u>80,716,300</u>	<u>59,140,543</u>	<u>(21,575,757)</u>
Expenditures:				
General government:				
District Board	117,800	117,800	114,533	3,267
Executive office	344,700	344,700	299,594	45,106
Administrative services	166,500	166,500	115,943	50,557
Legal	1,059,100	1,181,600	1,061,523	120,077
Human resources	637,300	637,300	519,807	117,493
Information systems	1,601,500	1,601,500	1,368,669	232,831
Finance	2,183,500	2,183,500	2,093,371	90,129
Environmental health and safety	552,100	552,100	446,390	105,710
Customer service	1,697,100	1,697,100	1,576,778	120,322
Bond and note issuance expense	2,631,800	2,187,200	472,561	1,714,639
Total general government	<u>10,991,400</u>	<u>10,669,300</u>	<u>8,069,169</u>	<u>2,600,131</u>
Engineering and planning	<u>786,300</u>	<u>786,300</u>	<u>490,172</u>	<u>296,128</u>
Chief Operating office	<u>516,400</u>	<u>516,400</u>	<u>415,110</u>	<u>101,290</u>
Operations	<u>2,303,100</u>	<u>2,537,100</u>	<u>2,379,732</u>	<u>157,368</u>

(Continued on next page)

THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE -
BUDGET AND ACTUAL - BUDGETARY BASIS - GENERAL FUND (CONTINUED)
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variance with Final Budget - Positive (Negative)
	Original	Final		
Plants and maintenance:				
Water Pollution Control	\$ 16,129,200	\$ 16,129,200	\$ 15,839,142	\$ 290,058
Maintenance	5,279,900	5,579,900	5,572,867	7,033
Total plants and maintenance	21,409,100	21,709,100	21,412,009	297,091
Employee benefits and other:				
Employee benefits	6,972,000	7,264,600	7,230,022	34,578
General insurance	1,225,500	1,225,500	1,203,280	22,220
Special agreements and programs	671,000	700,500	459,937	240,563
Contingencies	558,600	24,600		24,600
Total employee benefits and other	9,427,100	9,215,200	8,893,239	321,961
Total expenditures	45,433,400	45,433,400	41,659,431	3,773,969
Other financing uses:				
Transfers out	35,282,900	35,282,900	15,351,508	19,931,392
Total Expenditures and Other Financing Uses	80,716,300	80,716,300	57,010,939	23,705,361
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$ -	\$ -	2,129,604	\$ 2,129,604
Budgetary expenditures are different than GAAP expenditures because:				
Encumbrances for purchases and commitments ordered but not received are reported in the year the order is placed for budgetary purposes, but in the year received for financial reporting purposes			(891,949)	
Reimbursement for CWF expenditures are included in budgetary basis but not for GAAP			10,291,000	
CWF expenditures are recorded for budgetary basis, but are not for GAAP			(10,291,000)	
Net Change in Fund Balance as Reported on the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds			\$ 1,237,655	

THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS
LAST FISCAL YEAR

	2014
Total pension liability:	
Service cost	\$ 3,534,272
Interest	16,861,364
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions	<u>(15,437,612)</u>
Net change in total pension liability	4,958,024
Total pension liability - beginning	<u>228,863,187</u>
Total pension liability - ending	<u><u>233,821,211</u></u>
 Plan fiduciary net position:	
Contributions - employer	5,918,000
Contributions - member	2,160,885
Net investment income	13,864,280
Other income	102,351
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions	(15,448,154)
Administrative expense	<u>(46,896)</u>
Net change in plan fiduciary net position	6,550,466
Plan fiduciary net position - beginning	<u>192,350,069</u>
Plan fiduciary net position - ending	<u><u>198,900,535</u></u>
 District's Net Pension Liability - Ending	 \$ <u><u>34,920,676</u></u>
 Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	 85.07%
 Covered-employee payroll	 \$ 41,460,234
 Net pension liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	 84.23%

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
Actuarially determined contribution	\$ 3,295,483	\$ 3,283,457	\$ 3,710,728	\$ 3,784,198	\$ 7,066,074	\$ 8,809,272	\$ 15,050,472	\$ 5,347,556	\$ 5,804,428	\$ 5,857,601
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution	<u>3,182,317</u>	<u>3,595,859</u>	<u>3,778,044</u>	<u>3,784,198</u>	<u>7,676,453</u>	<u>2,863,964</u>	<u>4,633,200</u>	<u>5,822,098</u>	<u>5,881,000</u>	<u>5,918,000</u>
Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	\$ <u>113,166</u>	\$ <u>(312,402)</u>	\$ <u>(67,316)</u>	\$ <u>-</u>	\$ <u>(610,379)</u>	\$ <u>5,945,308</u>	\$ <u>10,417,272</u>	\$ <u>(474,542)</u>	\$ <u>(76,572)</u>	\$ <u>(60,399)</u>
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 34,217,060	\$ 33,494,108	\$ 36,862,131	\$ 37,960,169	\$ 42,052,737	\$ 45,271,276	\$ 43,872,205	\$ 41,341,171	\$ 38,773,923	\$ 41,460,234
Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	9.30%	10.74%	10.25%	9.97%	18.25%	6.33%	10.56%	14.08%	15.17%	14.27%

Notes to Schedule

Valuation date: January 1, 2014
Measurement date: December 31, 2014
Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of December 31, two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which contributions are reported

Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:

Actuarial cost method: Entry Age Normal
Amortization method: Level percent, closed
Remaining amortization period: 19 years
Asset valuation method: 5-year asset average, spreading investment gains and losses
Inflation: 2.75%
Salary increases: 3.50%
Investment rate of return: 7.50%
Retirement age: Aged based rates
Turnover: Aged based rates
Mortality: RP-2000 Combined Mortality table blended 75% Blue Collar, 25% White Collar with generational projection per Scale AA

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS
LAST FISCAL YEAR**

	<u>2014</u>
Annual money-weighted rate of return, net of investment expense	7.42%

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION -
OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS TRUST FUND**

Schedule of Funding Progress

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL)	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll		
1/1/2009	\$	\$	160,119,431	\$	160,119,431	0.0 %	n/a	n/a
1/1/2010			167,502,977		167,502,977	0.0	n/a	n/a
1/1/2011			218,824,953		218,824,953	0.0	n/a	n/a
1/1/2012	26,346,000		221,243,000		194,897,000	0.1	\$40,364,000	482.85%
1/1/2014	26,429,875		203,045,491		176,615,616	13.0	\$41,092,089	429.80%

Schedule of Employer Contributions

<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	<u>Required Contribution</u>	<u>Annual Percentage Contributed</u>
2009	\$ 15,429,144	31.6 %
2010	16,271,928	31.7
2011	19,989,745	135.0
2012	14,301,000	55.5
2013	15,162,000	43.0
2014	15,755,000	41.3

n/a - The covered payroll is not available.

APPENDIX B – FORMS OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL

FORM OF OPINION OF HINCKLEY, ALLEN & SNYDER LLP, BOND COUNSEL TO THE DISTRICT

_____, 2015

The Metropolitan District
Hartford, Connecticut

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In connection with our representation of The Metropolitan District, Hartford County, Connecticut (the “District”) as bond counsel, we have examined certified copies of the proceedings of the “District, a Tax Regulatory Agreement of the District dated July 28, 2015 (the “Tax Regulatory Agreement”) and other proofs submitted to us relative to the issuance and sale of the \$25,000,000 Metropolitan District, Hartford County, Connecticut General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series C dated July 28, 2015 and maturing on March 18, 2016, consisting of Note No. R-__ in the aggregate principal amount of \$_____, bearing interest at the rate of ___ % per annum and [Note No. R-__ in the aggregate principal amount of \$_____, bearing interest at the rate of ___ % per annum] with principal and interest payable at maturity (collectively, the “Notes”). The Notes are not subject to redemption prior to maturity.

The Notes are originally registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), to effect a book-entry system for the ownership and transfer of the Notes. So long as DTC or its nominee is the registered owner, principal and interest payments on the Notes will be made to DTC.

We have not been engaged or undertaken to review the accuracy, completeness or sufficiency of any official statement or other offering material relating to the Notes and we express no opinion relating thereto.

Based on such proceedings and proofs, we are of the opinion that the District has the authority to issue the Notes under authority of the Constitution and statutes of the State of Connecticut and that the Notes are valid and binding general obligations of the District for the payment of the principal of and interest on which the full faith and credit of the District are pledged, that the District is authorized to levy unlimited taxes upon the City of Hartford and the Towns of Bloomfield, East Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford, Wethersfield and Windsor comprising the District, proportionately as provided in the District’s Charter to pay the principal of the Notes and interest thereon, and that such city and towns are authorized to levy ad valorem taxes on all taxable property within their respective limits to pay such District taxes without limitation as to rate or amount except as to property classified under the statutes of the State, such as certified forest lands taxable at a limited rate and dwelling houses of qualified

elderly persons of low income or of qualified disabled persons taxable at limited amounts. We are further of the opinion that the Tax Regulatory Agreement is a valid and binding agreement of the District.

The rights of owners of the Notes and the enforceability of the Notes and the Tax Regulatory Agreement may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium and other similar laws affecting creditors' rights generally and by application of equitable principles, whether considered at law or in equity.

The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), establishes certain requirements that must be met at and subsequent to the issuance and delivery of the Notes in order that interest on the Notes be and remain excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. The opinion set forth below is subject to the condition that the District comply with all such requirements. The District has covenanted in the Tax Regulatory Agreement that it will at all times perform all acts and things necessary or appropriate under any valid provision of law to ensure that interest paid on the Notes shall be excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under the Code. Failure to comply with certain of such requirements may cause interest on the Notes to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes retroactively to the date of issuance of the Notes.

In our opinion, under existing statutes and court decisions, interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and is not treated as an item of tax preference for purposes of computing the federal alternative minimum tax. Interest on the Notes is, however, includible in adjusted current earnings for purposes of computing the alternative minimum tax imposed on certain corporations. We express no opinion regarding any other federal income tax consequences caused by ownership or disposition of, or receipt of interest on, the Notes.

In rendering the foregoing opinions regarding the federal tax treatment of interest on the Notes, we have relied upon and assumed (i) the material accuracy of the representations, statements of intention and expectations, and certifications of fact contained in the Tax Regulatory Agreement, and (ii) compliance by the District with the covenants and procedures set forth in the Tax Regulatory Agreement as to such tax matters.

We are further of the opinion that, under existing statutes, interest on the Notes is excluded from Connecticut taxable income for purposes of the Connecticut income tax on individuals, trusts and estates, and is excluded from amounts on which the net Connecticut minimum tax is based in the case of individuals, trusts and estates required to pay the federal alternative minimum tax. We express no opinion regarding any other State or local tax consequences caused by ownership or disposition of, or receipt of interest on, the Notes.

The Metropolitan District
_____, 2015
Page 3 of 6

This opinion is given as of the date hereof and we assume no obligation to revise or supplement this opinion to reflect any facts or circumstances that may hereafter come to our attention or any changes in law that may hereafter occur.

Very truly yours,



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

[Form of Opinion of Finn Dixon & Herling LLP]

_____, 2015

The Metropolitan District
555 Main Street
P.O. Box 800
Hartford, Connecticut 06142-0800

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In connection with our representation of The Metropolitan District, Hartford County, Connecticut (the “District”) as bond counsel, we have examined certified copies of the proceedings of the District, a Tax Regulatory Agreement of the District dated July 28, 2015 (the “Tax Regulatory Agreement”), and other proofs submitted to us relative to the issuance and sale of \$25,000,000 Metropolitan District, Hartford County, Connecticut General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series C dated July 28, 2015 and maturing on March 18, 2016, consisting of Note No. R-__ in the aggregate principal amount of \$_____, bearing interest at the rate of __% per annum [and Note No. R-__ in the aggregate principal amount of \$_____, bearing interest at the rate of ____% per annum] with principal and interest payable at maturity (collectively, the “Notes”). The Notes are not subject to redemption prior to maturity.

The Notes are originally registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), to effect a book-entry system for the ownership and transfer of the Notes. So long as DTC or its nominee is the registered owner, principal and interest payments on the Notes will be made to DTC.

We have not been engaged or undertaken to review the accuracy, completeness or sufficiency of any official statement or other offering material relating to the Notes and we express no opinion relating thereto.

Based on such proceedings and proofs, we are of the opinion that the District has the authority to issue the Notes under authority of the Constitution and statutes of the State of Connecticut (the “State”) and that the Notes are valid and binding general obligations of the District for the payment of the principal of and interest on which the full faith and credit of the District are pledged, that the District is authorized to levy unlimited taxes upon the City of Hartford and the Towns of Bloomfield, East Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford,

Wethersfield and Windsor comprising the District, proportionately as provided in the District's Charter to pay the principal of the Notes and interest thereon, and that such city and towns are authorized to levy ad valorem taxes on all taxable property within their respective limits to pay such District taxes without limitation as to rate or amount except as to property classified under the statutes of the State, such as certified forest lands taxable at a limited rate and dwelling houses of qualified elderly persons of low income or of qualified disabled persons taxable at limited amounts. We are further of the opinion that the Tax Regulatory Agreement is a valid and binding agreement of the District.

The rights of owners of the Notes and the enforceability of the Notes and the Tax Regulatory Agreement may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium and other similar laws affecting creditors' rights generally and by application of equitable principles, whether considered at law or in equity.

The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), establishes certain requirements that must be met at and subsequent to the issuance and delivery of the Notes in order that interest on the Notes be and remain excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. The opinion set forth below is subject to the condition that the District comply with all such requirements. The District has covenanted in the Tax Regulatory Agreement that it will at all times perform all acts and things necessary or appropriate under any valid provision of law to ensure that interest paid on the Notes shall be excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under the Code. Failure to comply with certain of such requirements may cause interest on the Notes to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes retroactively to the date of issuance of the Notes.

In our opinion, under existing statutes and court decisions, interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and is not treated as an item of tax preference for purposes of computing the federal alternative minimum tax. Interest on the Notes is, however, includible in adjusted current earnings for purposes of computing the alternative minimum tax imposed on certain corporations. We express no opinion regarding any other federal income tax consequences caused by ownership or disposition of, or receipt of interest on, the Notes.

In rendering the foregoing opinions regarding the federal tax treatment of interest on the Notes, we have relied upon and assumed (i) the material accuracy of the representations, statements of intention and expectations, and certifications of fact contained in the Tax Regulatory Agreement, and (ii) compliance by the District with the covenants and procedures set forth in the Tax Regulatory Agreement as to such tax matters.

We are further of the opinion that, under existing statutes, interest on the Notes is excluded from Connecticut taxable income for purposes of the Connecticut income tax on

individuals, trusts and estates, and is excluded from amounts on which the net Connecticut minimum tax is based in the case of individuals, trusts and estates required to pay the federal alternative minimum tax. We express no opinion regarding any other State or local tax consequences caused by ownership or disposition of, or receipt of interest on, the Notes.

This opinion is given as of the date hereof and we assume no obligation to revise or supplement this opinion to reflect any facts or circumstances that may hereafter come to our attention or any changes in law that may hereafter occur.

Very truly yours,

APPENDIX C- CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

The Metropolitan District, Hartford County, Connecticut

\$25,000,000 General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series C
Dated July 28, 2015

WHEREAS, The Metropolitan District, Hartford County, Connecticut (the "District") has authorized the issuance of its \$25,000,000 General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series C, dated July 28, 2015, maturing March 18, 2016 (the "Notes"), as set forth in the District's Official Statement dated July 15, 2015 describing the Notes (the "Official Statement"); and

WHEREAS, the Notes have been sold by competitive bidding pursuant to a Notice of Sale dated July 2, 2015 (the "Notice of Sale"); and

WHEREAS, in the Notice of Sale, the District acknowledged that an underwriter may not purchase or sell the Notes unless it has reasonably determined that the District has undertaken in a written agreement for the benefit of the beneficial owners of the Notes to provide certain continuing disclosure as required by the Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12(b)(5), as amended from time to time (the "Rule"), and the District desires to assist the underwriter of the Notes to meet the requirements of the Rule; and

WHEREAS, the District is authorized pursuant to Section 3-20e of the General Statutes of Connecticut to make such representations and agreements for the benefit of the beneficial owners of the Notes to meet the requirements of the Rule; and

WHEREAS, in order to assist the underwriter of the Notes to meet the requirements of the Rule, this Continuing Disclosure Agreement (this "Agreement") is to be made, executed and delivered by the District in connection with the issuance of the Notes and to be described in the Official Statement, all for the benefit of the beneficial owners of the Notes, as they may be from time to time;

NOW, THEREFORE, the District hereby represents, covenants and agrees as follows:

SECTION 1. Definitions. In addition to the definitions above, the following capitalized terms shall have the following meanings:

"Listed Events" shall mean any of the events listed in Section 2 of this Agreement.

"MSRB" shall mean the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board established pursuant to Section 15B(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or any successor thereto.

"Repository" shall mean the Electronic Municipal Market Access system of the MSRB as described in 1934 Act Release No. 57577 for purposes of the Rule or any other nationally recognized municipal securities information repository or organization recognized by the SEC from time to time for the purposes of the Rule.

“SEC” shall mean the Securities and Exchange Commission of the United States or any successor thereto.

SECTION 2. Reporting of Significant Events.

(a) This Section 2 shall govern the giving of notices of the occurrence of any of the following events:

1. Principal and interest payment delinquencies;
2. Non-payment related defaults, if material;
3. Unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
4. Unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;
5. Substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform;
6. Receipt of an adverse tax opinion; the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Notes, or other material events affecting the tax-exempt status of the Notes;
7. Modifications to rights of noteholders, if material;
8. Note calls, if material, and tender offers;
9. Defeasances;
10. Release, substitution or sale of property securing repayment of the Notes, if material;
11. Rating changes;
12. Bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership, or other similar event of any obligated person;
13. The consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving any obligated person or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of any obligated person, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake any such action or the termination of a definitive agreement related to such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; and
14. Appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of a name of a trustee, if material.

(b) Whenever the District obtains knowledge of the occurrence of a Listed Event, the District shall, in a timely manner not in excess of ten (10) business days after the occurrence of the Listed Event, provide or cause to be provided a notice of such occurrence to the Repository in electronic format, accompanied by identifying information, as prescribed by the MSRB.

SECTION 3. Termination of Reporting Obligation. The District's obligations under this Agreement shall terminate upon the legal defeasance, prior redemption or payment in full of all of the Notes.

SECTION 4. Dissemination Agent. The District may, from time to time, appoint or engage an agent to assist it in carrying out its obligations under this Agreement and may discharge any such agent with or without appointing a successor agent.

SECTION 5. Amendment; Waiver. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the District may amend this Agreement (and any provision of this Agreement may be waived), provided that the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) It may only be made in connection with a change in circumstances that arises from a change in legal requirements, change in law, or change in the identity, nature or status of the District or of the type of business conducted by the District;

(b) This Agreement, as so amended or taking into account such waiver, would, in the opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, have complied with the requirements of the Rule at the time of the original issuance of the Notes, after taking into account any amendments or interpretations of the Rule, as well as any change in circumstances; and

(c) The District receives an opinion of counsel expert in federal securities laws to the effect that, the amendment or waiver does not materially impair the interests of the holders of the Notes.

A copy of any such amendment will be filed in a timely manner with the Repository in electronic format. The Annual Report provided on the first date following the adoption of any such amendment will explain, in narrative form, the reasons for the amendment and the impact of the change in the type of financial information or operating data provided.

SECTION 6. Additional Information. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to prevent the District from disseminating any other information, using the means of dissemination set forth in this Agreement or any other means of communication, or including any other information in any notice of occurrence of a Listed Event, in addition to that which is required by this Agreement. If the District chooses to include any information in any notice of occurrence of a Listed Event, in addition to that which is specifically required by this Agreement, the District shall have no obligation under this Agreement to update such information or include it in any future notice of occurrence of a Listed Event.

SECTION 7. Enforceability. The District agrees that its undertaking pursuant to the Rule set forth in this Agreement is intended to be for the benefit of and enforceable by the beneficial owners of the Notes. In the event of a failure of the District to comply with any provision of this Agreement, the District shall have the option to cure such failure after its receipt of written notice from any beneficial owner of the Notes of such failure. In the event the District does not cure such failure, the right of any beneficial owner of the Notes to enforce the provisions of this undertaking shall be limited to a right to specific performance to cause the District to comply with its obligations under this Agreement. A default under this Agreement shall not be deemed a default of the District with respect to the Notes. No person or entity shall have any right to any monetary damages for any default under this Agreement.

SECTION 8. Indemnification. The District agrees to indemnify and save its officers, directors, employees and agents, harmless against any loss, expense and liabilities which it may incur arising out of or in the exercise or performance of its powers and duties hereunder, including the costs and expenses (including reasonable attorneys' fees) of defending against any claim of liability, but excluding liabilities due any such person's willful misconduct. The obligations of the District under this Section shall survive payment of the Notes.

SECTION 9. Miscellaneous.

- (a) All documents provided by the District to a Repository pursuant to the District's undertakings set forth in Section 2 of this Agreement shall be in an electronic format as prescribed by the MSRB from time to time and shall be accompanied by identifying information as prescribed by the MSRB from time to time.
- (b) This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Connecticut.

THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the District has caused this Continuing Disclosure Agreement to be executed in its name by the undersigned officers, duly authorized, all as of the date first written above.

**THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT,
HARTFORD COUNTY, CONNECTICUT**

By: _____
William A. DiBella
Chairman

By: _____
John M. Zinzarella
Deputy Chief Executive Officer
Business Services/Treasurer

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

APPENDIX D – NOTICE OF SALE

NOTICE OF SALE THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT HARTFORD COUNTY, CONNECTICUT \$25,000,000 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES, SERIES C

Sealed proposals and electronic bids via **PARITY**[®] (as described herein) will be received by The Metropolitan District, Hartford County, Connecticut, (the “District”), until 11:30 A.M. (E.D.T.) **Wednesday**

July 15, 2015

for the purchase of the \$25,000,000 The Metropolitan District, Hartford County, Connecticut General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series C (the “Notes”). Sealed proposals will be received at the offices of Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP, 20 Church Street, Crandall Room, Hartford, CT 06103 by telephone at 860-725-6200 until 11:25 A.M. (E.D.T.) in order to ensure compliance with the 11:30 A.M. bid deadline. (See “Sealed Proposal Procedures”.) Electronic bids must be submitted via **PARITY**[®]. (See “Electronic Bidding Procedures”.)

The Notes

The Notes will be dated July 28, 2015. The Notes will be payable to the registered owners on March 18, 2016, as further described in the Preliminary Official Statement for the Notes dated July 2, 2015 (the “Preliminary Official Statement”). The Notes will bear interest (which interest will be computed on a 360-day year, 30-day month basis) payable at maturity at the rate or rates per annum fixed in the proposal or proposals accepted for their purchase, which rates shall be in multiples of 1/1000 of 1% per annum.

Registration

The Notes will be issued by means of a book-entry system with no physical distribution of note certificates made to the public in the form described below. The Notes will be issued in registered form and one note certificate for each interest rate and series will be issued to The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York (“DTC”), registered in the name of its nominee, Cede & Co., and immobilized in their custody. A book-entry system will be employed, evidencing ownership of the Notes in principal amounts of \$1,000 or integral multiples thereof, with transfers of ownership effected on the records of DTC and its participants pursuant to rules and procedures adopted by DTC and its participants. The winning bidder, or bidders, as a condition to delivery of the Notes, will be required to deposit the note certificates with DTC, or its custodian, registered in the name of Cede & Co. Principal of and interest on the Notes will be payable by the District or its agent in same-day funds to DTC or its nominee as registered owner of the Notes. Transfer of principal and interest payments to participants of DTC will be the responsibility of DTC; transfer of principal and interest payments to beneficial owners by participants of DTC will be the responsibility of such participants and other nominees of beneficial owners. The District will not be responsible or liable for payments by DTC to its participants or by DTC participants to beneficial owners or for maintaining, supervising or reviewing the records maintained by DTC, its participants or persons acting through such participants.

Nature of Obligation

The Notes will be general obligations of the District payable, unless paid from other sources, from general property tax revenues from member municipalities. The District is authorized to levy unlimited taxes upon the City of Hartford and the Towns of Bloomfield, East Hartford, Newington, Rocky Hill, West Hartford, Wethersfield and Windsor, comprising the District, proportionately as provided in the District’s Charter, to pay the principal of and interest on the Notes, and such city and towns are authorized to levy ad valorem taxes on all taxable property within their respective limits to pay such District taxes without limitation as to rate or amount

except as to classified property such as certified forest lands taxable at a limited rate and dwelling houses of qualified elderly persons of low income or of qualified disabled persons taxable at limited amounts.

Bank Qualification

The Notes **SHALL NOT** be designated by the District as qualified tax exempt obligations under the provisions of Section 265(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, for purposes of the deduction by financial institutions for interest expense allocable to the Notes.

Electronic Bidding Procedures

Any prospective bidder intending to submit an electronic bid must submit its electronic bid through the facilities of **PARITY®**. Subscription to the i-Deal LLC BiDComp Competitive Bidding System is required in order to submit an electronic bid and the District will neither confirm any subscription nor be responsible for the failure or any prospective bidder to subscribe.

An electronic bid made through the facilities of **PARITY®** shall be deemed an irrevocable offer to purchase the Notes on the terms provided in this Notice of Sale, and shall be binding upon the bidder as if made by a signed, sealed bid delivered to the District. The District shall not be responsible for any malfunction or mistake made by, or as a result of the use of the facilities of, **PARITY®**, the use of such facilities being the sole risk of the prospective bidder.

If any provisions of this Notice of Sale shall conflict with information provided by **PARITY®** as the approved provider of electronic bidding services, this Notice of Sale shall control. Further information about **PARITY®**, including any fee charged, may be obtained from **PARITY®**, 1359 Broadway, 2nd Floor, New York, New York 10018, Attention: Customer Service Department (telephone: (212) 849-5021 – email notice: munis@ipreo.com).

For purposes of the electronic bidding process, the time as maintained by **PARITY®** shall constitute the official time. For information purposes only, bidders are requested to state in their electronic bids the net interest cost to the District, computed and rounded to six decimal places, as described under “Bid Specifications/Basis of Award” below. All electronic bids shall be deemed to incorporate the provisions of this Notice of Sale.

Sealed Proposal Procedures

Bids will be accepted in written form on the form of Proposal for Purchase at the place and time indicated above. Bids must be enclosed in sealed envelopes marked “Proposal for Notes” and addressed to John Zinzarella, Deputy Chief Executive Officer of Business Services/Treasurer, The Metropolitan District, c/o Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP, 20 Church Street, Crandall Room, Hartford, CT 06103 or by telephone at 860-725-6200 until 11:25 A.M. (E.D.T.) in order to ensure compliance with the 11:30 A.M. bid deadline.

Bid Specifications/Basis of Award

Proposals for the purchase of the Notes must be in the form of the proposals for purchase attached hereto or, if submitted electronically, in accordance with the requirements prescribed herein. A proposal may be for all or any part of the Notes but any proposal for a part must be for \$100,000, or a whole multiple thereof and a separate proposal will be required for each part of the Notes for which a separate interest rate is bid. Unless all bids are rejected, the Notes will be awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the Notes at the lowest net interest cost, computed as to each interest rate stated by adding the total interest which would be paid at such rate and deducting therefrom the premium offered, if any. As between proposals resulting in the same lowest net interest cost to the District, the award will be made on the basis of the highest principal amount of the Notes specified. No bid for less than par and accrued interest, if any, will be considered and the District reserves the

right to award to any bidder all or any part of the Notes bid for in its proposal. If a bidder is awarded only part of the Notes bid for in its proposal, any premium offered in in such proposal will be proportionately reduced so that the resulting net interest cost to the District with respect to the Notes awarded is the same as that contained in the bidder's proposal with respect to the entire amount bid, carried to six decimal places. The purchase price must be paid in Federal Funds.

The District reserves the right to reject any and all bids and to waive any irregularity or informality with respect to any bid. The District further reserves the right to postpone the sale to another time and date in its sole discretion for any reason, including internet difficulties or market conditions. The District will use its reasonable best efforts to notify prospective bidders in a timely manner of any need for a postponement.

Closing Documents and Legal Opinion

The Notes will be certified by U.S. Bank National Association, Hartford, Connecticut. The legality of the Notes will be passed upon by Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP of Hartford, Connecticut and Finn Dixon & Herling LLP, of Stamford, Connecticut, as Bond Counsel, and the winning bidder will be furnished with their opinions without charge. The winning bidder will also be furnished with a signature and no litigation certificate, a receipt of payment satisfactory in form to Bond Counsel, a signed copy of the final Official Statement prepared for this sale, a certificate signed by the appropriate officials of the District relating to the accuracy and completeness of information contained in the final Official Statement, and an executed continuing disclosure agreement.

The legal opinions will further state that, under existing statutes and court decisions (i) interest on the Notes is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes, (ii) such interest is not treated as an item of tax preference for purposes of computing the federal alternative minimum tax. Interest on the Notes is, however, includable in adjusted current earnings for purposes of computing the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on certain corporations, (iii) under existing statutes, interest on the Notes is excluded from Connecticut taxable income for purposes of the Connecticut income tax on individuals, trusts and estates, and (iv) such interest is excluded from amounts on which the net Connecticut minimum tax is based in the case of individuals, trusts and estates required to pay federal alternative minimum tax. In rendering the legal opinions, Bond Counsel will rely upon and assume the material accuracy of the representations and statements of expectation contained in the Tax Regulatory Agreement entered into by the District for the benefit of the owners of the Notes, and further, will assume compliance by the District with the covenants and procedures set forth in such Tax Regulatory Agreement.

Settlement of the Notes

It shall be the responsibility of the winning bidder(s) to certify to the District before delivery of the Notes the price(s) at which a substantial amount of the Notes of each maturity were initially offered and sold to the public.

The Notes will be available for delivery on or about July 28, 2015. The deposit of the Notes with DTC, or its custodian under a book-entry system requires the assignment of CUSIP numbers prior to delivery. It shall be the responsibility of the winning bidder or bidders to obtain CUSIP numbers for the Notes prior to delivery and the District will not be responsible for any delay occasioned by the failure of the winning bidder(s) to obtain such numbers and to supply them to the District in a timely manner. The District assumes no responsibility for any CUSIP Service Bureau charge or other charge that may be imposed for the assignment of such numbers, which charges shall be the responsibility of and shall be paid for by the purchaser.

The Preliminary Official Statement is in a form "deemed final" by the District for purposes of SEC Rule 15c2-12(b)(1). The winning bidder will be furnished 25 copies of the final Official Statement prepared for the Notes at the District's expense. Additional copies may be obtained by the winning bidder(s) at its own expense by arrangement with the printer. The copies of the Official Statement will be made available to the winning bidder no later than seven business days after the bid opening at the office of the District's financial advisor. If the District's

financial advisor is provided with the necessary information from the winning bidder by 12:00 p.m. (noon) on the day after the bid opening, the copies of the final Official Statement will include an additional cover page and other pages, if necessary, indicating the interest rate(s), rating(s), yields or reoffering price(s), the name of the winning underwriter.

Continuing Disclosure

The District will undertake in a Continuing Disclosure Agreement entered into in accordance with the requirements of Rule 15c2-12(b)(5), promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, to provide timely notice of the occurrence of certain material events with respect to the Notes. The winning bidder's obligation to purchase the Notes shall be conditioned upon its receiving, at or prior to the delivery of the Notes, an executed copy of the Continuing Disclosure Agreement for the Notes.

Related Information

For more information regarding the Notes and the District, reference is made to the Preliminary Official Statement. Copies of the Preliminary Official Statement may be obtained from the undersigned, or from Janette Marcoux, Senior Vice President, First Southwest Company, 628 Hebron Avenue, Suite 306, Glastonbury, CT. Telephone No. (860) 290-3003.

July 2, 2015

JOHN M. ZINZARELLA
Deputy Chief Executive Officer of Business
Services/Treasurer

**PROPOSAL FOR THE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT,
HARTFORD COUNTY, CONNECTICUT
GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES, SERIES C**

July 15, 2015

John M. Zinzarella
Deputy Chief Executive Officer of Business Services/Treasurer
c/o Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP
20 Church Street, Crandall Room
Hartford, CT 06103

RE: The Metropolitan District
Hartford County, Connecticut
\$25,000,000 General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series C
Dated: July 28, 2015; Maturity: March 18, 2016

Dear Mr. Zinzarella:

Subject to the provisions and in accordance with the terms of the Notice of Sale dated July 2, 2015, which Notice of Sale is made a part of this proposal, we offer to purchase the principal amount of the \$25,000,000 The Metropolitan District, Hartford County, Connecticut General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes, Series C specified below at the stated interest rate plus the premium specified below, if any, and to pay therefor par plus premium and accrued interest, if any, to the date of delivery. We further provide our computation of net interest cost as to each bid, carried to six decimals, and made as provided in the above-mentioned Notice of Sale, but not constituting any part of the foregoing proposal.

Principal amount \$ _____

Interest rate _____

Premium _____

Net Interest Cost _____%
(Six Decimals)

Principal amount \$ _____

Interest rate _____

Premium _____

Net Interest Cost _____%
(Six Decimals)

Principal amount \$ _____

Interest rate _____

Premium _____

Net Interest Cost _____%
(Six Decimals)

Principal amount \$ _____

Interest rate _____

Premium _____

Net Interest Cost _____%
(Six Decimals)

Name of Bidder: _____

Address of Bidder: _____

Signature: _____

Telephone Number: _____

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

Financial Advisory Services
Provided By

